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## Introduction

## Beef cattle production in Mississippi

Beef cattle production is a significant component of Mississippi agriculture. The total value of production of cattle and calves in Mississippi contributes millions of dollars annually to the local economy and ranks highly among the state's agricultural commodities. Cow-calf and stocker cattle operations are very prominent parts of the Mississippi beef cattle industry.

## Mississippi State University Extension Service

 This pocket guide was authored by beef cattle specialists with the Mississippi State University Extension Service (MSU-ES). Extension's overall purpose is education. The MSU-ES provides research-based information, educational programs, and technology transfer focused on issues and needs of the people of Mississippi. The MSU-ES recognizes that agriculture and its related enterprises are of major economic importance in Mississippi, and directs programs and resources to reflect this importance. The MSU-ES state beef cattle specialists, area livestock/forages agents, and county directors are available to assist beef cattle producers.msucares.com/livestock/beef

MS Beef Cattle Improvement Association
The printing of this pocket guide was funded by the Mississippi Beef Cattle Improvement Association (MBCIA). The MBCIA encourages the production and identification of genetically superior animals by purebred breeders and promotes the use of these animals by commercial producers through sale offerings.

The purposes of the MBCIA are to:

- promote the use of performance records as a tool for herd improvement
- emphasize economically important traits that can be improved through selection and culling based on performance records
- encourage good management practices

Member benefits include access to and information about:

- monthly MBCIA newsletter
- annual membership meeting
- bull marketing programs
- centralized bull testing programs
- Hinds Community College Bull Test
- Gain-on-Forage Bull Test
- ultrasound body composition scanning
- Miss Premium replacement heifers
- feeder calf marketing programs
- Mississippi Farm to Feedlot program
- Cattlemen's Exchange groups
- BIF producer award nominations
- MBCIA educational projects

The MBCIA promotes the use of high-quality Mississippi-raised bulls as herd sires. These bulls are better adapted to the local environment than cattle from other regions. Mississippi-raised herd sires can be readily compared with other bulls on a national basis using expected progeny differences. Breed-leading genetics are found in Mississippi bulls of many breeds. Beyond bulls, Mississippi is home to well-managed feeder calves and heifers. Purchasing high-quality cattle locally reduces freight costs and supports the local economy.

Demand EPDs. Demand health records. Demand Mississippi cattle.
msucares.com/livestock/beef/mbcia

## Monthly Mississippi Beef Cattle Management Calendar

| January | February | March |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Recommendations |  |  |
| - Control lice <br> - Supplement as needed (energy, protein, Vit. A) <br> - Prevent grass tetany <br> - Gather income tax records <br> - Set yearly goals | - Control lice <br> - Supplement as needed (energy, protein, Vit. A) <br> - Prevent grass tetany <br> - Fertilize cool-season forages <br> - Control weeds <br> - Collect soil samples <br> - Gather income tax records <br> - Attend MBCIA meeting | - Control lice <br> - Prevent grass tetany <br> - Control weeds <br> - Service forage harvesting equipment <br> - Prepare income taxes |

## Spring-calving Recommendations

- Monitor calving
- Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies
- Collect yearling data
- Monitor calving
- Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies
- Collect yearling data
- Monitor calving
- Administer pre-breeding vaccinations and deworming
- Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies
- Perform bull BSEs
- Collect yearling data


## Fall-calving Recommendations

- Diagnose pregnancy
- Cull open females
- Diagnose pregnancy
- Cull open females
- Plan pre-weaning vaccinations


## Monthly Mississippi Beef Cattle Management Calendar

| April | May | June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Recommendations |  |  |
| - Prevent grass tetany <br> - Start fly control as needed <br> - Deworm cattle <br> - Plant warm-season forages <br> - Fertilize warm-season forages <br> - Meet income tax deadline | - Provide adequate shade <br> - Reduce cattle heat stress <br> - Control flies <br> - Plant warm-season forages <br> - Fertilize warm-season forages | - Provide adequate shade <br> - Reduce cattle heat stress <br> - Control flies <br> - Test stored forage for quality <br> - Monitor feed prices <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season begins |

## Spring-calving Recommendations

| - Begin breeding | - Continue breeding | - End breeding season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall-calving Recommendations |  |  |
| - Wean calves (deworm and vaccinate) <br> - Precondition calves <br> - Cull herd for performance and health <br> - Select replacements <br> - Deworm adults at weaning | - Wean calves (deworm and vaccinate) <br> - Precondition calves <br> - Cull herd for performance and health <br> - Select replacements <br> - Deworm adults at weaning | - Precondition calves |

## Monthly Mississippi Beef Cattle Management Calendar

| July | August | September |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Recommendations |  |  |
| - Provide adequate shade <br> - Reduce cattle heat stress <br> - Control flies <br> - Deworm adults and yearlings <br> - Test stored forage for quality <br> - Monitor feed prices <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season continues | - Provide adequate shade <br> - Reduce cattle heat stress <br> - Control flies <br> - Test stored forage for quality <br> - Monitor feed prices <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season continues | - Provide adequate shade <br> - Reduce cattle heat stress <br> - Control flies <br> - Plant cool-season forages <br> - Fertilize cool-season forages <br> - Test stored forage <br> - Monitor feed prices <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season continues |

## Spring-calving Recommendations

- Diagnose pregnancy
- Cull open females
- Diagnose pregnancy
- Cull open females
- Plan pre-weaning vaccinations
- Wean calves (deworm and vaccinate)
- Precondition calves
- Cull herd for performance and health
- Select replacements
- Deworm adults at weaning


## Fall-calving Recommendations

- Prepare for calving
- Prepare for calving
- Monitor calving
- Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies
- Collect yearling data


## Monthly Mississippi Beef Cattle Management Calendar

| October | November | December |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Recommendations |  |  |
| - Monitor feed prices <br> - Supplement as needed (energy, protein, Vit. A) <br> - Plant and fertilize coolseason forages <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season continues | - Control lice <br> - Monitor feed prices <br> - Supplement as needed (energy, protein, Vit. A) <br> - Be prepared as hurricane season continues <br> - Plan holiday labor | - Control lice <br> - Supplement as needed (energy, protein, Vit. A) <br> - Prevent grass tetany <br> - Plan holiday labor <br> - Make end of tax year purchases and sales |
| Spring-calving Recommendations |  |  |
| - Wean calves (deworm and vaccinate) <br> - Precondition calves | - Prepare for calving <br> - Precondition calves | - Prepare for calving |


| - Cull herd for performance and health <br> - Select replacements <br> - Deworm adults at weaning |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall-calving Recommendations |  |  |
| - Monitor calving <br> - Administer pre-breeding vaccinations and deworming <br> - Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies <br> - Perform bull BSEs <br> - Collect yearling data | - Monitor calving <br> - Begin breeding <br> - Acquire herd sires, semen, and breeding supplies <br> - Collect yearling data | - Continue breeding |

## Beef Cattle Terminology

Ad libitum: free choice; allowing animals to eat all they want; on full feed
Bloom: haircoat has a luster (shine) that gives the appearance of a healthy animal
Breed character: a combination of masculine or feminine qualities with ideal breed type features. Head and color markings are given considerable attention in estimating breed character Brindle: coat coloring pattern with narrow, vertical, alternating stripes of black and red pigmentation; base color may range from light red or fawn to dark brown or even nearly white; "tiger striped"
Brockle-faced: white-faced with other colors splotched on face and head; mottle-faced Broken mouth: some teeth missing or broken Bull: male bovine animal, usually of breeding age Bullock: young bull, typically less than 20 months of age
Bunk breaking: process of acclimating calves to consume feed from a bunk or other feeder Calf-feds: cattle placed on feed as calves and finished at less than 16 months of age, usually on feed for 150 to 200 days, and placed in the feedlot directly following weaning.
Cancer eye: cancerous growth on eyeball or lid

Closed herd: herd in which no outside breeding cattle are introduced
Colostrum: first milk produced by a female after calving; high in antibodies that protect calves from invading microorganisms
Concentrate: feed high in energy, low in fiber, and highly digestible; typically grains
Cow: sexually mature female bovine animal that has usually produced a calf
Creep feeding: providing supplemental nutrients to nursing calves through the use of gates or exclosures which allow calves but not cows to access the creep feed or forage
Cryptorchid: male with one or both testicles retained in abdominal cavity
Cwt: abbreviation for hundredweight ( 100 lb .)
Dam: female parent
Diet: a controlled selection of feedstuffs provided on a continuous schedule
Dark cutter: color of muscle in carcass has a dark appearance, often results in price discount
Depreciation: decrease in value of an asset due to age, use, and obsolescence; pro-rated expense of owning an asset
Drench: to give fluid by mouth
Dry (cow): non-lactating cow
Dystocia: difficult birth
Efficiency: ratio of output to input
$F_{1}$ : offspring resulting from mating a purebred bull to purebred females of another breed
Fed cattle: steers and heifers that have been fed concentrates, usually for 90 to 120 days in a feedlot
Feeder cattle: cattle that need further feeding prior to slaughter
Fill: contents of the digestive tract
Finish: degree of fatness of an animal
Flushing: placing females on a high level of nutrition before breeding to decrease postpartum interval and possibly stimulate an increased conception rate
Freemartin: female born twin to a bull; the female is sterile about $90 \%$ of the time FOB: free on board; buyer pays freight after loading
Grid: method of pricing slaughter cattle which offers premiums and discounts for cattle; cattle which are leaner and have a higher quality grade receive premiums; grids generally have other specifications for carcass weight and dark cutters Hard keeper (doer): animal that does not do well Heifer: young female bovine animal prior to the time she has produced her first calf Heiferette: heifer that has calved once, after which she is fed for slaughter; the calf has usually died or been weaned at an early age

NPN (nonprotein nitrogen): nitrogen in feeds from substances such as urea and amino acids, but not from preformed proteins
Off feed: animal refuses to eat or consumes only small amounts of feed
Open: non-pregnant cow or heifer
Pay weight: actual weight for which payment is made; in many cases it is the shrunk weight (actual weight minus pencil shrink)
Pencil shrink: deduction (percent of liveweight) from an animal's weight to account for fill Phenotype: characteristics of an animal that can be seen and/or measured
Polled: naturally or genetically hornless
Pons: accumulation of fat over pin bones
Postpartum interval: length of time from calving until the dam is pregnant again
Preconditioning: preparation of feeder calves for marketing and shipment; may include vaccinations, castration, and training calves to eat and drink in pens
Prolapse: abnormal protrusion of part of an organ, such as the uterus or rectum
Purebred: animal eligible for registry with a recognized breed association
Ration: feed offered during a 24 -hour period Scurs: small growths of hornlike tissue attached to the skin of polled or dehorned animals

Shipping fever: respiratory disease of cattle Sire: male parent
Supplement: mixture of nutrients added to the diet to meet nutrient shortages not supplied by the forage or grain of the base diet
Stag: bovine male castrated after puberty
Steer: bovine male castrated prior to puberty Stocker: weaned calf fed high-roughage diets (including grazing) before going into a feedlot Terminal sire: sire used in a terminal crossbreeding program where the sire's offspring are intended to be sold as market animals
Thermoneutral zone (TNZ): range in temperature where rate and efficiency of gain is maximized; comfort zone
Total mixed ration (TMR): all feed ingredients mixed together in a nutritionally balanced ration and fed to the animal rather than each ingredient being fed individually
Type: physical conformation; physical traits that contribute to animal value for a specific purpose Undegradable intake protein (UIP): protein not fermented in the rumen but digested in the small intestine; escape or bypass protein
Yardage: charges incurred each day that cattle are in the feedlot, usually expressed on a cents per head per day basis
Adapted from R. E. Taylor Beef Production and Management Decisions. $2^{\text {nd }}$ ed. 1994; www.eXtension.org 2012. Beef Cattle Glossary.

## Mississippi Frost Dates

| Mississippi <br> Location | Average Date <br> of First Frost | Average Date <br> of Last Frost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Batesville | October 15 | April 14 |
| Biloxi | November 24 | March 8 |
| Brookhaven | October 26 | April 5 |
| Carthage | October 21 | April 8 |
| Corinth | October 14 | April 14 |
| Greenville | November 2 | March 27 |
| Greenwood | October 31 | April 1 |
| Grenada | October 19 | April 11 |
| Hattiesburg | November 3 | March 29 |
| Hernando | October 27 | April 7 |
| Holly Springs | October 11 | April 18 |
| Jackson | October 29 | April 5 |
| Laurel | November 3 | March 30 |
| McComb | November 3 | April 1 |
| Meridian | October 25 | April 6 |
| Natchez | November 7 | March 27 |
| Philadelphia | October 22 | April 6 |
| Poplarville | November 9 | March 23 |
| Starkville | October 24 | April 7 |
| Tupelo | October 20 | April 16 |
| Vicksburg | November 6 | March 30 |
| Woodville | November 11 | March 24 |
| Yazoo City | November 3 | March 31 |

Adapted from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2011. Freeze/Frost Occurrence Data.

## Forage Classifications

| Classification criteria | Classes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Form and function | Grasses: <br> generally herbaceous (not woody) plants, parallel leaf veins, fibrous root systems, bear seed on elongated stem stalk, produce only 1 seed leaf; ex: bermudagrass, annual ryegrass | Legumes: <br> produce seed in a pod, netted leaf veins, tap root systems, produce 2 seed leaves; most interact with Rhizobium bacteria to fix nitrogen in root nodules; ex: clovers, alfalfa |
| Lifespan | Annuals: <br> plants that germinate, grow, reproduce, and die in 1 year's time or 1 growing season; reproduce only by seed; | Perennials: <br> plants that, under suitable conditions, have the ability to live for more than 1 year; may die back or become |


|  | ex: crabgrass, wheat | dormant and later recover from <br> tubers, rhizomes, or stolons; <br> reproduce vegetatively or by <br> seed; <br> ex: bahiagrass, alfalfa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth <br> season | Warm-season forages: <br> begin growth and/or are <br> planted in the spring or early <br> summer and make most of their <br> growth during the warmest <br> months of the year; <br> ex: dallisgrass, pearl millet | Cool-season forages: <br> begin growth and/or are <br> planted in the autumn or <br> sometimes early spring and <br> make most of their growth <br> during the coolest months of <br> the year, except for the coldest <br> periods of the winter; <br> ex: tall fescue, white clover |

Characteristics of Forage Grasses

${ }^{1} E=$ excellent; $G=$ good; $F=$ fair; $P=$ poor
${ }^{2} \mathrm{E}+=$ endophyte-infected; E - = endophyte-free
Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl.
Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Characteristics of Forage Legumes

|  |  | Tolerance $^{1}$ to |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forage <br> Species | Seedling <br> Vigor | Soil <br> Acidity | Poor <br> Drainage | Drought | Grazing |  |
| Warm-season perennial legumes <br> Perennial <br> peanutVegetatively <br> propagated | G | P | G | F |  |  |
| Sericea <br> lespedeza | P | E | F | E | $\mathrm{P}^{3}$ |  |

## Warm-season annual legume

| Annual <br> lespedeza | F | E | F | G | G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Cool-season perennial legumes

| Alfalfa | G | P | P | E | $P^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red clover | E | F | F | F | G |
| White clover | F | F | G | F | E |

Cool-season annual legumes

| Arrowleaf <br> clover | F | F | P | G | G |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berseem <br> clover | G | P | E | F | F |
| Caley pea | G | F | G | F | F |
| Crimson <br> clover | E | G | P | F | F |
| Hairy vetch | E | G | P | F | F |
| Rose clover | P | G | P | G | G |
| Subterranean <br> clover | G | G | G | F | E |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}=$ excellent; $\mathrm{G}=$ good; $\mathrm{F}=$ fair; $\mathrm{P}=$ poor
${ }^{2}$ Grazing-tolerant varieties are rated G
Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Planting Information for Perennial Grasses in Mississippi

|  | Adaptation |  | Seeding Rate ${ }^{2}$, lb/acre | Planting Depth, inches | Optimum Planting Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MS <br> Area ${ }^{1}$ | Soils |  |  |  |
| Bahiagrass | N, C, S | Moist, sandy bottoms to droughty uplands | B: 15 to 20 | 1/4 to $1 / 2$ | Early spring after frost; S only: late summer, fall |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Bermudagrass } \\ \text { (seed } \\ \text { propagated) } \end{array}$ | N, C, S | Well drained, light sand to clay loam | Hulled B: 5 to 10 <br> Unhulled B: 10 to 15 | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | Mar 15 to early summer |
| Bermudagrass (vegetatively propagated) | N, C, S | Well drained, light sand to clay loam | Rows: 10 bushels sprigs B: 30 to 40 bushels sprigs |  | Late Feb to early summer with adequate soil |


|  |  |  |  |  | moisture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dallisgrass | N, C, S | Moist, fertile, well drained | B: 20 (10 pounds pure, live seed) | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ (Adjust for low germination) | Feb 15 to May 15 |
| Johnsongrass | N, C | Medium to heavy, fertile | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { B: } 20 \text { to } 30 \\ \text { D: } 10 \text { to } 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1 / 2$ to 1 | Apr to Jul |
| Tall fescue | N, C | Moist, fertile bottoms; productive uplands; S only: heavy, moist soils | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 15 \text { to } 20 \\ & \text { D: } 10 \text { to } 15 \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | Sep to Oct |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}=$ North; C = Central; S = South
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}=$ broadcast; $\mathrm{D}=$ drilled
Adapted from Ball et. Al. 2007. Southern Forages. $4^{\text {th }}$ ed. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Planting Information for Annual Grasses

|  | Adaptation |  | Seeding Rate ${ }^{2}$, lb/acre | Planting Depth, inches | Optimum Planting Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MS <br> Area ${ }^{1}$ | Soils |  |  |  |
| Pearl millet | N, C, S | Well drained, fertile (avoid lime soils) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D: } 12 \text { to } 15 \\ & \text { B: } 25 \text { to } 30 \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 2$ to 1 | $\mathrm{N}:$ Apr 20 to Jul 1 <br> C: Apr 15 to Jul 1 <br> S: Apr 1 to Jul 15 |
| Sorghumsudan hybrids | N, C, S | Well drained, productive | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { D: } 20 \text { to } 25 \\ \text { B: } 30 \text { to } 35 \end{array}$ | $1 / 2$ to 1 | N: May 1 to Aug 1 <br> C: Apr 15 to Aug 1 <br> S: Apr 1 to Aug 15 |
| Sweet and forage sorghum | N, C, S | Well drained | B: 15 to 20 <br> Syrup: D: 3 to 5 <br> Silage: D: 4 to 6 | 1 | Late Apr to May 15 <br> S only: late as Jul <br> 1 for forage types |
| Sudangrass | N, C | Light sandy to heavy clay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D: } 20 \text { to } 25 \\ & \text { B: } 30 \text { to } 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1/2 to 1 | May 1 to Aug 1 |


| Barley | N, C | Well drained, productive | Grain: B: 75 to 100 <br> Grazing alone: D: <br> 75 <br> B: 100 to 120 <br> In mixtures: 60 to 75 | 1 to 2 | Sep to Oct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oats, rye, wheat | N, C, S | Well drained, sandy to clay loams | Grain: 60 to 90 Grazing alone: 90 to 120 <br> In mixtures: 60 to 90 | 1 to 2 | $\mathrm{N}:$ Sep 1 to Oct 1 C: Sep 1 to Oct 15 S: Sep 15 to Nov 1 Overseeded: 5 weeks later |
| Annual ryegrass | N, C, S | Clay loam to sandy | Grazing alone: B: 30 to 35 ; D: 25-30 In mixtures: 20 | 0 to $1 / 2$ | Same as for oats, rye, wheat |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}=$ North; C = Central; S = South
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}=$ broadcast; $\mathrm{D}=$ drilled
Adapted from Southern Forages. $4^{\text {th }}$ ed. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Planting Information for Perennial and Warm-season Annual Legumes

|  | Adaptation |  | Seeding Rate ${ }^{2}$, lb/acre | Planting Depth, inches | Optimum Planting Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MS <br> Area ${ }^{1}$ | Soils |  |  |  |
| Sericea lespedeza | N, C, S | Well drained (avoid lime soils) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B: } 20 \text { to } 30 \\ & \text { D: } 15 \text { to } 20 \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 4$ | Mar to May |
| Alfalfa | N, C, S | Deep, fertile, well drained | B: 20 to 25 | 0 to $1 / 4$ | N: Aug 15 to Oct 1 C: Sep 1 to Oct 1 S: Oct 1 to Nov 1 |
| White and ladino clover | N, C, S | Moist bottoms and productive uplands | B: 3 | 0 to $1 / 4$ | Sep to Oct (also Feb to Mar in N, C) |
| Red clover (acts as annual in south MS) | N, C, S | Moist bottoms and productive uplands | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D: } 8 \text { to } 10 \\ & \text { B: } 12 \text { to } 15 \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | Sep to Oct |


| Alyce clover | S | Fertile, well <br> drained | B: 15 to 20 | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | May 15 to Jul 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cowpeas | N, C, S | Well drained | D: 30 to 40 <br> B: 120 | 2 to 3 | May 1 to Jun 15 |
| Annual <br> lespedeza | N, C | Well drained <br> (avoid lime <br> soils) | B: 25 to 35 | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | Feb 15 to Mar 15 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}=$ North; C = Central; S = South
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}=$ broadcast; $\mathrm{D}=$ drilled
Adapted from Ball et. Al. 2007. Southern Forages. $4^{\text {th }}$ ed. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Planting Information for Cool-season Annual Legumes

|  | Adaptation |  | Seeding Rate ${ }^{2}$, lb/acre | Planting Depth, inches | Optimum <br> Planting Dates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MS <br> Area ${ }^{1}$ | Soils |  |  |  |
| Caley peas | Black <br> Belt | Black Belt soils; pH 6.5 or greater | B: 50 | $1 / 2$ to 1 | Sep to Oct 15 |
| Arrowleaf clover | N, C, S | Well drained, medium to very fertile | B: 5 to 8 <br> (scarified seed) | 0 to $1 / 2$ | N: Sep 1 to Oct 1 C: Sep 15 to Oct 15 <br> S: Sep 15 to Nov 1 Overseeded: 5 weeks later |
| Ball clover | N, C, S | Sandy loam to clay; tolerates moist soils | B: 2 to 3 | 0 to $1 / 4$ | Sep to Oct |


| Berseem clover | N, C, S | Black Belt soils; <br> tolerates moist <br> soils | B: 20 to 25 <br> D: 10 to 15 | $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ | Sep |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crimson clover | N, C, S | Well drained <br> (avoid lime <br> soils) | B: 20 to 30 <br> D: 15 to 20 | 0 to $1 / 2$ | Same as for <br> arrowleaf clover |
| Subterranean <br> clover | N, C, S | Well drained, <br> productive | B: 8 to 10 | $1 / 1$ to $1 / 2$ | Sep to Oct |
| Common vetch | N, C, S | Well drained | B: 30 to 40 | 1 to $11 / 2$ | N: Sep 1 to Oct 15 <br> C: Sep 1 to Oct 15 <br> S: Sep 15 to Nov 1 |
| Hairy vetch | N, C, S | Well drained | B: 20 to 25 | 1 to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | Same as for <br> common vetch |

[^0]
## Environment: Best Management Practices

Goal: to conserve and protect soil, water, and air resources

- Develop and implement a comprehensive nutrient management plan
- Test soil to determine fertilizer needs
- Use most suitable fertilizer based upon crop, application method, and climatic conditions
- Apply with proper rate, technique, and timing
- Maintain and calibrate equipment
- Inject or incorporate fertilizer applications
- Avoid fertilizer application to surface waters
- Minimize chemical spray drift
- Follow chemical label instructions and laws
- Practice safe chemical storage and disposal
- Use cover crops to control soil erosion
- Protect heavy-use areas
- Use riparian forest buffers as appropriate
- Protect stream banks and shorelines using stabilizing vegetation or structures
- Use field borders and vegetative filter strips to reduce water runoff problems
- Control livestock access to surface water
- Use prescribed grazing practices
- Use legumes
- Appropriately manage cattle mortalities


## Soil Testing

Set a testing schedule for each field

- Once every 3 years or per crop rotation Select the proper tools
- Soil probe or auger and bucket

Divide fields into uniform sampling areas

- Sample based on soil maps and judgment
- Sample different soil types separately Use the correct sampling technique
- Sample away from fence rows, trees, fertilizer or lime spills, or unusual areas
- Sample to a 6 -inch depth

Get a composite sample

- Gather at least 15 to 20 cores
- Gather cores at random in zigzag pattern Process the soil sample
- Break up clods
- Dry at room temperature
- Thoroughly mix the dried sample
- Mildly crush the soil
- Place 1 pint of sample in soil sample box
- Label box with 5-digits or less to ID

MSU-ES Soil Testing Laboratory (662) 325-3313
msucares.com/crops/soils/testing.html
Box 9610, Mississippi State, MS 39762
Standard tests: pH , available phosphate, potash, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and zinc

Fertilizer Composition

| Fertilizer Material | Nitrogen <br> $\mathbf{( N )}$ | Phosphate <br> $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ | Potash <br> $\mathbf{( \mathbf { K } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathbf { O } )}$ | Sulfur <br> $\mathbf{( S )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ammonium nitrate | 33.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonium nitrate and limestone | 20.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anhydrous ammonia | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Urea-ammonium nitrate solution | 28 to 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonium sulfate | 21 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Urea | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ammonium thiosulfate | 12 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Sewage sludge | 4 to 6 | 2.5 to 4 | 0 | $<1$ |
| Ammonium polyphosphate (APP) | 10 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Diammonium phosphate (DAP) | 18 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| Monoammonium phosphate | 10 to 12 | 50 to 55 | 0 | 0 |


| (MAP) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triple superphosphate (TSP) | 0 | 44 to 46 | 0 | 1 |
| Ground rock phosphate | 0 | 26 to $35 ;$ <br> $3 \%$ <br> available | 0 | 0 |
| Basic slag | 0 | 10 to 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Potassium chloride | 0 | 0 | 60 to 62 | 0 |
| Potassium nitrate | 13 | 0 | 44 | 0 |
| Potassium sulfate | 0 | 0 | 48 to 52 | 18 |
| Sulfate of potash-magnesia | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Poultry litter ${ }^{1}$ | 2 to 6 | 1.4 to 9 | 1 to 6 | 0 to 0.8 |

[^1]Forage Herbage Mass

| Forage Species | Dry matter, <br> lb/inch/acre |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Range |
| Alfalfa or alfalfa-grass <br> mixture | 225 | 75 to 400 |
| Arrowleaf clover | 200 | 100 to 300 |
| Bermudagrass | 260 | 150 to 500 |
| Crimson clover | 200 | 100 to 300 |
| Native warm-season <br> bunchgrasses | 100 | 50 to 250 |
| Red clover | 220 | 100 to 300 |
| Annual ryegrass | 250 | 75 to 400 |
| Oats, rye, wheat | 150 | 75 to 250 |
| Tall fescue | 210 | 100 to 350 |
| Tall fescue with white <br> clover | 190 | 80 to 325 |
| Adapted from Ball et. Al. 2007. Southern Forages. 4 |  |  |
| Pla ed. Intl. |  |  |

Rotational Stocking Guidelines ${ }^{1}$

|  | Target Grazing Height, inches |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Begin <br> Grazing | End <br> Grazing2 | Usual <br> Days <br> Rest |
| Alfalfa (hay) | 10 to 16 | 3 to 4 | 35 to 40 |
| Alfalfa (grazing) | 10 to 16 | 2 to 3 | 15 to 30 |


| Annual ryegrass | 6 to 12 | 3 to 4 | 7 to 15 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bahiagrass | 6 to 10 | 1 to 2 | 10 to 20 |
| Bermudagrass | 4 to 8 | 1 to 2 | 7 to 15 |
| Big bluestem | 15 to 20 | 10 to 12 | 30 to 45 |
| Clover, white $\&$ <br> subterranean | 6 to 8 | 1 to 3 | 7 to 15 |
| Clover, all <br> others |  |  |  |
| Dallisgrass | 8 to 10 | 3 to 5 | 10 to 20 |
| Eastern <br> gamagrass | 6 to 8 | 3 to 4 | 7 to 15 |
| Indiangrass | 12 to 16 | 6 to 10 | 30 to 40 |
| Johnsongrass | 16 to 20 | 8 to 12 | 30 to 40 |
| Pearl millet | 20 to 24 | 8 to 12 | 10 to 20 |
| Sericea <br> lespedeza | 8 to 15 | 4 to 6 | 20 to 30 |
| Small grains | 8 to 12 | 3 to 4 | 7 to 15 |
| Sorghum <br> (forage) | 20 to 24 | 8 to 12 | 10 to 20 |
| Sorghum-sudan <br> hybrids | 20 to 24 | 8 to 12 | 10 to 20 |
| Switchgrass | 18 to 22 | 8 to 12 | 30 to 45 |
| Tall fescue | 4 to 8 | 2 to 3 | 15 to 30 |

${ }^{1}$ The more closely pastures are grazed, the longer the rest period needs to be for defoliation-sensitive species.
${ }^{2}$ The closer a pasture is grazed, the poorer the forage nutritive value will be toward the end of grazing cycle.
${ }^{3}$ Clovers are typically grown in mixtures with grasses.
Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Rotational Stocking Benefits

- Increased carrying capacity
- Cattle easier to handle
- Closer observation of cattle
- Better pasture persistence and productivity
- Improved utilization of more forage species
- Less trampling
- Better manure and urine distribution
- Environmental benefits


## Recommended Harvest Stage for Silage

| Forage | Recommended Harvest Stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alfalfa | Bud to early bloom |
| Bermudagrass (hybrid) | Height of 15 inches for $1^{\text {st }}$ cutting, 4 to 5 week intervals thereafter |
| Cool-season grasses | Boot to early head for $1^{\text {st }}$ cutting, 4 to 6 week intervals thereafter |
| Forage sorghum | 40 inches or late boot stage |
| Grain sorghum | Late milk to late dough stage |
| Small grains, annual ryegrass | Boot to early head |
| Soybeans | Late bloom and before bottom leaves begin to fall |
| Summer-annual grasses | 40 inches or boot stage (whichever comes $1^{\text {st }}$ ) |

Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

## Recommended Harvest Stage for Hay

| Forage | Recommended Harvest Stage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alfalfa | Bud stage for 1 <br> $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ butting, <br> bloom for later cuttings |
| Annual lespedeza | Early bloom and before <br> bottom leaves begin to fall |
| Bermudagrass <br> (hybrid) | Height of 15 to 18 inches for <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ cutting, 4 to 5 week <br> intervals thereafter |
| Big bluestem, <br> indiangrass, <br> switchgrass | Early head stage |
| Oats, wheat | Boot to early head stage |
| Pearl millet, <br> sudangrass, <br> sorghum-sudan | Height of 30 to 40 inches |
| Red, arrowleaf, <br> crimson clovers | Early bloom |
| Sericea <br> lespedeza | Height of 15 to 18 inches |
| Soybean | Mid- to full-bloom and before <br> bottom leaves begin to fall |
| Tall fescue | Boot to early head stage for 1 <br> cutting, 4 to 6 week intervals <br> thereafter |
| White clover | Stage for companion grass |

## Forage Dry Matter Percentage

| Dry <br> matter, <br> $\%$ | Forage description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 8 to 15 | Young, green, succulent (i.e., small <br> grains, tall fescue, annual ryegrass, <br> especially in seedling stages) |
| 15 to 20 | Young, green leafy grasses in spring or <br> when growth is rapid and succulent; <br> white clover in mature stages; alfalfa in <br> prebud stage |
| 20 to 30 | Older, slightly brown, or slow-growing <br> plants; headed cool-season grasses; <br> actively growing bermudagrass; alfalfa <br> at 10\% bloom |
| 40 to 50 | Growth that is more than 40\% brown; <br> stockpiled growth in winter and <br> dormant grasses; may be stored in an <br> airtight silo or tightly wrapped bales |
| 40 to 80 | Plants cut for storage; feel slightly <br> damp or pliable, but too wet to bale |
| 80 to 85 | Hay freshly baled; mold forms if stored <br> below 80\% dry matter |
| 85 to 92 | Hay stored inside after several months; <br> in samples that are air dried in cloth <br> bags, the leaves will break easily when <br> crumbled or twisted |

[^2]
## Forage Sampling for Laboratory Analysis

- Follow specific directions for the laboratory selected
- Do not use grab samples
- Use a $3 / 8$ - to $5 / 8$-inch internal diameter forage probe to core bales
- Keep forage probe cutting edge sharp
- Sample at random from each hay lot (single cutting, field, and maximum quantity of 200 bales)
- Collect $1 / 2$ to $3 / 4 \mathrm{lb}$ of sample per lot
- Combine at least 20 core samples from each hay lot into one sample for submission
- Sample round bales stored under cover at a 45 degree angle from the top of the bale
- Sample round bales stored outside without cover at a 90 degree angle from the top of the bale
- Sample square bales from the center of their ends
- Uniquely identify each sample
- Protect from heat and direct sunlight
- Include completed sample submission forms and necessary payments
- Package securely and ship promptly
- Ship perishable samples under refrigeration


## Forage Quality Terminology

Dry matter (DM)

- \% of plant sample remaining after water removed
- 100 - moisture \% = dry matter \% In vitro digestible dry matter (IVDMD)
- digestibility determined via laboratory test

Total digestible nutrients (TDN)

- indicator of forage energy content

Crude protein (CP)

- quantity of true protein and non-protein nitrogen present in plant tissue
- nitrogen x 6.25

Neutral detergent fiber (NDF)

- percentage of cell walls or other plant structural material present
- cellulose + hemicellulose + lignin
- only partially digested by animals
- higher NDF associated with lower animal intake
Acid detergent fiber (ADF)
- percentage of highly indigestible plant material
- cellulose + lignin
- higher ADF associated with lower digestibility

Dry matter intake (DMI)

- amount of forage an animal will eat
- estimate based on results from animal feeding trials and measured NDF concentration of a forage
Digestible dry matter (DDM)
- percentage of forage sample which is digestible
- estimate based on results from animal feeding trials and measured ADF concentration of a forage
Relative feed value (RFV)
- expression of a forage's expected intake by animals and its energy value
- index ranking forages on ADF and NDF
- DDM x DMI $\div 100$
- compared to full bloom alfalfa (RFV = 100)
- forage quality increases as RFV increases

Relative forage quality (RFQ)

- similar to RFV but uses TDN in place of DDM
- includes digestible fiber, so more representative of animal performance than RFV
- use with all forages except corn silage

Forage Quality Standards by Forage Type

| Forage Type | Standard | Total Digestible Nutrients ${ }^{1}$ | Crude Protein ${ }^{1}$ | Moisture | pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silage ${ }^{2}$ | Excellent | 65\% or above | 8\% or above | 70\% or below | 4.2 or below |
|  | Good | 60 to 64\% | 7 to 8\% | 71 to 74\% | 4.3 to 4.7 |
|  | Fair | 55 to 59\% | 6 to 7\% | 75\% and above | 4.8 to 5.1 |
|  | Poor | Below 55\% | Below 6\% | 75\% and above | 5.2 or above |
| Grass Hay ${ }^{3}$ | Excellent | 58\% or above | 12\% or above | ${ }^{1}$ Dry matter basis. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Determine silage quality by total digestible nutrients rating. If silage does not meet either crude protein or moisture requirement for quality, lower one standard. |  |
|  | Good | 55 to 57\% | 10 to 11\% |  |  |
|  | Fair | 52 to 54\% | 8 to 9\% |  |  |
|  | Poor | Below 52\% | Below 8\% |  |  |
| Legume Hay ${ }^{3}$ | Excellent | 64\% or above | 18\% or above |  |  |
|  | Good | 60 to 63\% | 16 to 17\% | lower one standard. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Determine hay quality by total digestible nutrients rating. If hay does |  |
|  | Fair | 57 to 59\% | 14 to 15\% | not meet crude protein requirement or is less than $83 \%$ dry matter, lower one standard. |  |
|  | Poor | Below 57\% | Below 14\% |  |  |

General Forage Quality Standards ${ }^{1}$

| Quality <br> Standard | Crude <br> Protein <br> (CP) | Acid <br> Detergent <br> Fiber (ADF) | Neutral <br> Detergent <br> Fiber <br> (NDF) | Digestible Dry <br> Matter <br> (DDM) ${ }^{2}$ | Dry Matter <br> Intake (DMI) ${ }^{3}$ | Relative Feed <br> Value (RFV) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prime | Above 19\% | Below 31\% | Below 40\% | Above 65\% | Above 3.0\% | Above 151 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 17 to $19 \%$ | 31 to $35 \%$ | 40 to $46 \%$ | 62 to $65 \%$ | 2.6 to $3.0 \%$ | 125 to 151 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 14 to $16 \%$ | 36 to $40 \%$ | 47 to $53 \%$ | 58 to $61 \%$ | 2.3 to $2.5 \%$ | 103 to 124 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 11 to $13 \%$ | 41 to $42 \%$ | 54 to $60 \%$ | 56 to $57 \%$ | 2.0 to $2.2 \%$ | 87 to 102 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 8 to $10 \%$ | 43 to $45 \%$ | 61 to $65 \%$ | 53 to $55 \%$ | 1.8 to $1.9 \%$ | 75 to 86 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Below $8 \%$ | Above $45 \%$ | Above $65 \%$ | Below $53 \%$ | Below $1.8 \%$ | Below 75 |

${ }^{1}$ Dry matter basis; applicable to legume, grass, or grass-legume hay.
${ }^{2}$ Digestible dry matter (DDM\%) $=88.9-0.779$ ADF (\% of dry matter).
${ }^{3}$ Dry matter intake (DMI) $=120 \div$ forage NDF (\% of dry matter).
${ }^{4}$ Relative feed value (RFV) calculated from DDM $\times$ DMI $\div 1.29$. Reference hay of 100 RFV contains $41 \%$ ADF and 53\% NDF.

Hay Heating Effects

| Hay Core Temperature ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{F}^{\circ}$ | Possible Event |
| :---: | :---: |
| 120 | Protein breakdown |
| 140 | Sugar caramelization |
| 150 to 180 | Fire (likely) |

${ }^{1}$ Maximum temperature typically occurs 1 week after baling but can happen up to 3 weeks later. Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

To reduce risk of hay heating, manage moisture content of hay at baling:

- Large round bales: <18\% moisture
- Small square bales: <20\% moisture


## Factors Affecting Forage Intake

- animal weight, condition, stage of production, milk production level
- environmental conditions
- forage nutritive value
- pasture herbage mass (available forage)
- amount and type of forage or feed offered
- palatability
- toxic factors
- management


## Predicting Hay Intake

Dry matter intake as \% of body weight
$=120 /$ neutral detergent fiber content of hay

## Forage Intake Capacity of Beef Cows ${ }^{1}$

| Forage Type and <br> Maturity | Stage of <br> Production | Forage Dry <br> Matter Intake <br> Capacity, \% of <br> body weight |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Low quality forage <br> (< 52\% total <br> digestible <br> nutrients) | Non-lactating |
| Average quality <br> forage (52 to 59\% <br> total digestible <br> nutrients) | Lactating | Non-lactating |
| High quality forage <br> (> 59\% total <br> digestible | Non-lactating | 2.2 |
| nutrients) | Lactating | 2.2 |
| }{ pasture } | Non-lactating | 2.5 |
|  | Lactating | 2.7 |
|  | Non-lactating | 2.5 |
|  | Lactating | 2.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Intake estimates assume protein requirements are met in the total diet. When protein requirements are not met, forage intake will be lower than the table values. Adapted from Hibbard and Thrift, 1992.

## Dry Matter Intake Adjustment Factors for Specific Environmental Conditions

Environmental Condition | Dry Matter Intake |
| :---: |
| Adjustment Factor ${ }^{1}$ |

| Temperature, degrees Fahrenheit |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $>95$ with no night cooling | .65 |
| $>95$ with night cooling | .90 |
| 77 to 95 | .90 |
| 59 to 77 | 1.00 |
| 41 to 59 | 1.03 |
| 23 to 41 | 1.05 |
| 5 to 23 | 1.07 |
| $<5$ | 1.16 |

Mud, inches

| None | 1.00 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mild, 3.9 to 7.9 | .85 |
| Severe, 11.8 to 23.6 | .70 |

${ }^{1}$ Multiply factor by predicted dry matter intake to determine adjusted dry matter intake for the condition. Adapted from NRC. 1987. Predicting Feed Intake of Food-Producing Animals.

## Methods to Minimize Forage Losses

- Use management intensive grazing methods
- Reduce leaf shatter at harvest
- Minimize stored forage contact with soil
- Minimize stored forage exposure to weather
- Use hay feeding equipment designed to reduce trampling and waste


## As-fed to Dry Matter (DM) Conversion

As-fed basis = as-received basis = forage/feed including moisture content
Dry matter basis = forage/feed excluding water lb as-fed consumed $x \%$ DM = lb DM consumed

## Forage/Feed Nitrate Level Guide for Cattle

| Nitrate Concentration |  | Recommended <br> Management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 to $0.5 \%$ | 0 to 5000 <br> ppm | Safe to feed |
| 0.5 to $1.0 \%$ | 5000 to <br> $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | Risk to pregnant <br> animals and cattle <br> not accustomed <br> to high nitrate <br> containing forage |
| 1.0 to $2.0 \%$ | 10,000 to <br> $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | Not more than <br> half of the diet |
| $>2.0 \%$ | $>20,000$ <br> ppm | Do not feed |

Nitrate concentration conversions:
Nitrate- $\mathrm{N}=$ nitrate $\times 0.23$
Potassium nitrate $=$ nitrate $\times 0.14$
Parts per million (ppm):
To convert ppm to percent, move the decimal 4 places to the left.
Example: 5,000 ppm $=0.5 \%$

Forage-related Disorders of Cattle

| Disorder | Cause | Signs | Prevention |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ergot <br> poisoning <br> (Dallisgrass <br> staggers) | Consumption of toxin produced <br> by parasitic fungus in the seed <br> heads of bahiagrass, annual <br> ryegrass, small grains, and <br> especially dallisgrass; most <br> common in late summer or fall <br> after wet growing conditions | Lameness, sloughing of <br> tail switch and hooves, <br> elevated body <br> temperature, increased <br> respiratory rate, <br> increased heart rate | Clip pastures to limit <br> seed head development <br> and ergot growth; avoid <br> harvesting fields with <br> large quantities <br> of potentially infected <br> seed heads for hay |
| Fescue <br> toxicosis | Consumption of alkaloids in <br> toxic-endophyte-infected tall <br> fescue plants | Rough hair coat, <br> depressed weight gain | Removal from or <br> dilution of toxic <br> pastures and hay |
| Grass <br> tetany | Consumption (especially by <br> lactating cattle) of lush forage <br> containing low levels of <br> magnesium or calcium | Nervousness, muscle <br> twitching around the face <br> and ears, staggering, <br> reduced feed intake, <br> convulsions, death | Provide magnesium and <br> calcium supplement to <br> cattle at least 30 days <br> prior to and during <br> grass tetany season |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Nitrate } \\ \text { poisoning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Consumption of excessive nitrate } \\ \text { from forage (most common in } \\ \text { warm-season annual grasses), } \\ \text { weeds (especially pigweed), } \\ \text { water, or other sources; nitrate is } \\ \text { absorbed into red blood cells and } \\ \text { combines with hemoglobin to } \\ \text { produce a type of hemoglobin } \\ \text { that cannot carry oxygen in the } \\ \text { blood causing a lack of sufficient } \\ \text { oxygen transport to tissues }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bluish discoloration, } \\ \text { bluish-brown mucous } \\ \text { membranes, labored or } \\ \text { rapid breathing, tremors, } \\ \text { lack of muscle control, } \\ \text { staggering, weakness, } \\ \text { diarrhea, frequent } \\ \text { urination, dark- to } \\ \text { chocolate-colored blood, } \\ \text { rapid pulse, abortion, } \\ \text { coma, suffocation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Avoid grazing livestock } \\ \text { on heavily nitrogen- } \\ \text { fertilized pastures of } \\ \text { suspect species during } \\ \text { drought or wet } \\ \text { conditions through cool, } \\ \text { cloudy weather; } \\ \text { observe carefully for } \\ \text { signs of nitrate } \\ \text { poisoning; test forages } \\ \text { of concern for nitrate- } \\ \text { nitrogen levels }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Prussic } \\ \text { acid } \\ \text { poisoning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Consumption of prussic acid- } \\ \text { containing forage (leaves of } \\ \text { johnsongrass, sorghum, } \\ \text { sudangrass, sorghum-sudan } \\ \text { hybrids, and wild cherry); most } \\ \text { likely after frost or drought) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Excessive salivation, } \\ \text { rapid, breathing, muscle } \\ \text { spasms, death }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Avoid toxic forages; } \\ \text { prussic acid levels in } \\ \text { forages deteriorate over } \\ \text { time; feed as hay, 3+ }\end{array} \\ \text { weeks after ensiling, or } \\ 1+\text { week after frost }\end{array}\right\}$

## Nutritional Disorders of Cattle

| Disorder | Cause | Signs | Prevention |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acidosis | Shift from a forage- <br> based diet to a high <br> concentrate-based diet <br> or excessive <br> consumption of <br> fermentable <br> carbohydrates causing <br> low rumen pH | Slowing or stopping of gut <br> movement, diarrhea, <br> dehydration, weakness, <br> incoordination, gray and foamy <br> manure, poor nutrient <br> absorption, reduced but <br> variable feed intake, decreased <br> performance, heart and lung <br> failure, death | Limit feed consumption; <br> introduce high-concentrate <br> feeds gradually over 3 to 4 <br> weeks; keep at least 10\% <br> roughage in the final diet; <br> feed a combination of <br> grains, feed dry grain with <br> high-moisture grain; feed <br> ionophores |
| Frothy <br> (feedlot) <br> bloat | Foam development in <br> rumen from high-grain <br> diet prevents belching <br> and leads to suffocation | Rapid swelling on left side, <br> display of discomfort (kicking at <br> sides, stomping feet), sudden <br> death | Slowly adapt cattle from <br> forage-based diets to grain- <br> based diets over a period of <br> at least 3 weeks, manage <br> nutrition of chronic bloaters <br> carefully |


| Pasture <br> (legume) <br> bloat | Foam development in <br> rumen from diet with <br> high levels of soluble <br> protein (alfalfa, winter <br> annual grasses, white <br> clover) prevents <br> belching and leads to <br> suffocation | Rapid swelling on left side, <br> display of discomfort (kicking at <br> sides, stomping feet), sudden <br> death | Fill cattle on hay before <br> turning out on lush legume <br> or winter-annual grass <br> pastures, feed poloxalene or <br> monensin, manage nutrition <br> of chronic bloaters carefully |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hardware <br> disease | Sharp, heavy object <br> consumed punctures <br> reticulum wall, <br> diaphragm, and/or <br> heart sac causing <br> damage to and infection <br> of the abdominal cavity, <br> heart sac, or lungs | Loss of appetite, depression, <br> reluctance to move, arched <br> back, indications of pain, <br> grunting when forced to walk, <br> bloat appearance on upper left <br> side with fluid accumulation on <br> lower right, fluid accumulation <br> in brisket, death | Keep pastures, paddocks, <br> and feed bunks free of wire, <br> nails, fencing staples, and <br> other sharp objects (even <br> heavy plastic items) that <br> could be swallowed; place <br> magnets on feeding <br> equipment; administer an <br> intraruminal magnet |

## Mycotoxins Affecting Cattle

| Mycotoxin | Risk <br> Conditions | Risk Feeds | Effects on Cattle | Signs of Toxicosis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aflatoxin (most <br> common <br> mycotoxin in <br> MS) | Hot, dry <br> conditions | Corn, <br> cottonseed, <br> peanuts, <br> sorghum | Causes cancer, inhibits <br> protein production, <br> suppresses immune <br> system, disrupts rumen <br> function | Dry muzzle, <br> decreased body <br> temperature, <br> young cattle more <br> susceptible |
| Fumonisin | Cool, wet <br> following <br> hot, dry <br> weather | Corn, <br> particularly <br> screenings | Damages liver | Elevated serum <br> liver enzymes, liver |
| lesions |  |  |  |  |$|$| Cool, wet |
| :--- |
| conditions | | Wheat, |
| :--- |
| barley, rye, |
| oats |$\quad$| Inhibits protein |
| :--- |
| production, affects |
| digestive tract and |
| (Deoxynivalenol |
| DON) |$\quad$| No apparent <br> adverse effects at <br> low levels in <br> ruminating cattle |
| :--- |


| Ochratoxin A | Hot, dry <br> conditions | Corn, <br> barley, <br> wheat, rye | Possibly causes cancer, <br> causes frequent <br> urination leading to <br> kidney damage | Increased water <br> consumption and <br> urination |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zearalenone <br> (F-2 toxin, <br> giberella toxin) | Cool, wet <br> conditions | Corn, <br> wheat, <br> barley, oats | Produces estrogenic <br> effect | Infertility, estrous <br> cycle disruptions |

FDA Action Levels for Total Aflatoxins in Livestock Feed

| Animal Class | Feed | FDA Action Level |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Finishing beef cattle | Corn and peanut products | 300 ppb |
| Beef cattle, swine, or poultry | Cottonseed meal | 300 ppb |
| Corn and peanut products | Corn and peanut products | 100 ppb |
| Immature animals | Animal feeds and ingredients, <br> excluding cottonseed meal | 20 ppb |
| Dairy animals or unknown use | Animal feeds and ingredients | 20 ppb |

## Grazing Methods



Continuous stocking


> Continuous stocking with fenced off area during forage surplus growth period

## Continuous stocking

Continuous stocking is a method of grazing livestock on a specific unit of land where animals have unrestricted and uninterrupted access throughout the time period when grazing is allowed. Set stocking is the practice of allowing a fixed number of animals on a fixed area of land during the time when grazing is allowed.

Continuous stocking with fenced off area during forage surplus growth
Areas can be fenced off from continuous stocking during periods of surplus forage growth to help keep the forage being grazed from becoming overmature. The stockpiled forage can then be either grazed at a later date or harvested for hay. Stockpiling forage (deferred grazing) is where forage is allowed to accumulate for grazing at a later period.


Rotational stocking


Strip grazing

## Rotational stocking

Rotational stocking is a grazing method that utilizes recurring periods of grazing and rest among 2 or more paddocks in a grazing management unit through the period when grazing is allowed.

## Strip grazing

Strip grazing involves confining animals to an area of grazing land to be grazed in a relatively short period of time, where the paddock size is varied to allow access to a specific land area. Mob grazing is a variation of strip grazing where a large number of animals are grazed on a relatively small number of acres to rapidly remove forage from the paddock. Mob grazing is useful when forage growth needs to be removed prior to sodseeding another forage crop in the same paddock.


Creep grazing


Forward creep grazing

Creep grazing is a form of preweaning supplementation of nursing calves. It is the practice of allowing nursing calves to graze areas that their dams cannot access at the same time. This is accomplished through use of a creep gate that the calves can pass through freely but their dams cannot.

Forward creep grazing is a method of creep grazing in which dams and calves rotate through a series of paddocks with calves as first grazers and dams as last grazers. Calves have more opportunity for selectivity than their dams. This is a specific form of forward grazing. Forward grazing (leader-follower, preference-follower, top and bottom grazer, first-last grazing) is a method of utilizing 2 or more groups of animals, usually with different nutritional requirements, to graze sequentially on the same land area.


Greenchop


Limit grazing

Greenchop is where green, actively growing forage is chopped mechanically and fed to livestock. This method reduces waste by grazing animals so that more animals can be fed per acre. However, forage selectivity is reduced, and individual animal performance is often lower. Equipment, fuel, and labor costs are higher with this forage harvest method.

Limit grazing is where livestock are maintained on lower quality pasture but allowed to access a higher quality pasture (typically winter annual grass pasture) for a few hours each day or every few days. Waste from trampling is reduced with this method. This method provides good nutrition at relatively low cost as the area needed for high quality pasture is relatively small. Cattle learn to move to and from paddocks with relative ease after a routine is established.

## Grazing Formulas

Number of paddocks $=\frac{\text { days of rest }}{\text { days of grazing }}+1$

Acres required per paddock
$=\frac{\text { average animal weight } \times \text { dry matter consumed per animal as } \% \text { of body weight } \times \text { number of animals } \times \text { days on pasture }}{\text { dry matter available in grazing area } \times \% \text { of dry matter utilized by grazing }}$
Total acres required $=$ number of paddocks $\times$ acres required per paddock
Stocking rate $=\frac{\text { number of animals grazed }}{\text { total acres grazed }}$
Stocking density $=\frac{\text { number of animals grazed }}{\text { paddock size in acres }}$

## Body Condition Score (BCS)

- Tool used to evaluate nutritional status
- Body condition (fat cover) indicates the energy reserves of an animal
- Females in thin body condition at calving are slower to rebreed, produce less colostrum, may not have sufficient nutrient reserves for maximum milk production, and are less likely to wean a live calf
- Over-conditioning is expensive and can result in calving problems and lower dry matter intake early in lactation
- Easily evaluated by visual appraisal
- Does not require cattle handling

Recommended body condition scores at calving:

- mature cows: BCS 5
- first-calf heifers: BCS 6

Ideal times to body condition score beef cattle:

- When calves are weaned

45 days after weaning

- 90 days prior to calving
- At calving
- At the start of the breeding season

BCS 1 = Emaciated: No palpable fat is detectable over the spinous processes, transverse processes, ribs, or hooks. The tailhead and ribs appear very prominent.

BCS 2 = Poor: Animal is still somewhat emaciated but the tailhead and ribs are less prominent. Individual spinous processes are still sharp to the touch. Some tissue cover is present over the ribs towards the top of the back.

BCS 3 = Thin: Individual ribs including foreribs are easily identified but are not quite as sharp to the touch. Some fat can be felt along the spine and over the tailhead. Some tissue cover is present over the ribs towards the top of the back.

BCS 4 = Borderline: Individual ribs may not be visually obvious. Individual spinous processes can be felt when palpated but feel rounded rather than sharp. Some fat cover is present over the ribs, transverse processes and hooks.

BCS 5 = Moderate: Overall appearance is generally good. Fat cover over ribs feels spongy. Palpable fat cover is present on either side of the tailhead.

BCS $6=$ High moderate: A high degree of palpable fat exists over the ribs and around the tailhead. Firm pressure is needed to feel the spinous processes.

BCS 7 = Good: Considerable fat cover is present with a fleshy overall appearance. Fat cover over the ribs and around the tailhead is very spongy. Fat "pones" or "rounds" may be starting to form alongside the tailhead.

BCS 8 = Fat: The animal is very fleshy and appears over-conditioned. Palpation of the spinous processes is near impossible. Large fat deposits are present over the ribs and around the tailhead. Fat pones around the tailhead are obvious.

BCS 9 = Extremely fat: The overall appearance is blocky with extremely wasty and patchy fat cover. The tailhead and hooks are buried in fatty tissue with fat pones protruding. Bone structure is no longer visible and barely palpable. Large fatty deposits may even impair animal mobility.

Nutrient requirements to increase body condition score of beef cows from 4 to 5 during the last 90 days of pregnancy ${ }^{1}$

| Animal <br> Description | Dry Matter <br> Intake |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  |  | Daily Nutrients per |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mature BW at <br> body condition <br> score 5, lb | DMI, <br> $\mathrm{Ib} /$ day | $\mathrm{DMI}, \%$ <br> of BW | TDN, <br> $\% \mathrm{DM}$ | $\mathrm{NE}_{m}$, <br> $\mathrm{Mcal} / \mathrm{lb}$ | CP, <br> $\% \mathrm{DM}$ | TDN, <br> lb | $\mathrm{NE}_{\mathrm{m}}$, <br> Mcal | CP, <br> lb |
| 1,000 | 20.5 | 2.1 | 60 | .59 | 7.7 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 1.57 |
| 1,100 | 22.0 | 2.0 | 60 | .58 | 7.5 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 1.65 |
| 1,200 | 23.5 | 2.0 | 59 | .58 | 7.4 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 1.74 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{BW}=$ shrunk body weight or $96 \%$ full body weight, $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake, TDN = total digestible nutrients, $\mathrm{NE}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ net energy for maintenance, $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein, $\mathrm{Ca}=$ calcium, $\mathrm{P}=$ phosphorus Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

Nutrient requirements to increase body condition score of non-pregnant beef cows ${ }^{1}$

| Animal Description | Body Condition Score |  | Dry Matter Intake |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  |  | Daily Nutrients per Animal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mature BW at } \\ \text { BCS 5, lb } \end{gathered}$ | BCS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Days to gain } \\ 1 \text { BCS } \end{array}$ | DMI, lb/day | DMI, \% of BW | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TDN, } \\ \% \\ \text { DM } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{NE}_{\mathrm{m}}$, <br> Mcal/lb | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CP}, \\ \% \mathrm{DM} \end{gathered}$ | TDN, lb | $\mathrm{NE}_{\mathrm{m}}$, <br> Mcal | $\overline{C P},$ lb |
| 1,000 | 3 | 30 | 18.8 | 1.9 | 64 | . 65 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 1.14 |
|  |  | 60 | 17.7 | 1.8 | 57 | . 55 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 1.14 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 20.5 | 2.1 | 66 | . 67 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 1.21 |
|  |  | 60 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 58 | . 56 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 1.21 |
| 1,100 | 3 | 30 | 20.3 | 1.8 | 65 | . 66 | 6.0 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 1.22 |
|  |  | 60 | 19.0 | 1.7 | 58 | . 56 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 1.22 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 22.2 | 2.0 | 67 | . 69 | 5.9 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 1.30 |
|  |  | 60 | 20.4 | 1.9 | 58 | . 57 | 6.4 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 1.30 |
| 1,200 | 3 | 30 | 21.0 | 1.8 | 65 | . 69 | 6.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 1.30 |
|  |  | 60 | 20.3 | 1.7 | 58 | . 56 | 6.4 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 1.30 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 23.5 | 2.0 | 67 | . 68 | 5.9 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 1.38 |
|  |  | 60 | 21.8 | 1.8 | 58 | . 56 | 6.3 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 1.38 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{BCS}=$ body condition score, $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake, $\mathrm{BW}=$ shrunk body weight or $96 \%$ full body weight, TDN = total digestible nutrients, $\mathrm{NE}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ net energy for maintenance, $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

## Beef Cattle Water Intake Estimates

| Weight, | Water intake estimates, gallons |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Growing beef calves |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 90 |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 9.5 |
|  | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 12.7 |
|  | 6.3 | 6.8 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 15.0 |
|  | Finishing cattle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 14.3 |
| 800 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 17.4 |
| 1,000 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 14.5 | 20.6 |
| Pregnant cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $900^{1}$ | 6.7 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 9.7 | NA | NA |
| Lactating Cows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 16.2 |
| Mature bulls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,400 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 19.0 |
| $1,600+$ | 8.7 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 14.5 | 20.6 |

## Adequate Water Availability

- adequate number and size of water sources
- do not allow supplies to run low or out
- livestock may go thirsty
- livestock may damage water troughs
- water quality declines
- check daily


## Stock Tank Capacity

| Stock Tank Capacities (Height = 2 ft) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circular Type <br> Diameter, <br> ft |  | Rapacity, <br> gallons |  |  |
| Width, <br> ft | Length, <br> ft | Capacity, <br> gallons |  |  |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 4 | 95 |
| $31 / 2$ | 140 | 2 | 5 | 120 |
| 4 | 185 | 2 | 6 | 140 |
| $41 / 2$ | 235 | 2 | 7 | 185 |
| 5 | 290 | 2 | 8 | 195 |
| $51 / 2$ | 350 | 2 | 10 | 250 |
| 6 | 420 | 3 | 5 | 175 |
| $61 / 2$ | 495 | 3 | 6 | 220 |
| 7 | 570 | 3 | 7 | 260 |
| $71 / 2$ | 660 | 3 | 8 | 300 |
| 8 | 750 | 3 | 10 | 385 |
| 9 | 950 | 3 | 12 | 475 |
| 10 | 1170 | 3 | 14 | 560 |

Adapted from NCBA.2001. IRM Pocket Reference. $1^{5}$ ed.

## Acceptable Drinking Water for Cattle

- pH: 6.5 to 8.0
- $\leq 3,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ total dissolved solids
- $\leq 100 \mathrm{ppm}$ nitrate-nitrogen
- <500 mg sulfate per liter (contribute to $<0.4 \%$ total dietary sulfur on a dry matter basis)
- $\leq 1$ coliform per 10 mL water
- Free of nutrient enrichment, blue-green algae

Growing Steer and Heifer Nutrient
Requirements: $1,100 \mathrm{lb}$ at Finishing ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  | Daily Nutrients / Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADG, } \\ \text { lb } \end{gathered}$ | DMI, lb/day | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDN, \% } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CP, \% } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | TDN, | CP, $\mathrm{lb}$ |
| 300 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 54 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 0.73 |
|  | 1.0 | 8.4 | 59 | 11.4 | 5.0 | 0.95 |
|  | 1.5 | 8.6 | 64 | 13.6 | 5.5 | 1.17 |
|  | 2.0 | 8.6 | 69 | 16.2 | 5.9 | 1.39 |
|  | 2.5 | 8.5 | 75 | 18.9 | 6.4 | 1.61 |
|  | 3.0 | 8.2 | 83 | 22.2 | 6.8 | 1.83 |
| 400 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 54 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 0.85 |
|  | 1.0 | 10.4 | 59 | 10.4 | 6.1 | 1.08 |
|  | 1.5 | 10.7 | 64 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 1.30 |
|  | 2.0 | 10.7 | 69 | 14.1 | 7.4 | 1.51 |
|  | 2.5 | 10.6 | 75 | 16.3 | 8.0 | 1.72 |
|  | 3.0 | 10.2 | 83 | 19.0 | 8.5 | 1.94 |
| 500 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 54 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 0.97 |
|  | 1.0 | 12.2 | 59 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 1.19 |
|  | 1.5 | 12.6 | 64 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 1.41 |
|  | 2.0 | 12.7 | 69 | 12.8 | 8.8 | 1.63 |
|  | 2.5 | 12.5 | 75 | 14.7 | 9.4 | 1.84 |
|  | 3.0 | 12.1 | 83 | 16.9 | 10.0 | 2.05 |


| 600 | 0.5 | 13.2 | 54 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 1.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.0 | 14.0 | 59 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 1.31 |
|  | 1.5 | 14.4 | 64 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 1.53 |
|  | 2.0 | 14.6 | 69 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 1.74 |
|  | 2.5 | 14.4 | 75 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 1.95 |
|  | 3.0 | 13.8 | 83 | 15.7 | 11.5 | 2.17 |
|  | 0.5 | 14.9 | 54 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 1.19 |
|  | 1.0 | 15.8 | 59 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 1.42 |
|  | 1.5 | 16.2 | 64 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 1.64 |
|  | 2.0 | 16.3 | 69 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 1.85 |
|  | 2.5 | 16.1 | 75 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 2.06 |
|  | 3.0 | 15.5 | 83 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 2.27 |

${ }^{1}$ ADG = average daily gain; DMI = dry matter intake; TDN = total digestible nutrients; CP = crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

- Lightweight and early-weaned calves need
- More nutrient dense diets
- Greater \% crude protein
- Good source of digestible energy
- Provide acceptable levels of critical nutrients with extra care for stressed calves
- Minimize potential for nutritional disorders
- Keep concentrate level <55\% in receiving diets

Growing Steer and Heifer Nutrient
Requirements: $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0} \mathrm{lb}$ at Finishing ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | Diet N Den | utrient <br> sity | Dai <br> Nutri <br> / Ani | aily ients imal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADG, } \\ \text { lb } \end{gathered}$ | DMI, lb/day | TDN, \% dry matter | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CP}, \% \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDN, } \\ \text { lb } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CP, } \\ \text { lb } \end{gathered}$ |
| 300 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 54 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 0.73 |
|  | 1.0 | 8.3 | 58 | 11.5 | 4.8 | 0.95 |
|  | 1.5 | 8.6 | 63 | 13.7 | 5.4 | 1.17 |
|  | 2.0 | 8.6 | 68 | 16.2 | 5.8 | 1.40 |
|  | 2.5 | 8.6 | 73 | 18.7 | 6.3 | 1.61 |
|  | 3.0 | 8.3 | 80 | 22.0 | 6.6 | 1.83 |
| 400 | 0.5 | 9.7 | 54 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 0.85 |
|  | 1.0 | 10.3 | 58 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 1.07 |
|  | 1.5 | 10.6 | 63 | 12.2 | 6.7 | 1.30 |
|  | 2.0 | 10.7 | 68 | 14.1 | 7.3 | 1.51 |
|  | 2.5 | 10.7 | 73 | 16.1 | 7.8 | 1.72 |
|  | 3.0 | 10.4 | 80 | 18.7 | 8.3 | 1.94 |
| 500 | 0.5 | 11.5 | 54 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 0.97 |
|  | 1.0 | 12.2 | 58 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 1.19 |
|  | 1.5 | 12.6 | 63 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 1.41 |
|  | 2.0 | 12.6 | 68 | 12.9 | 8.6 | 1.63 |
|  | 2.5 | 12.6 | 73 | 14.6 | 9.2 | 1.84 |
|  | 3.0 | 12.2 | 80 | 16.8 | 9.8 | 2.05 |


| 600 | 0.5 | 13.2 | 54 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 1.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.0 | 14.0 | 58 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 1.31 |
|  | 1.5 | 14.4 | 63 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 1.52 |
|  | 2.0 | 14.4 | 68 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 1.74 |
|  | 2.5 | 14.4 | 73 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 1.95 |
|  | 3.0 | 14.0 | 80 | 15.4 | 11.2 | 2.16 |
| 700 | 0.5 | 14.8 | 54 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 1.18 |
|  | 1.0 | 15.7 | 58 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 1.42 |
|  | 1.5 | 16.2 | 63 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 1.64 |
|  | 2.0 | 16.3 | 68 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 1.85 |
|  | 2.5 | 16.2 | 73 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 2.05 |
|  | 3.0 | 15.8 | 80 | 14.4 | 12.6 | 2.27 |

${ }^{1}$ ADG = average daily gain; DMI = dry matter intake; TDN = total digestible nutrients; CP = crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

- Encourage consumption
- Use very palatable feeds/forages
- Proper feed and water placement
- May prefer dry over wet feeds at first
- Receiving diets
- At least maintenance requirements for protein, vitamins, and minerals when feed consumption is 1.0 to $1.5 \%$ of body weight
- Keep fat less than 4\% total dietary dry matter
- Non-protein nitrogen is not recommended for calves <600 lb.
- Avoid heat-damaged feeds

Growing Bull Nutrient Requirements: 2,000-Ib Mature Weight ${ }^{1,2}$

|  |  |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  | Daily Nutrients / Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | ADG, lb | DMI, lb/day | TDN, \% dry matter | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CP}, \% \\ & \text { dry } \\ & \text { matter } \end{aligned}$ | TDN, lb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CP, } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ |
| 300 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 55 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 0.73 |
|  | 1.0 | 8.3 | 58 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 0.95 |
|  | 1.5 | 8.5 | 61 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 1.17 |
|  | 2.0 | 8.6 | 65 | 16.3 | 5.6 | 1.40 |
|  | 2.5 | 8.7 | 68 | 18.5 | 5.9 | 1.61 |
|  | 3.0 | 8.6 | 72 | 21.3 | 6.2 | 1.83 |
| 400 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 55 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 0.85 |
|  | 1.0 | 10.3 | 58 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 1.08 |
|  | 1.5 | 10.5 | 61 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 1.30 |
|  | 2.0 | 10.7 | 65 | 14.1 | 7.0 | 1.51 |
|  | 2.5 | 10.7 | 68 | 16.2 | 7.3 | 1.73 |
|  | 3.0 | 10.7 | 72 | 18.1 | 7.7 | 1.94 |
| 500 | 0.5 | 11.7 | 55 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 0.97 |
|  | 1.0 | 12.2 | 58 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 1.19 |
|  | 1.5 | 12.5 | 61 | 11.3 | 7.6 | 1.41 |
|  | 2.0 | 12.6 | 65 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 1.63 |
|  | 2.5 | 12.7 | 68 | 14.5 | 8.6 | 1.84 |
|  | 3.0 | 12.6 | 72 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 2.05 |


| 600 | 0.5 | 13.4 | 55 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 1.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.0 | 13.9 | 58 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 1.31 |
|  | 1.5 | 14.3 | 61 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 1.53 |
|  | 2.0 | 14.5 | 65 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 1.74 |
|  | 2.5 | 14.5 | 68 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 1.95 |
|  | 3.0 | 14.5 | 72 | 14.9 | 10.4 | 2.16 |
| 700 | 0.5 | 15.1 | 55 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 1.19 |
|  | 1.0 | 15.6 | 58 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 1.42 |
|  | 1.5 | 16.0 | 61 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 1.64 |
|  | 2.0 | 16.3 | 65 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 1.86 |
|  | 2.5 | 16.3 | 68 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 2.07 |
|  | 3.0 | 15.3 | 72 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 2.27 |
| 800 | 0.5 | 16.7 | 55 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 1.28 |
|  | 1.0 | 17.3 | 58 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 1.51 |
|  | 1.5 | 17.7 | 61 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 1.72 |
|  | 2.0 | 18.0 | 65 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 1.93 |
|  | 2.5 | 18.1 | 68 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 2.13 |
|  | 3.0 | 18.0 | 72 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 2.33 |
| 900 | 0.5 | 18.2 | 55 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 1.37 |
|  | 1.0 | 18.9 | 58 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 1.57 |
|  | 1.5 | 19.4 | 61 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 1.77 |
|  | 2.0 | 19.6 | 65 | 9.9 | 12.7 | 1.95 |
|  | 2.5 | 19.7 | 68 | 10.9 | 13.4 | 2.14 |
|  | 3.0 | 19.6 | 72 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 2.33 |

${ }^{1}$ For bulls less than 12 months of age
${ }^{2}$ ADG = average daily gain; DMI = dry matter intake; TDN = total digestible nutrients; $C P=$ crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

Growing Yearling Nutrient Requirements: $1,200 \mathrm{lb}$ at Finishing ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  | Daily Nutrients / Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | ADG, lb | Dry matter intake, lb/day |  | CP, \% dry matter | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { TDN, } \\ \text { lb } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CP, } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ |
| 660 | 0.7 | 17.5 | 50 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 1.28 |
|  | 2.0 | 18.4 | 60 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 1.88 |
|  | 3.0 | 18.0 | 70 | 13.0 | 12.6 | 2.34 |
|  | 3.8 | 17.0 | 80 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 2.69 |
|  | 4.2 | 15.7 | 90 | 18.4 | 14.1 | 2.89 |
| 720 | 0.7 | 18.6 | 50 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 1.32 |
|  | 2.0 | 19.7 | 60 | 9.7 | 11.8 | 1.91 |
|  | 3.0 | 19.2 | 70 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 2.34 |
|  | 3.8 | 18.2 | 80 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 2.66 |
|  | 4.2 | 16.8 | 90 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 2.86 |
| 780 | 0.7 | 19.8 | 50 | 6.9 | 9.9 | 1.37 |
|  | 2.0 | 20.9 | 60 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 1.92 |
|  | 3.0 | 20.4 | 70 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 2.33 |
|  | 3.8 | 19.3 | 80 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 2.62 |
|  | 4.2 | 17.8 | 90 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 2.81 |


| 840 | 0.7 | 20.9 | 50 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 1.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.0 | 22.1 | 60 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 1.94 |
|  | 3.0 | 21.6 | 70 | 10.8 | 15.1 | 2.33 |
|  | 3.8 | 20.4 | 80 | 12.8 | 16.3 | 2.61 |
|  | 4.2 | 18.8 | 90 | 14.7 | 16.9 | 2.76 |
| 900 | 0.7 | 22.0 | 50 | 6.6 | 11.0 | 1.45 |
|  | 2.0 | 23.3 | 60 | 8.4 | 14.0 | 1.96 |
|  | 3.0 | 22.7 | 70 | 10.2 | 15.9 | 2.32 |
|  | 3.8 | 21.5 | 80 | 12.0 | 17.2 | 2.58 |
|  | 4.2 | 19.8 | 90 | 13.8 | 17.8 | 2.73 |
|  | 0.7 | 23.1 | 50 | 6.5 | 11.6 | 1.50 |
|  | 2.0 | 24.4 | 60 | 8.1 | 14.6 | 1.98 |
|  | 3.0 | 23.9 | 70 | 9.7 | 16.7 | 2.32 |
|  | 3.8 | 22.5 | 80 | 11.3 | 18.0 | 2.54 |
|  | 4.2 | 20.8 | 90 | 13.0 | 18.7 | 2.70 |

${ }^{1}$ ADG = average daily gain; TDN = total digestible nutrients;
CP = crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

- Project and monitor cost of gain
- Determine target weight gains
- Place bulls on test to evaluate growth
- Match nutrition program with animal requirements
- Monitor weight gains periodically
- Do not allow heifers to lose weight or become too fat during development


## Pregnant Replacement Heifer Nutrient <br> Requirements ${ }^{1}$



| 1,400 | 1 | 21.7 | 50.7 | 7.3 | 11.0 | 1.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 22.3 | 50.8 | 7.2 | 11.3 | 1.61 |
|  | 3 | 22.9 | 50.9 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 1.65 |
|  | 4 | 23.5 | 51.2 | 7.2 | 12.0 | 1.69 |
|  | 5 | 24.2 | 51.6 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 1.77 |
|  | 6 | 24.9 | 52.4 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 1.82 |
|  | 7 | 25.8 | 53.7 | 7.8 | 13.9 | 2.01 |
|  | 8 | 26.6 | 55.8 | 8.4 | 14.8 | 2.23 |
|  | 9 | 27.4 | 59.0 | 9.3 | 16.2 | 2.55 |

${ }^{1}$ TDN = total digestible nutrients; CP = crude protein
Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

- Feed heifers separately from mature cows
- Keeps heifers from being bossed out of feed trough by cows (feeding competition)
- Allows better matching of nutritional resources to different cattle classes
- Target 85 to $90 \%$ of mature body weight at first calving
- Pregnant heifer nutrient requirements increase throughout gestation and are greatest in the last trimester


## Two-year-old Lactating First-calf Heifer Nutrient Requirements ${ }^{1,2}$

|  |  |  | Diet N Den | utrient sity | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dai } \\ \text { Nutrie } \\ \text { Anin } \end{array}$ | ents / <br> mal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mature body weight, lb | Months after calving | DMI, <br> lb/day | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TDN, \% } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CP}, \% \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { TDN, } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CP, lb |
| 1,000 | 1 | 20.4 | 61.0 | 10.6 | 12.4 | 2.16 |
|  | 2 | 21.2 | 62.1 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 2.36 |
|  | 3 | 21.8 | 59.8 | 10.4 | 13.0 | 2.26 |
|  | 4 | 21.2 | 58.5 | 9.7 | 12.4 | 2.06 |
|  | 5 | 20.7 | 57.1 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 1.87 |
|  | 6 | 20.3 | 56.0 | 8.4 | 11.4 | 1.71 |
| 1,200 | 1 | 22.9 | 60.4 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 2.34 |
|  | 2 | 23.8 | 61.4 | 10.7 | 14.6 | 2.55 |
|  | 3 | 24.5 | 59.2 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 2.44 |
|  | 4 | 24.0 | 58.0 | 9.4 | 13.9 | 2.25 |
|  | 5 | 23.4 | 56.8 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 2.05 |
|  | 6 | 23.0 | 55.8 | 8.3 | 12.8 | 1.90 |
| 1,400 | 1 | 25.3 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 15.2 | 2.52 |
|  | 2 | 26.2 | 60.9 | 10.4 | 16.0 | 2.72 |
|  | 3 | 27.1 | 58.7 | 9.7 | 15.9 | 2.62 |
|  | 4 | 26.6 | 57.6 | 9.1 | 15.3 | 2.43 |
|  | 5 | 26.1 | 56.5 | 8.5 | 14.7 | 2.23 |
|  | 6 | 25.7 | 55.7 | 8.1 | 14.3 | 2.08 |

[^3]
## Two-year-old Dry (Non-lactating) First-calf

 Heifer Nutrient Requirements ${ }^{1}$|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diet } \mathrm{Nu} \\ \text { Dens } \end{array}$ | utrient sity | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { Da } \\ \text { Nutrie } \\ \text { Anii } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ily mal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mature body weight, lb | Months after calving | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DMI, } \\ \text { Ib/day } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDN, \% } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CP}, \% \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { matter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { TDN, } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CP, lb |
| 1,000 | 7 | 18.8 | 48.6 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 1.29 |
|  | 8 | 18.9 | 49.4 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 1.33 |
|  | 9 | 19.1 | 50.7 | 7.3 | 9.7 | 1.39 |
|  | 10 | 19.4 | 52.7 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 1.50 |
|  | 11 | 19.9 | 55.5 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 1.66 |
|  | 12 | 20.6 | 59.1 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 1.92 |
| 1,200 | 7 | 21.5 | 48.9 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 1.48 |
|  | 8 | 21.7 | 49.7 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 1.53 |
|  | 9 | 22.0 | 51.0 | 7.3 | 11.2 | 1.61 |
|  | 10 | 22.3 | 53.1 | 7.8 | 11.8 | 1.73 |
|  | 11 | 22.8 | 55.9 | 8.5 | 12.7 | 1.93 |
|  | 12 | 23.7 | 59.7 | 9.4 | 14.1 | 2.23 |
| 1,400 | 7 | 24.2 | 49.1 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 1.67 |
|  | 8 | 24.4 | 49.9 | 7.0 | 12.2 | 1.72 |
|  | 9 | 24.7 | 51.3 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 1.81 |
|  | 10 | 25.1 | 53.4 | 7.8 | 13.4 | 1.96 |
|  | 11 | 25.7 | 56.4 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 2.19 |
|  | 12 | 26.7 | 60.2 | 9.5 | 16.1 | 2.54 |

[^4]
## Mature Lactating Cow Nutrient

Requirements: $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{l b} /$ day peak milk ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diet } \mathrm{Nu} \\ \text { Dens } \end{array}$ | utrient sity |  | Daily <br> mal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | Months after calving | DMI, lb/day | TDN, \% dry matter | CP, \% dry matter | TDN, lb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CP, } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1,000 | 1 | 24.0 | 59.6 | 10.5 | 14.3 | 2.53 |
|  | 2 | 25.0 | 60.9 | 11.2 | 15.2 | 2.79 |
|  | 3 | 25.4 | 58.6 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 2.64 |
|  | 4 | 24.4 | 57.0 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 2.36 |
|  | 5 | 23.5 | 55.4 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 2.08 |
|  | 6 | 22.7 | 54.0 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 1.85 |
| 1,200 | 1 | 26.8 | 58.7 | 10.1 | 15.7 | 2.71 |
|  | 2 | 27.8 | 59.9 | 10.7 | 16.7 | 2.97 |
|  | 3 | 28.4 | 57.6 | 9.9 | 16.4 | 2.82 |
|  | 4 | 27.4 | 56.2 | 9.3 | 15.4 | 2.54 |
|  | 5 | 26.5 | 54.7 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 2.26 |
|  | 6 | 25.7 | 53.4 | 7.9 | 13.7 | 2.04 |
| 1,400 | 1 | 29.5 | 58.0 | 9.8 | 17.1 | 2.88 |
|  | 2 | 30.5 | 59.1 | 10.3 | 18.0 | 3.14 |
|  | 3 | 31.3 | 56.8 | 9.6 | 17.8 | 2.99 |
|  | 4 | 30.3 | 55.5 | 8.9 | 16.8 | 2.70 |
|  | 5 | 29.4 | 54.1 | 8.3 | 15.9 | 2.44 |
|  | 6 | 28.6 | 53.0 | 7.7 | 15.2 | 2.21 |

[^5]
## Mature Dry (Non-lactating) Cow Nutrient Requirements ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | Diet Nutrient Density |  | Daily Nutrients / Animal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight, lb | Months after calving | DMI, lb/day | TDN, \% dry matter | CP, \% dry matter | TDN, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CP, } \\ & \text { lb } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1,000 | 7 | 19.5 | 46.8 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 1.26 |
|  | 8 | 19.8 | 47.2 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 1.30 |
|  | 9 | 20.3 | 47.9 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 1.35 |
|  | 10 | 21.1 | 48.9 | 6.9 | 10.3 | 1.45 |
|  | 11 | 21.0 | 52.1 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 1.61 |
|  | 12 | 21.4 | 55.9 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 1.86 |
| 1,200 | 7 | 22.4 | 46.9 | 6.5 | 10.5 | 1.45 |
|  | 8 | 22.8 | 47.3 | 6.5 | 10.8 | 1.49 |
|  | 9 | 23.3 | 47.9 | 6.7 | 11.2 | 1.56 |
|  | 10 | 24.3 | 49.0 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 1.67 |
|  | 11 | 24.1 | 52.3 | 7.7 | 12.6 | 1.86 |
|  | 12 | 24.6 | 56.2 | 8.8 | 13.8 | 2.16 |
| 1,400 | 7 | 25.2 | 46.9 | 6.5 | 11.8 | 1.63 |
|  | 8 | 25.6 | 47.3 | 6.5 | 12.1 | 1.67 |
|  | 9 | 26.2 | 48.0 | 6.7 | 12.6 | 1.75 |
|  | 10 | 27.3 | 49.1 | 6.9 | 13.4 | 1.89 |
|  | 11 | 27.0 | 52.6 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 2.11 |
|  | 12 | 27.6 | 56.6 | 8.9 | 15.6 | 2.45 |

[^6]Growing and Mature Bull Nutrient
Requirements: 2,000-lb Mature Weight ${ }^{1,2}$


[^7]Mineral Maximum Tolerable
Concentrations in Beef Cattle

| Mineral Element | Maximum Tolerable <br> Concentration |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aluminum | 1000 ppm |
| Arsenic | $50 \mathrm{ppm}(100 \mathrm{ppm}$ for <br> organic forms) |
| Bromine | 200 ppm |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ppm |
| Chromium | 1000 ppm |
| Cobalt | 10 ppm |
| Copper | 100 ppm |
| Fluorine | 40 to 100 ppm |
| Iodine | 50 ppm |
| Iron | 1000 ppm |
| Lead | 30 ppm |
| Magnesium | $0.4 \%$ |
| Manganese | 1000 ppm |
| Mercury | 2 ppm |
| Molybdenum | 5 ppm |
| Nickel | 50 ppm |
| Potassium | $3 \%$ |
| Selenium | 2 ppm |
| Strontium | 2000 ppm |
| Sulfur | $0.4 \%$ |
| Zinc | 500 ppm |

Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

## Mineral and Vitamin Levels

| Mineral/ <br> Vitamin | Recommended <br> Level in Supplement | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ca | $1.6: 1$ Ca:P ideal (1:1 <br> to 4:1 acceptable) | Forages high in Ca, <br> Grains high in P |
| P | 4 to 8\% | More needed with <br> poor forage |
| Mg | 2\% (low quality <br> forage); <br> $4 \%$ (intermediate <br> quality forage) | At least 10\% for <br> grass tetany <br> prevention <br> (preferably 13-14\%) |
| K | Not critical on <br> pasture | Needed on high- <br> concentrate diets |
| NaCl | 10 to 25\% of <br> supplement <br> Salt) | Dietary levels $\geq 6.5 \%$ <br> reduce feed intake; <br> Be aware of water <br> salt content |
| Co | 15 ppm (4-oz intake <br> supplement) | 1250 ppm (4-oz <br> intake supplement) |
| Cu | 50 ppm (4-oz intake <br> supplement) | Max legal EDDI rate <br> 50 mg/hd/day |
| I | 2000 ppm (4-oz <br> intake supplement) | Use max legal rate in <br> deficiency areas |
| No more than 0.3 |  |  |
| ppm complete feeds |  |  |
| or 120 ppm in salt- |  |  |
| mineral mix |  |  |$|$| Se |
| :--- |


| Zn | 4000 ppm (4-oz <br> intake supplement) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vitamin <br> A | 100,000 to 200,000 <br> IU <br> (4-oz intake <br> supplement) | Deficiency most <br> likely when lush <br> forage for grazing is <br> lacking |
| Vitamin <br> D | 7,500 to 20,000 IU <br> (4-oz intake <br> supplement) | Not of practical <br> importance for <br> cattle housed <br> outdoors |
| Vitamin <br> E | 50 to 100 IU (4-oz <br> intake supplement) | Particularly <br> important for <br> stressed calves |

Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $\boldsymbol{T}^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

To increase mineral/vitamin supplement intake

- Do not let supplement run out
- Add salt
- Move away from water and loafing areas
- Change mineral mix
- Break up hardened loose supplement

To decrease mineral/vitamin supplement intake

- Add dry molasses or protein meal
- Make sure salt is not offered separately
- Move closer to water and loafing areas
- Change mineral mix

Nutritive Values of Selected Beef Cattle Feeds on a Dry Matter Basis ${ }^{1}$

| Feedstuff | Dry <br> Matter <br> $\%$ | Total <br> Digestible <br> Nutrients \% | Crude <br> Protein \% | Crude <br> Fiber \% | Crude <br> Fat \% | Calcium <br> $\%$ | Phosphorus <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Energy Feeds

| Whole Shelled Corn | 90 | 90 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0.03 | 0.32 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hominy Feed | 90 | 91 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 0.06 | 0.58 |
| Soybean Hulls | 90 | 80 | 12 | 39 | 2 | 0.60 | 0.17 |
| Wheat Midds | 89 | 77 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| Rice Bran | 90 | 70 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 0.10 | 1.73 |
| Cane Molasses | 74 | 72 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| Citrus Pulp | 90 | 80 | 6.5 | 13 | 4 | 1.90 | 0.13 |
| Protein Feeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn Gluten Feed | 90 | 83 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 0.07 | 0.95 |
| Whole Cottonseed | 93 | 90 | 24 | 22 | 18 | 0.20 | 0.73 |
| Cottonseed Meal | 92 | 76 | 41 | 13 | 3 | 0.18 | 1.21 |
| Soybean Meal | 90 | 84 | 48 | 7 | 2 | 0.34 | 0.70 |


| Peanut Meal | 88 | 77 | 53 | 2 | 2 | 0.32 | 0.66 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dried Distillers <br> Grains | 92 | 86 | 27 | 12 | 10 | 0.26 | 0.83 |
| Brewers Grains | 24 | 69 | 26 | 15 | 11 | 0.30 | 0.57 |
| Roughages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cottonseed Hulls | 91 | 42 | 4 | 48 | 2 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| Cotton Gin Trash | 92 | 46 | 8 | 38 |  | 0.60 | 0.20 |
| Peanut Hay | 91 | 48 | 11 | 33 |  | 1.20 | 0.15 |
| Peanut Hulls | 91 | 22 | 9 | 63 |  | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| Corn Stalks | 85 | 50 | 6.6 | 34 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.10 |
| Soybean Stubble | 88 | 40 | 5 | 44 |  | 1.00 | 0.06 |
| Wheat Straw | 92 | 40 | 4 | 42 | 2 | 0.17 | 0.04 |

${ }^{1}$ The nutritive values presented are intended as a general guide to nutritive values of feedstuffs. Significant variation in nutritive values exists among different feed sources.

Feed Storage Requirements for Selected Beef Cattle Feedstuffs

|  | Feed Storage Requirement |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feedstuff | $\mathbf{l b} /$ bushel | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{3}}$ /ton |
| Wet brewers grains | 81 | 65 | 31 |
| Whole corn | 56 | 45 | 44 |
| Soybean meal | 52 | 42 | 48 |
| Soybean hulls, <br> pelleted | 50 | 40 | 50 |
| Cottonseed meal | 47 | 38 | 53 |
| Corn silage | 44 | 35 | 57 |
| Corn gluten feed | 41 | 33 | 61 |
| Hominy feed | 35 | 28 | 71 |
| Soybean hulls, loose | 35 | 28 | 71 |
| Oats | 32 | 26 | 77 |
| Whole cottonseed | 31 | 25 | 80 |
| Wheat midds | 25 | 20 | 100 |
| Rice bran | 25 | 20 | 100 |
| Cottonseed hulls | 19 | 15 | 133 |
| Dried brewers <br> grains | 19 | 15 | 133 |
| Dried distillers <br> grains | 19 | 15 | 133 |
| Peanut skins | 14 | 11 | 182 |
| Cotton gin trash | 9 | 7 | 286 |

## Commodity Shed Considerations

- Able to accommodate ~24-ton loads
- Walking-floor, dump, or auger trailer
- Road for 53-foot trailer to maneuver
- Minimum 14 feet of vertical clearance
- Minimum bay width of 12 to 14 feet
- Clearance on sides for truck to open doors
- Feeds typically piled 6 to 8 feet high
- Front loader may be needed to move feed
- Allow for $25 \%$ extra space beyond storage requirements based on feed bulk density


## Hay Storage Considerations

- Use hay storage sheds when possible
- Bale tightly-packed (dense) bales
- Avoid high-moisture bales: heating/fire risk
- Place on rock or pallets (avoid soil contact)
- Cover tops and sides of bales
- Store on gently sloping, well-drained site
- Store out from under shade or trees
- Butt flat ends tightly together
- Run bale rows down slope with north/south orientation and southern exposure
- Do not allow rounded bale sides to touch
- Maintain 3 feet of space between rows
- Keep away from lightning attractants
- Eliminate vegetation 3 feet around hay

Feeder Space Requirements

| Feeding <br> Management | Cattle <br> Class | Feeder Space <br> Requirements |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hand-feeding <br> supplement | Cows | 30 linear inches/head |
| Free-choice | Calves | 24 linear inches/head |
| feeding | Nursing <br> calves | 6 linear inches/head |
|  | Weaned <br> calves | 12 linear inches/head |
| Creep feeding | Nursing <br> calves | Creep gate openings 16 <br> to 20 inches wide and <br> 36 to 42 inches high |

## Calculating \$/Unit of Nutrient of a Feed

To calculate the price of a feedstuff on a $\$ / \mathrm{lb}$ of crude protein (CP) basis:
$2000 \mathrm{lb} \times$ \%CP of feed $=\mathrm{lb}$ CP in ton of feed
Price/ton $\div \mathrm{lb}$ CP in ton of feed $=\$ / \mathrm{lb}$ CP of feed
Example:
For a 20\% CP supplement at $\$ 233 /$ ton:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2000 \mathrm{lb} \times 0.20 \mathrm{CP}=400 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{CP} \\
& \$ 233 / \mathrm{ton} \div 400 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{CP}=\$ 0.58 / \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{CP}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Price Conversions

$\$ /$ ton $\div 20=\$ / c w t=c / l b$
$\$ /$ ton $\div 2000=\$ / 1 \mathrm{~b}$

## Relative Feedstuff Value with Selected Corn and Soybean Meal Prices ${ }^{1}$

|  | Corn Price, \$/ton |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feedstuff | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |
| Whole | $\$ 207$ | $\$ 225$ | $\$ 243$ | $\$ 261$ | $\$ 280$ | $\$ 298$ |
| cottonseed | $\$ 220$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 256$ | $\$ 274$ | $\$ 293$ | $\$ 311$ |
|  | $\$ 233$ | $\$ 251$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 288$ | $\$ 306$ | $\$ 324$ |
| Cottonseed | $\$ 82$ | $\$ 94$ | $\$ 105$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 128$ | $\$ 140$ |
| hulls | $\$ 83$ | $\$ 94$ | $\$ 106$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 129$ | $\$ 140$ |
|  | $\$ 83$ | $\$ 94$ | $\$ 106$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 129$ | $\$ 140$ |
| Soybean hulls | $\$ 149$ | $\$ 167$ | $\$ 185$ | $\$ 203$ | $\$ 221$ | $\$ 239$ |
|  | $\$ 153$ | $\$ 171$ | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 207$ | $\$ 225$ | $\$ 243$ |
|  | $\$ 157$ | $\$ 175$ | $\$ 193$ | $\$ 211$ | $\$ 229$ | $\$ 247$ |
| Corn gluten | $\$ 182$ | $\$ 196$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 251$ |
| feed | $\$ 197$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 252$ | $\$ 266$ |
|  | $\$ 211$ | $\$ 225$ | $\$ 239$ | $\$ 252$ | $\$ 266$ | $\$ 280$ |
| Hominy feed | $\$ 166$ | $\$ 188$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 232$ | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 276$ |
|  | $\$ 167$ | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 212$ | $\$ 234$ | $\$ 256$ | $\$ 278$ |
|  | $\$ 169$ | $\$ 191$ | $\$ 213$ | $\$ 235$ | $\$ 258$ | $\$ 280$ |
| Dried distillers | $\$ 209$ | $\$ 223$ | $\$ 237$ | $\$ 251$ | $\$ 265$ | $\$ 279$ |
| grains | $\$ 227$ | $\$ 241$ | $\$ 255$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 283$ | $\$ 298$ |
|  | $\$ 245$ | $\$ 259$ | $\$ 273$ | $\$ 288$ | $\$ 302$ | $\$ 316$ |
| Wheat midds | $\$ 172$ | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 205$ | $\$ 222$ | $\$ 238$ | $\$ 255$ |
|  | $\$ 182$ | $\$ 198$ | $\$ 215$ | $\$ 231$ | $\$ 248$ | $\$ 264$ |
|  | $\$ 191$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 241$ | $\$ 257$ | $\$ 274$ |
| Rice bran | $\$ 142$ | $\$ 156$ | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 185$ | $\$ 199$ | $\$ 213$ |
|  | $\$ 149$ | $\$ 163$ | $\$ 177$ | $\$ 192$ | $\$ 206$ | $\$ 220$ |
|  | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 184$ | $\$ 198$ | $\$ 213$ | $\$ 227$ |
| Cane molasses | $\$ 104$ | $\$ 120$ | $\$ 136$ | $\$ 152$ | $\$ 168$ | $\$ 184$ |
|  | $\$ 103$ | $\$ 119$ | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 150$ | $\$ 166$ | $\$ 182$ |
|  | $\$ 102$ | $\$ 117$ | $\$ 133$ | $\$ 149$ | $\$ 165$ | $\$ 181$ |

${ }^{1}$ Top, middle, and bottom values are estimated based on soybean meal costing $\$ 300 /$ ton, $\$ 350 /$ ton, and $\$ 400 /$ ton, respectively.
$\$ / \mathrm{cwt}=\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{lb} ; \$ /$ ton $\div 20=\$ / \mathrm{cwt} ; \$ /$ ton $\div 2000=\$ / \mathrm{lb}$

## Basic Ration Balancing

With one nutrient and two ingredients:

## Pearson Square Method

1. Place the nutrient concentration of the final ration in the middle of the square
2. List the feed ingredients and their nutrient concentration on the right side of the square
3. Subtract diagonally across the square for each feed ingredient, and place values on the right side of the square
4. Divide each number on the right hand side by the sum of the two right hand values and multiply by 100 to convert it to a percentage

Example:


The 16\% CP ration contains:
$73.8 \%$ corn and $26.2 \%$ SBM

When a known amount of hay/feed will be fed:

## Modified Algebra Method

1. Determine animal requirements
2. Determine amount of known feed(s)
3. Determine nutrient content of feeds included in the ration
4. Determine amount of total ration
5. Make a table and set up equation

| Ingredient: | Corn | Soybean <br> Meal | Hay | Diet |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\% \mathrm{CP}$ | 10 | 49 | 9 | 12 |
| Amount | x | $90-\mathrm{x}$ | 10 | 100 |
| Equation | 10 x | $4410-49 \mathrm{x}$ | 90 | 1200 |

6. Solve equation for x to determine amount of unknown feeds

Example:
For 100 lb of a $12 \% \mathrm{CP}$ ration, using the table;
Solve the equation for x :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x+4410-49 x+90=1200 \\
& 4320-39 x=1200 \\
& -39 x=-3120 \\
& x=80
\end{aligned}
$$

The 12\% CP ration contains:
$80 \%$ corn, $10 \%$ soybean meal, and $10 \%$ hay

## Limiting Feed Intake

- Limit feed offering (hand feed)
- Added labor for daily feeding
- Feeding space (trough) requirements
- Timid cattle may consume less than others
- Use intake limiting ingredients in diet
- Makes self-feeding practical
- Add bulky ingredients such as cottonseed hulls or hay to the diet
- Add salt or commercial limiter to diet
- Salt as an intake limiter
- Not a precise intake regulator
- Cattle consume about 0.1 pounds of salt per 100 pounds of body weight
- Uniform distribution in mix needed
- Cattle may consume less mineral if separate
- Corrosive to metal equipment


## Expected Daily Salt Consumption by Cattle

| Body Weight, lb | Low | Average | High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 500 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 700 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 900 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 1,100 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 1,300 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 1,500 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 |

Feed Additive Intake

| Daily Supplement <br> Consumption, ounces | Daily Additive Consumption, $\mathbf{~ m g} /$ head/day |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0} \mathbf{g} /$ ton supplement | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 0} \mathbf{g} /$ ton supplement |
| 1 | 37.5 | 50.6 |
| 2 | 75.0 | 101.3 |
| 3 | 112.5 | 151.9 |
| 4 | 150.0 | 202.5 |
| 5 | 187.5 | 253.1 |
| 6 | 225.0 | 303.8 |
| 7 | 262.5 | 354.4 |
| 8 | 300.0 | 405.0 |

$$
\frac{\text { ounces consumed }}{16} \times \frac{g / \text { ton }}{2}
$$

Feed Additives

| Additive Type | Purpose(s) | Example(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Antibiotic | Prevention and treatment of <br> diseases, improvements in rate of <br> gain and efficiency | Chlorotetracycline, <br> oxytetracycline, bacitracin, <br> tylosin |
| Bloat <br> prevention aid | Prevent bloat on legume and other <br> lush pasture | Poloxalene |
| Buffer | Reduce fluctuations in rumen pH to <br> decrease acidosis risk | Sodium bicarbonate |
| Estrus <br> suppressant | Suppress estrus (heat or cyclic <br> sexual activity) for estrus <br> synchronization or to reduce heifer <br> riding behavior in feedlot, improve <br> gain and feed efficiency in females | Melengestrol acetate (MGA ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) |
| Fly control | Kill fly larvae as they hatch in the <br> manure | Insect growth regulators |


| Ionophore | Improve feed efficiency; improve average daily gain; "spare" protein; reduce incidence of coccidiosis, acidosis, and bloat | Monensin (Rumensin$\left.{ }^{\circledR}\right)$, lasalocid (Bovatec $\left.{ }^{\circledR}\right)$, Laidlomycin propionate (Cattlyst $\left.^{\circledR}\right)$, bambermycin (Gainpro $^{\circledR}$ ), and virginiamycin $\left(\right.$ V-max $\left.{ }^{\circledR}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nutrient repartitioning agent (Betaagonist) | Redirects nutrients that would have become fat and makes them into protein; increase live weight gain, improve feed efficiency, and increase red meat yield | Ractopamine hydrochloride (Optaflexx ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) |
| Worm control | Deworming when animal handling for direct dewormer delivery is difficult | Safe-Guard ${ }^{\text {® }}$ dewormer block |
| Yeast cultures | Possibly improve feed efficiency, gain, and health | Saccharomyces cerevisiae |

Stages of Female Reproduction in Cattle

| Stage | Description | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prepubertal | non-cycling, growing <br> heifers | do not overfeed or underfeed; do not implant <br> replacement heifers |
| Puberty | first estrus (begins <br> normal cycles) | average age at puberty 10 to 12 months; age at <br> puberty ranges from 6 to 24 months; varies by <br> breed |
| Estrous <br> cycles | continued cycles <br> with even intervals | normal cycle averages 21 days and ranges from 17 <br> to 24 days |
| Gestation | pregnancy duration <br> (not cycling) | length averages 283 days and ranges from 273 to <br> 290 days; varies with breed |
| Parturition | birth (calving) | interval to first heat after calving averages 45 days <br> Postpartum <br> recovery after <br> calving (not cycling <br> or "short" cycling) |
| and 82 days to maintain annual calving cycle |  |  |

Normal reproductive life is 10 years. Cows may reproduce through 15 years of age but rarely longer.

## Normal Estrous Cycle of Cattle

Estrous cycle: period from one estrus (standing heat, sexual receptivity phase) to the next estrus


Day 1: egg ovulated from a follicle on ovary Day 5: site of ovulation develops into a CL Days 6 to 16: CL secretes progesterone Day 17: in non-pregnant animal, uterus secretes prostaglandin causing CL to regress; in pregnant animal, embryo prevents prostaglandin release, CL continues secreting progesterone, and pregnancy maintained
Days 17 to 21: CL regressing; new egg-containing follicle develops and secretes estrogen
Day 20 or 21: animal comes into standing heat

## Measures of Reproductive Efficiency

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Measure } & \text { Calculation } & \text { Management } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Conception } \\ \text { rate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of females conceiving } \div \\ \text { Number of females exposed to } \\ \text { breeding } \times 100\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\bullet \text { Percent conceived } \\ \bullet \text { Typically not measured due to difficulty in } \\ \text { determining if conception has taken place } \\ \text { Cattle may conceive and then suffer early } \\ \text { embryonic death; challenging to distinguish } \\ \text { from cattle that never conceived }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Pregnancy rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of females diagnosed } \\ \text { pregnant } \div \text { Number of females } \\ \text { eligible for pregnancy x } 100\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\bullet \text { Percent pregnant } \\ \bullet \text { Measure of breeding season success }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Live calving rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of live calves born } \div \\ \text { (Number of females exposed to } \\ \text { breeding - Number of breeding } \\ \text { herd females sold or died }+ \\ \text { Number of pregnant females } \\ \text { purchased) } \times 100\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\bullet \text { Percent birth calf crop } \\ \bullet \text { Measure of collective results of breeding } \\ \text { and calving seasons } \\ \text { Cattle must not only conceive, but they } \\ \text { must also give birth to live, healthy calves }\end{array} \\ \bullet \text { Reproductive losses between breeding and } \\ \text { calving may be due to reproductive disease }\end{array}\right\}$
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Weaning rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { (Number of calves weaned }+ \\ \text { Number of calves sold } \\ \text { preweaning) } \div \text { (Number of females } \\ \text { exposed to breeding }- \text { Number of } \\ \text { breeding herd females sold or died } \\ + \text { Number of pregnant females } \\ \text { purchased) } \times 100\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\bullet \text { Percent calf crop weaned } \\ \bullet \text { Single most descriptive measure of herd } \\ \text { reproductive performance }\end{array} \\ \bullet \text { Evaluates conception, pregnancy, calving, } \\ \text { and preweaning success or failure }\end{array}\right\}$

## Timeline for Estrus (Heat) Signs in Cattle

|  | Coming into Heat (8 hours) | Standing Heat (18 hours) | Going out of Heat (14+ hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heat <br> Signs | - Stands and bellows <br> - Curious <br> - Smells other cows <br> - Headbutts other cows <br> - Attempts to ride other cows but will not stand to be mounted <br> - Red, moist, slightly swollen vulva <br> - Clear mucous discharge from vulva | - Stands to be mounted <br> - Rides other cows <br> - Bellows frequently <br> - Nervous and excitable | - Attempts to ride other cows but will not stand to be mounted <br> - Smells other cows <br> - Clear mucous discharge from vulva |

## Estrous Synchronization

Estrous synchronization is a reproductive management tool. It involves manipulating females' estrous cycles with one or more hormones for the purpose of bringing cattle into estrus (heat) within a short period of time. It is used to conveniently time the breeding of cattle in artificial insemination and embryo transfer programs.
For specific synchronization protocols: http://beefrepro.unl.edu/resources.html Bovine Estrous Synchronization Hormones

| Hormone | Function | Commercial Names |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GnRH | stimulates ovulation through <br> release of LH | Cystorelin $^{\circ}$, Factrel ${ }^{\circ}$, Fertagyl ${ }^{\circ}$, <br> OvaCyst $^{\circ}$ |
| Progestin | are or act like progesterone; <br> inhibits estrus and ovulation | MGA $^{\circ}$ (melangestrol acetate), CIDR ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> (progesterone) |
| PGF2 $\alpha$ | lyses (removes) the CL, thus <br> removing progesterone from the <br> blood and letting ovulation <br> occur | estroPLAN ${ }^{\circ}$, Estrumate ${ }^{\circ}$, In-Synch ${ }^{\circ}$, <br> ${\text { Lutalyse }{ }^{\circ} \text {, ProstaMate }{ }^{\circ}}$ |

## Estrus (Heat) Detection Aids

| Detection Aid | Application ${ }^{1}$ | Detection Method | Management Concerns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kamar ${ }^{\text {® }}$ <br> Heatmount ${ }^{\text {© }}$ <br> Detector | Apply with adhesive between tail head and hip bone over sacrum of female | Detector remains white until triggered by weight of mounting animal, then it turns bright red | - Partial activation of detectors makes it hard to tell if heat has occurred <br> - Dislodged detectors |
| Estrotect ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Heat Detector | Apply with selfadhesive between tail head and hip bone over the sacrum of female | Detector remains silver until friction of mounting animal(s) reveals fluorescent color below scratched-off silver layer | - False positives from low branches, gates, and cattle <br> - Dislodged detectors |
| Bovine Beacon ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Glue to tail head of female | Contains fluorescent dye that glows in the dark when female is mounted by another animal | - False positives from low branches, gates, and cattle <br> - Dislodged detectors |


| Tail Head Markers | Smear liberal amounts of crayon, chalk, paste, or paint on tail head | When marker is rubbed off of tail head (hair ruffled and pulled back), female has stood to be mounted | - False positives from low branches, gates, cattle, humidity, and rain <br> - Reapply every few days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chin-Ball Marker | Fit marker device under the chin of a teaser (gomer) bull or androgenized female | Animal wearing the device mounts and slides off the female in heat, leaving an ink mark on back and hip of female | - Maintenance necessary for continuous use (ink refills) <br> - Broken or stretched harness <br> - Some markings from chin resting instead of mounting |
| HeatWatch ${ }^{\circledR}$ II System | Place small, digital radio transmitter in a piece of polyester material (patch) and glue onto tail head of female | Mount data (female mounted, date and time, duration) sent from transmitter to radio receiver (base station) then wirelessly to a computer | - Dislodged patches <br> - Transmitters can fall out of patches <br> - Battery replacement <br> - Increased heat detection accuracy over other aids |

${ }^{1}$ Comb the application area first to remove dead or shedding hair.

## Bull Breeding Soundness Evaluation (BSE)

## What and when

- Evaluation of bull breeding potential
- 1 to 2 months prior to each breeding season Components
- Physical examination
- Semen evaluation
- Minimum 30\% motility
- Minimum 70\% normal
- Scrotal circumference measurement
- Minimums on table on next page


## Potential outcomes

- Satisfactory potential breeder
- Fertile
- Passed all BSE components
- Unsatisfactory potential breeder
- Subfertile or sterile
- Did not pass at least 1 BSE component
- Classification deferred
- Did not pass at least 1 BSE component but may resolve with time
- Should recheck at later date

Limitations

- Does not evaluate libido (sex drive)
- Does not guarantee free of disease
- Fertility status may change abruptly with injury, disease, or other factors


## Scrotal Circumference Measurement



- Hold testicles at bottom of scrotum with fingers above testicles
- Place scrotal tape around scrotum at widest point
- Read with tape snug


## Minimum Recommended Scrotal

Circumference

| Age, months | Scrotal Circumference, $\mathbf{c m}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $<15$ | 30 |
| $>15 \leq 18$ | 31 |
| $>18 \leq 21$ | 32 |
| $>21 \leq 24$ | 33 |
| $>24$ | 34 |

Adapted from Breeding Soundness Evaluation Form. Society for Theriogenology. Hastings, NE.

## Ratio of Heifers or Cows per Bull

| Age of Bull | Ratio of Heifers or Cows <br> per Bull |
| :--- | :---: |
| 12 to 18 months | $1: 10$ to 15 |
| 2 years | $1: 15$ to 20 |
| 3 to 7 years | $1: 25$ to 30 |
| Aged (7+ years) | $1: 20$ to 40 |

## 283-day Cattle Gestation Table

| Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10 \mid 11$ | 11121 | 1411 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  |  |  |  | 2526 | 2728 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct | 10 |  | 112 |  | 314 |  | 516 |  |  | 1920 | 20212 | 22232 | 2425 |  | 2728 |  |  | 1 | 23 | 34 | 56 | 7 |  | 9 |  | ov |
| Feb | 1 |  | 23 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 67 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 1112 | 141 | 1516 |  | 18 |  | 2122 |  |  | 2526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov | 10 |  | 112 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 516 | 17 | 718 | 1920 | 20212 | 22232 | 2425 | 2627 | 2728 | 8293 | 301 | 2 | 3 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar | 1 |  | 23 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 67 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 11.121 | 1314 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  | 2122 | 3 | 3242 | 25 |  | 829 |  | 31 |  |  |
| Dec | 8 |  | 910 |  | 112 | 13 | 31 |  | 516 | 1718 | 18192 | 20212 | 2223 | 2425 | 2526 | 627 | 2829 |  | 311 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Apr | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 11.121 | 1314 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  | 2122 | 23 | 24 | 2526 | 2728 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Jan | 8 |  | 910 |  | 112 | 13 | 31 | 5 | 516 | 1718 | 18192 | 20212 | 2223 | 2425 | 2526 |  | 2829 |  | 31 | 12 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| May | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  | 67 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 11.121 | 1314 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  | 2122 |  |  |  | 2728 |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Feb | 7 | 8 | 89 |  | 011 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 1617 | 17181 | 19202 | 2122 | 2324 | 2425 | 526 | 2728 | 1 | 23 | 34 | 6 | 7 |  | 9 |  |  |
| Jun | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 67 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 11.121 | 1314 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  | 2122 |  | 242 | 2526 | 2728 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Mar | 10 | 11 | 112 | 13 | 31 | 5 | 516 |  |  | 1920 | 20212 | 22232 | 2425 | 2627 | 2728 | 829 | 3031 |  | 23 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| jul | 1 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 5 |  | 67 | 8 | 9 |  | 1112 | 13141 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  | 2122 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |
| Apr | 9 |  | 011 |  | 213 |  | 415 |  | 617 | 1819 | 19202 | 2122 | 2324 | 2526 | 2627 |  | 2930 | , | 2 | 34 | 6 | 7 |  | 9 |  | ay |
| Aug | 1 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 67 | 8 | 9 |  | 11.121 | 1314 | 1516 | 1718 | 1819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May | 10 |  | 112 |  | 314 |  | 516 |  |  |  | 20212 | 22232 | 2425 | 26 | 2728 |  |  |  | 3 | 34 | 56 | 67 |  | 9 |  |  |


|  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 <br> 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 30 \\ 9 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | Jul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 121 | 131 | 14 | 15 | 161 | 171 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Jul | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 314 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  | 22 | 23 |  | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Aug |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  | 131 | 14 |  |  | 17 | 8 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |  | 28 | 29 | 30 |  |  |
| Aug | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 314 | 15 | 516 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 28 | 29 |  | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | Se |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  | 14 |  | 61 |  |  | 19 | 20 | 21 |  | 23 | 4 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Sep | 9 | 10 | 11 |  | 213 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Oc |

Find date of service in upper line. Corresponding bolded date below indicates date due to calve.

## Benefits of Controlled Breeding and Calving Season

A controlled calving season facilitates matching nutritional needs of the herd to forage resources, monitoring breeding and calving more intensely, working more calves of a similar age at once (vaccinating, castrating, implanting, collecting performance data), and marketing calves of uniform age in groups to capture sale premiums. Herd sires have time to rest and regain lost body condition, and risk of injury to bulls is reduced.

3-Year Plan for Converting from Year-round to 90-day Calving Season of September, October, and November

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Year } \\ \text { ( } 6 \text { months breeding) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year <br> ( $41 / 2$ months breeding) | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Year } \\ \text { (3 months breeding) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breeding begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | November 2 | November 2 | November 2 |
| Cows | November 23 | November 23 | November 23 |
| Breeding ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | January 1 | January 1 | January 1 |
| Cows | May 21 | April 6 | February 20 |
| Calving begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | August 12 | August 12 | August 12 |
| Cows | September 2 | September 2 | September 2 |
| Calving ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | October 11 | October 11 | October 11 |
| Cows | February 28 | January 14 | November 30 |

## 3-Year Plan for Converting from Year-round to 90-day Calving Season of

 November, December, and January|  | $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Year } \\ (6 \text { months breeding) } \end{gathered}$ | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { Year }$ <br> ( $41 / 2$ months breeding) | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Year } \\ \text { (3 months breeding) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breeding begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | January 3 | January 3 | January 3 |
| Cows | January 24 | January 24 | January 24 |
| Breeding ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | March 3 | March 3 | March 3 |
| Cows | July 21 | June 6 | April 22 |
| Calving begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | October 12 | October 12 | October 12 |
| Cows | November 2 | November 2 | November 2 |
| Calving ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | December 11 | December 11 | December 11 |
| Cows | April 30 | March 16 | January 30 |

## 3-Year Plan for Converting from Year-round to 90-day Calving Season of

 January, February, and March|  | $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Year } \\ (6 \text { months breeding }) \end{gathered}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year $(41 / 2$ months breeding) | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year (3 months breeding) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breeding begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | March 3 | March 3 | March 3 |
| Cows | March 24 | March 24 | March 24 |
| Breeding ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | May 2 | May 2 | May 2 |
| Cows | September 19 | August 5 | June 21 |
| Calving begins |  |  |  |
| Heifers | December 11 | December 11 | December 11 |
| Cows | January 1 | January 1 | January 1 |
| Calving ends |  |  |  |
| Heifers | February 9 | February 9 | February 9 |
| Cows | June 29 | May 15 | March 31 |

Description of Reproductive Tract Scores

| Reproductive <br> Tract Score | Approximate Size of Ovaries, mm |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Uterine Horns | Length | Height | Width | Ovarian Structures |
| 1 | Immature <20mm <br> diameter, no tone | 15 | 10 | 8 | No palpable follicles |
| 2 | 20 to 25 mm <br> diameter, slight tone | 18 | 12 | 10 | 8 mm follicles |
| 3 | 20 to 30 mm <br> diameter, good tone | 22 | 15 | 10 | 8 to 10 mm follicles |
| 4 | 30 mm diameter, <br> good tone | 30 | 16 | 12 | $>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ follicles, <br> possible corpus luteum |
| 5 | $>30$ mm diameter, <br> good tone, erect | $>32$ | 20 | 15 | $>10$ mm follicles, Corpus <br> luteum present |

Adapted from Anderson, K. J., D. G. Lefever, J. S. Brinks, and K. G. Odde. 1991. The use of reproductive tract scoring in beef heifers. Agri-Practice 12(4):19.

Characteristics of Open (Non-pregnant) Cow Reproductive Tract

| Organ ${ }^{1}$ | Size | Shape | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vagina | varies with tract <br> position | thin-walled, <br> hollow tube | difficult to palpate |
| Cervix | 2 to 12 inches long; $3 / 4$ to <br> 8 inches in diameter; <br> average diameter $11 / 2$ <br> inches | tube-like; <br> thick-walled | tube-shaped, but may be <br> funnel-shaped in some cows <br> or bent and crooked; firm, <br> gristle-like feel; good <br> landmark |
| Uterine <br> Body | interior: $1 / 4$ to $3 / 4$ inch <br> long; <br> exterior: 1 to 3 inches <br> long | intersecting <br> region of <br> two uterine <br> horns | feels like soft, flat muscle; not <br> as firm as cervix |
| Uterine <br> Horns | 5 to 12 inches long; $1 / 2$ <br> to1½ inches in diameter | tube-like; <br> sometimes <br> coiled | feels meaty and soft to slightly <br> firm, depending on stage of <br> estrous cycle |


| Oviducts | $1 / 16$ to $1 / 8$ inch in diameter | long, <br> crooked <br> tube | difficult to feel because of <br> small diameter and soft <br> texture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ovaries | $1 / 2$ inches wide; $3 / 4$-inch <br> thick; 1-inch long | rounded or <br> elliptical <br> shape | feels firm and distinct as if <br> holding a grape or plum |

${ }^{1}$ It is not necessary to feel the vagina, oviducts, and ovaries when palpating for pregnancy. Adapted from B. B. Carpenter and L. R. Sprott. 2008.Determining Pregnancy in Cattle. B-1077. Texas AgriLife Extension Service, College Station, $T X$.

Rectal palpation, ultrasound technology, and tests of body fluids are methods of pregnancy determination. It requires a skilled technician, especially at earlier stages. Physical manipulation at very early stages of pregnancy may cause damage to the embryo or abortion. Positive signs of pregnancy: amniotic vesicle, fetal membrane slip, placentomes (must feel at least 3 to rule out palpating ovary), or fetus. The uterine artery is in the broad ligament and movable unlike the iliac artery.

Characteristics of Pregnancy in Cattle

| Gestation length | Amniotic vesicle | Placentome diameter | Fetal membrane slip | Uterine position | Uterine character | Fetal size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 days | Detectable; less than $1 / 2$ finger width | Not detectable | Not detectable | Pelvis | Soft walled but has tone | 0.3 to 0.4 inch crown to rump |
| 40 days | Detectable; 1 finger width | Not detectable | Detectable; thread size | Pelvis | Soft walled; allows pinching for slip test | 0.7 to 1 inch crown to rump |
| 50 days | Detectable; 2 fingers width | Not detectable | Detectable; small string size | Pelvis | Soft walled; allows pinching for slip test | 1.4 to 2.2 inches crown to rump |
| 60 days | Detectable; softens; 4 fingers width | Small and difficult to perceive | Both horns may slip; string size | Pelvis | Soft walled; allows pinching for slip test | Mouse size; 2.4 to 3.1 inches crown to rump |
| 70 days |  | 0.5 to 0.75 cm | Both horns may slip; large string size | Descending out of pelvis |  | 2.8 to 3.9 inches crown to rump |
| 80 days |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \text { to } 1 \mathrm{~cm} ; \\ & \text { pea size } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Slip prominent in both horns | Descending | Enlarged; notable tone; bladder-like | 3.1 to 5.1 inches crown to rump |
| 90 days |  | 1 to 1.5 cm ; dime size | Slip prominent in both horns | Descending |  | Rat size; 5.1 to 6.7 inches crown to rump |


| 120 days | 1.5 to 2.5 cm ; quarter size | Slip prominent in both horns | Descending | May "bounce" fetus with hand | Small cat size; lemon size head; 8.7 to 12.6 inches crown to rump |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 days | 2.5 to 4 cm ; half dollar size | Slip prominent in both horns | On abdominal floor | Buoyant, lumpy surface; artery has detectable "buzz" | Large cat size; 11.8 to 17.7 inches crown to rump |
| 180 days | 4 to 5 cm |  | Descended | Difficult to palpate | Beagle dog size; 15.7 to 23.6 inches crown to rump; movement |
| 210 days | 5 to 7.5 cm |  | Ascending towards pelvis | Can palpate fetal parts; finger thick artery | 21.7 to 29.5 inches crown to rump; movement |
| 240 days | 6 to 9 cm |  | Ascending towards pelvis | Thick walled; enclosing bony fetus; artery "buzz" readily felt on pregnant side | 23.6 to 33.5 inches crown to rump; movement |
| 270 days | 8 to 12 cm |  | Ascended; readily palpable | Thick walled; enclosing body | 27.6 to 39.4 inches crown to rump; movement |

Adapted from R. S. Youngquist, Current Therapy in Large Animal Theriogenology.

## Stages of Calving

| Stage | Duration | Events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage I <br> Preparatory | 2 to 6 hours | - Uterine contractions (15 minutes apart initially) <br> - Cervical dilation <br> - Cattle appear uncomfortable <br> - Water sac expelled |
| Stage II Delivery | 30 to 60 minutes | - Fetus enters birth canal <br> - Uterine contractions (2 minutes apart) <br> - Calf delivered |
| Stage III Cleaning | 6 to 12 hours | - Cotyledon-caruncle (button) attachments relax <br> - Oxytocin released during suckling <br> - Uterine contractions <br> - Expulsion of afterbirth |

## Calving Ease Scores

1 = No assistance, calf born normally
2 = Assisted, easy pull
3 = Assisted, very difficult, hard pull
4 = Caesarean delivery
5 = Breech birth, abnormal presentation

## Pelvic Area and Calf Birth Weight Ratios for Various Heifer Weights and Ages

|  | Age at time of measurement, <br> months |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heifer <br> weight, lb | $\mathbf{8}$ to $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ to $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| 500 | 1.7 | 2.0 | -- | -- |
| 600 | 1.8 | 2.1 | -- | -- |
| 700 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | -- |
| 800 | -- | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| 900 | -- | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 1000 | -- | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| 1100 | -- | -- | -- | 3.4 |

Adapted from Deutscher, G. H. Pelvic measurements for reducing calving difficulty. Nebraska Cooperative Extension Service. NebGuide G88-895.

## Factors Affecting Calving Difficulty

- Age of dam
- Hormonal control
- Calf birth weight
- Calf sex
- Nutrition of dam
- Dam's pelvic area
- Condition of dam
- Dam's body size
- Gestation length
- Calf shape
- Position of fetus
- Sire breed
- Dam breed
- Geographic region
- Season of year
- Sire's genotype
- Environmental temp.
- Feeding time
- Dam's genotype
- Uterine environment
- Exercise
- Implants

Adapted from H. D. Ritchie and P. T. Anderson. Calving Difficulty in Beef Cattle: Part I. BlF Fact Sheet. Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, MI.

Normal calving presentation: front feet
emerging first with the soles of the feet pointing down followed by the calf's head as if the calf were diving out of the birth canal


Normal posterior (hindquarters first) presentation: hind legs and tail come first instead of forelegs and head. Make sure the tail is protruding with the hind legs. Consider all posterior (rear feet first) deliveries as emergencies because the umbilical cord is pinched between the fetus and pelvis early in delivery.


Retained foreleg presentation: one or both forelegs are retained and the head is presented in a normal position. Push the calf back into the female a little ways and use a second arm to reach for the calf's foreleg. Straighten out the forelegs so that the head rests on top of them before attempting delivery. Guard the hooves in each hand to protect the uterine wall from damage.

## Anterior (head first) head turned down

 presentation: head is underneath both forelegs. Move the head so that it rests on top of the forelegs for delivery to proceed.
# Anterior (head first) head turned back 

 presentation: head is turned back or to the side. Straighten out the neck and place the head on top of the forelegs for delivery to proceed. Grasp the calf's mouth or nostrils to pull the head. Do not use excessive force to keep from breaking the calf's jaw.
## Anterior (head first) upside-down retained

 foreleg presentation: best option often a cesarean section (C-section). Otherwise, attempt to rotate the calf upright. Consider rolling the cow over while keeping the calf in position.
# Posterior (hindquarters first) upside-down 

 presentation: The best option is often a cesarean section (C-section). Otherwise, attempt to rotate the calf to an upright position. Consider rolling the cow over while keeping the calf in position.Breech presentation: hindquarters are presented first with both hind legs retained. This is very difficult to correct. Push the calf deep into the female with one arm. With the other arm, reach for a hind leg. Straighten out and place both hind legs and the tail in the birth canal for delivery to proceed. Cover the calf's hooves during manipulation to keep from damaging the uterus.


## Proper obstetrical chain placement on calf leg

Key situations to contact a veterinarian for calving assistance:

- calf position cannot be determined
- correct calf position cannot be attained
- calf is presenting in a posterior position
- calf is too large for the birth canal
- reasonable progress in the delivery is not made in a timely manner
- more than 2 hours after water bag appears
- more than 30 minutes without progress
- uterine prolapse occurs


## Udder Suspension and Teat Size Scores

|  | Udder Suspension |  | Teat Size |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | Description | Example <br> Image | Description | Example <br> Image |
| 1 | Very <br> pendulous, <br> broken floor | Very large, <br> balloon- <br> shaped |  |  |
| 3 | Pendulous |  |  | Large |
| Intermediate, |  |  |  |  |
| moderate |  |  |  |  |

Adapted from BIF. 2010. $9^{\text {th }}$ ed. Guidelines for Uniform Beef Improvement Programs. Raleigh, NC.
Unsound udders

- reduced productive life
- inferior calf performance
- major reason for culling cows

Udder and teat scores

- suspension score: udder support
- size score: teat length and circumference
- assign annually within 24 hours of calving
- base on weakest quarter


## Animal Identification Methods

| Method | Permanence | Placement | Ease of reading | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanging <br> ear tag | Removable; <br> easily lost; <br> remove hay bale <br> strings to <br> improve <br> retention | Apply tag inside ear <br> between cartilage <br> ribs halfway <br> between head and <br> ear tip | Can be read at <br> a distance if <br> free of mud | Easily <br> customizable; <br> available in <br> different colors <br> and preprinted or <br> blank |
| Metal ear <br> tag | Removable | Clamp along edge <br> of ear | Cattle must be <br> restrained to <br> read | Examples: Bangs <br> vaccination <br> orange tag, USDA <br> "Brite" silver tag |
| Electronic |  |  |  |  |
| ear tag | Removable | Apply tag inside ear <br> between cartilage <br> ribs and nearer to <br> head than ear tip | Cattle must be <br> restrained or <br> near electronic <br> reader | Unique 15-digit ID |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Tattoo } & \text { Permanent } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Apply in center of } \\ \text { ear between } \\ \text { cartilage ribs; } \\ \text { liberally apply ink }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cattle must be } \\ \text { restrained to } \\ \text { read }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Ensure proper } \\ \text { letter/number } \\ \text { orientation; may } \\ \text { be required by }\end{array} \\ \text { breed associations }\end{array}\right]$

## Freeze Branding Steps

1. Gather branding supplies: irons, liquid nitrogen or ice chest of dry ice and denatured alcohol, clippers, spray bottle, rag or brush, timer, and gloves.
2. Match the desired ID, records, and irons.
3. Let irons cool for 20 minutes before first use.
4. Properly restrain the animal.
5. Clip the area to be branded.
6. Brush or wipe the area clean.
7. Spray a liberal coat of alcohol on clipped area.
8. Firmly apply the branding iron for the predetermined amount of time. If the iron moves, reapply it to the depressed area and add a few seconds to the application period.
Time Irons Should Contact Hide for an Effective Freeze Brand

| Iron Cooling <br> Method | Hair Coat Color |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark | Light (bald <br> brand) |
| Dry ice/alcohol | 45 to 50 <br> seconds | 75 to 90 <br> seconds |
| Liquid nitrogen | 20 to 45 <br> seconds | 45 to 50 <br> seconds |

9. If an iron needs to be used twice (e.g., 77 or MM), let it re-cool for at least 2 minutes between applications.

International Letter Designations by Year for Animal Identification ${ }^{1}$

| A 1991 | S 2006 | J 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B 1992 | T 2007 | K 2022 |
| C 1993 | U 2008 | L 2023 |
| D 1994 | W 2009 | M 2024 |
| E 1995 | X 2010 | N 2025 |
| F 1996 | Y 2011 | P 2026 |
| G 1997 | Z 2012 | R 2027 |
| H 1998 | A 2013 | S 2028 |
| 1999 | B 2014 | T 2029 |
| K 2000 | C 2015 | U 2030 |
| L 2001 | D 2016 | W 2031 |
| M 2002 | E 2017 | X 2032 |
| N 2003 | F 2018 | Y 2033 |
| P 2004 | G 2019 | Z 2034 |
| R 2005 | H 2020 | A 2035 |

${ }^{1}$ Letters $I, O, Q$, and $V$ are not used.
Adapted from Beef Improvement Federation. 2010. Guidelines for Uniform Beef Improvement Programs. $9^{\text {th }}$ ed. Raleigh, NC.

## Placement of Identification in Ear



- Avoid puncturing cartilage ribs
- Place tag back (flat button) on back side of ear
- Apply visual hanging tag at least halfway between ear base and tip
- Apply electronic tag between hanging tag and ear base and at least 3 in away from any metal tags
- Apply tattoo between hanging tag and ear tip
- Make sure records match IDs


## Guidelines for Aging Cattle by Teeth

| Permanent Teeth | Cattle Age at Tooth Stage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tooth Eruption | In Wear | Neck of Tooth Visible <br> above Gum Line |
| First incisors <br> (2 central incisors) | $11 / 2$ to 2 years | 2 to $21 / 2$ years | 6 years |
| Second incisors | 2 to $21 / 2$ years | $21 / 2$ to 3 years | 7 years |
| Third incisors | 3 years | $31 / 2$ years | 8 years |
| Fourth incisors <br> (2 outer incisors) | $31 / 2$ to 4 years | $41 / 2$ years | 9 years |

- Mature cattle have 32 teeth (8 are lower jaw incisors; no upper jaw incisors)
- Temporary teeth (milk teeth) are whiter and smaller than permanent teeth
- The rate of teeth wear depends upon feed conditions
- Several years after a tooth erupts, the neck (a narrow area at the base of the tooth) begins showing above the gum line


## Growth-Promoting Implants

Proper implant administration location in cattle ear between the cartilage and skin


Cartilage ribs

## Potential causes for implant ineffectiveness

- missing implant (through the ear)
- partial implant (gun failure or poor technique)
- crushed or bunched pellets
- improper implant site (in cartilage)
- abscess (poor sanitation or technique)
- inadequate implant storage (moisture, refrigeration)
- inappropriate timing or target animal


## Castration Tools



## Dehorning Tools



Calf Castration Options

| Method | Instruments | Procedure | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surgical | Newberry knife, <br> scalpel, <br> emasculator | Open the skin of the scrotum with large <br> incisions or removal of the bottom 1/3 of <br> the scrotum to promote adequate <br> drainage. Grasp and slowly pull the <br> testicles downward until the spermatic <br> cord muscle separates. Do not "dig" for <br> the testicles. In young calves, pull out the <br> testicles until the cord breaks. In older <br> calves, use emasculators to crush the <br> spermatic cord or a dull knife to scrape <br> the cord in a shaving motion. Do not cut <br> the cord, because excessive bleeding may <br> occur. Treat wounds with fly repellant. <br> Restration <br> Release surgically castrated calves to a | Blood loss <br> clean, dry area. | Infections may <br> drainage if there are <br> problems or <br> irritation from <br> flies. |
| Emasculatome | Burdizzo, <br> clamps | Move one testicle to the bottom of the <br> scrotum. Locate the spermatic cord <br> above the testicle, and move it to the side <br> of the scrotum. Place the emasculatome | Bloodless <br> perform than <br> banding |  |


|  |  | over the cord about two inches above the testicle. Pinch the spermatic cord through the skin of the scrotum. The instrument should be $1 / 3$ of the way across the width of the scrotum and never across the middle of the scrotum. The cord should snap apart. Hold the instrument with jaws closed for 30 seconds. Double clamping can increase success rate. |  | eventually wear out and become ineffective. Do not store an emasculatome in the closed position. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banding | Elastrators, EZE, Callicrate banders | Place the band on the instrument and press the handles to stretch the band. Hold with the prongs pointed upward. Close the handles to open the band. Slip the band up and over the scrotum. Make sure both testicles are below the band. Allow the band to close on the neck of the scrotum. Pull the instrument out from under the band. Repeat if not done correctly. Administer tetanus and blackleg shots well before banding. | Bloodless | Potential for missed testicles |
|  |  |  | Used for older, larger calves | Band may break or not cut off all circulation to testicles |
|  |  |  | Easy to perform, newer banders adjust bands to proper tension levels | Infections (tetanus, Clostridial). |

Calf Dehorning Options

| Method | Procedure | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical | Apply caustic paste to horn button at 1 day to 3 weeks of age. Cut hair from around horn button before application. Apply petroleum jelly around the area of caustic paste application to minimize chemical burns. Keep the calf separated from its dam until the paste has dried. | Works well on young calves | Caustic paste application before a rain can cause eye injury |
|  |  | Bloodless |  |
| Hot iron | Heat irons with fire or electricity. Place hot iron over the horn and hold in place with firm pressure. Twist the iron evenly to distribute heat. Apply long enough (usually 20 seconds) to kill all horn cells at the base. The skin should appear copper or bronze. Reapply for 10 seconds if copper color is not present. | May use after the horn button appears up to 4 months of age | Must be done when calves are young and horns are small |
|  |  | Works best in calves less than 2 months of age with less than 1 inch of horn growth |  |
|  |  | Bloodless |  |


| Tube or <br> spoon <br> dehorners | Cut around the horn and surrounding skin <br> and scoop out. | Effective on very <br> small horns less than <br> $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches long | Not bloodless |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multiple instrument <br> sizes available |  |  |  |
| Barnes <br> dehorners | Select an instrument size large enough to <br> remove the horn and a $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ inch circle of <br> skin at the horn base. Press the instrument <br> firmly against the calf's head. Quickly open <br> and twist the handles. Stop any bleeding by <br> cauterizing with a hot iron or pulling arteries <br> with forceps. | May use on calves up <br> to or slightly past <br> weaning | Multiple instrument <br> sizes available |
| Saws, <br> wires, <br> keystone <br> dehorners | Remove a $1 / 2$ inch circle of skin along with <br> the horn base to prevent regrowth. Stop <br> any bleeding by cauterizing with a hot iron, <br> pulling arteries with forceps, or using <br> coagulant powder. Observe the wound for <br> infection for an extended period of time. | For use in older <br> cattle with large <br> horns | Not bloodless |

## Beef Cattle Breeds

- Breed: a group of animals that have a common ancestral origin and possess certain traits that are readily distinguishable and are transmitted uniformly to their offspring
- Over 100 breeds of cattle: only ~15 breeds have a major influence on the U. S. beef cattle industry
- Breed association: organization that maintains pedigree and performance information, arranges for genetic evaluations, and promotes a breed
- Breeds of cattle website: www.ansi.okstate.edu/breeds/cattle


## Breed Selection

- Consider climate, feed/forage resources, production system, market end points, market demand, breed complementarity, seedstock cost and availability
- Breeding management considers breed and animal selection as well as crossbreeding system (advantages: heterosis, breed complementarity)


## Cattle Breed Descriptions

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Cattle } \\
\text { Subspecies }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Breed Types } \\
\text { Included }\end{array} & \text { Example Breeds } & \begin{array}{l}\text { British (English) } \\
\text { (Exntinental } \\
\text { Dairy }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Bos taurus } & \begin{array}{l}\text { British: } \\
\text { Angus, Hereford, Red Angus } \\
\text { Continental: } \\
\text { Charolais, Gelbvieh, Simmental }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { British noted for moderate } \\
\text { frame size, fleshing ability, } \\
\text { carcass quality, and maternal } \\
\text { ability; Continental noted for } \\
\text { high growth rates, heavy } \\
\text { muscling, large frame, and } \\
\text { carcass cutability; Dairy noted } \\
\text { for milk yield and calving ease }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Bos indicus } & \text { Brahman, Zebu } & \text { Brahman } \\
\text { Guernsey, Holstein, Jersey }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}noted for heat tolerance, <br>

mothering ability, insect\end{array}\right\}\)| resistance; "eared"; |
| :--- |
| "humped" |

## Beef Cattle Breed Association Contact Information

| Breed | Association ${ }^{1}$ | Website | Address | Phone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Angus | American Angus <br> Association | www.angus.org | 3201 Frederick Ave. St. Joseph, <br> MO 64506 | 816.383 .5100 |
| Beefmaster | Beefmaster Breeders <br> United | www.beefmasters.org | 6800 Park Ten Blvd., Suite 290 <br> West, San Antonio, TX 78213 | 210.732 .3132 |
| Brahman | American Brahman <br> Breeders Association | www.brahman.org | 3003 South Loop West, Suite <br> 520, Houston, TX 77054 | 713.349 .0854 |
| Brangus | International Brangus <br> Breeders Association | www.int-brangus.org | P. O. Box 696020, San Antonio, <br> TX 78269-6020 | 210.696 .4343 |
| Braunvieh | Braunvieh Association <br> of America | www.braunvieh.org | 3815 Touzalin Avenue, Suite 103, <br> Lincoln, NE 68507 | 402.466 .3292 |
| Charolais | American-International <br> Charolais Association | www.charolaisusa.com | 11700 NW Plaza Circle, Kansas <br> City, MO 64153 | 816.464 .5977 |
| Chianina | American Chianina <br> Association | www.chicattle.org | 1708 N. Prairie View Road, P. O. <br> Box 890, Platte City, MO 64079 | 816.431 .5381 |
| Gelbvieh | American Gelbvieh <br> Association | www.gelbvieh.org | 10900 Dover Street, <br> Westminster, CO 80021 | 303.465 .2333 |
| Hereford | American Hereford <br> Association | www.hereford.org | P. O. Box 014059, Kansas City, <br> MO 64101 | 816.842 .3757 |


| Limousin | North American <br> Limousin Foundation | www.nalf.org | Suite 100, 7383 S. Alton Way, <br> Centennial, CO 80112 | 303.220 .1693 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maine- <br> Anjou | American Maine-Anjou <br> Association | www.maine-anjou.org | 204 Marshall Road, P. O. Box <br> 1100, Platte City, MO 64079- <br> 1100 | 816.431 .9950 |
| Red Angus | Red Angus Association <br> of America | redangus.org | 4201 N. Interstate 35, Denton, <br> TX 76207-3415 | 940.387 .3502 |
| Salers | American Salers <br> Association | www.salersusa.org | 19590 E. Main Street, Suite 202, <br> Parker, CO 80138 | 303.770 .9292 |
| Santa <br> Gertrudis | Santa Gertrudis <br> Breeders International | santagertrudis.com | P. O. Box 1257, Kingsville, TX <br> 78364 | 361.592 .9357 |
| Shorthorn | American Shorthorn <br> Association | www.shorthorn.org | 8288 Hascall Street, Omaha, NE <br> 68124 | 402.393 .7200 |
| Simmental | American Simmental <br> Association | www.simmental.org | 1 Simmental Way Bozeman, MT <br> 59715 | 406.587 .4531 |
| South Devon | North American South <br> Devon Association | www.southdevon.com | 19590 E. Main Street, Suite 202, <br> Parker, CO 80138 | 303.770 .3130 |
| Tarentaise | American Tarentaise <br> Association | www.americantarentaise. <br> org | lis0 North 216 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, Elkhorn, <br> NE 68022 | 402.639 .9808 |

${ }^{1}$ Beef cattle breed associations among the top 15 in U.S. registrations or U.S. breed associations reporting expected progeny differences.

## Economically Relevant Traits (ERT)

- direct economic impact to producer
- examples: weaning weight, carcass weight
- direct monetary value associated with traits


## Indicator Traits

- do not have direct economic value
- aid in prediction of ERT
- example: birth weight indicator for calving ease


## Selection Indices

Based on multiple traits weighted for

- economic importance
- heritability
- genetic associations among traits

Account for both production and economics

- bioeconomic values
- expressed in dollars per head

Customizable selection indices

- rank cattle under user-specified conditions

Economically Relevant Traits and Associated Indicators

| Economically Relevant Traits | Indicators |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sale weights: weaning weight, weaning <br> maternal, yearling weight, carcass weight, <br> pounds of retail yield | Birth weight, 205-day weight, 365-day <br> weight, carcass weight, fat thickness, <br> ribeye area |
| Likelihood of calving ease | Calving ease score, birth weight, gestation <br> length |
| Feed requirements for maintenance | Mature cow weight, body condition score, <br> milk production, internal organ weight |
| Productive life or stayability | Calving records, days to calving, milk <br> production, calving interval |
| Likelihood of heifer pregnancy | Pregnancy diagnosis, scrotal measures |
| Tenderness | Shear force, marbling, color analysis |
| Feed efficiency | Feed consumption |
| Docility | Docility or chute scores |

## Heritability

- proportion of differences between animals for a trait controlled by additive genetics
- low heritability: environment and non-additive genetics have a larger influence on a trait
- selection progress slower for lowly heritable traits


## Heterosis

- hybrid vigor
- offspring perform at a higher level than the average of the parental lines
- take advantage of via crossbreeding


## Trait Heterosis and Heritability

| Trait | Heterosis | Heritability |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Maternal ability <br> Reproduction <br> Health <br> Cow longevity <br> Overall cow productivity | High: | Low |
| Growth rate <br> Birth weight | to 30\% | Low |
| Weaning weight <br> Yearling weight <br> Milk production | Medium: <br> 5 to 10\% | Medium |
| Carcass/end product <br> Skeletal measurements <br> Mature weight | Low: <br> 0 to 5\% | High |

Matching Genetic Potential to Production Environment

| Environment |  | Traits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed <br> Availability | Stress | Milk Production | Mature Size | Ability to Store Energy | Resistance to Stress | Calving Ease | Lean <br> Yield |
| High | Low | M to H | M to H | L to M | M | M to H | H |
|  | High | M | L to H | L to H | H | H | M to H |
| Medium | Low | M to H | M | M to H | M | M to H | M to H |
|  | High | L to M | M | M to H | H | H | H |
| Low | Low | L to M | L to M | H | M | M to H | M |
|  | High | L to M | L to M | H | H | H | L to M |
| Breed role in terminal crossbreeding systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maternal | - | M to H | L to H | M to H | M to H | H | L to M |
| Paternal | - | L to M | H | L | M to H | M | H |



## Two-breed (Crisscross) Rotation

- requires 2 breeds and 2 breeding pastures
- minimum herd size is $\sim 50$ cows
- mate cows of breed $A$ to bulls of breed $B$
- mate resulting replacement females $(A \times B)$ to bulls of breed A for their lifetime
- mate succeeding generations of females to the opposite breed of their sire
- market steers and non-replacement heifers
- 67\% retained heterosis
- expected $16 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds



## Three-breed Rotation

- requires 3 breeds and 3 breeding pastures
- minimum herd size is $\sim 75$ cows
- mate females sired by breed A to breed B bulls
- mate females sired by breed B to breed C bulls
- mate females sired by breed C to breed A bulls
- market steers and non-replacement heifers
- 86\% retained heterosis
- expected $20 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds


Pasture C-older cows

## Two-breed Rotational/Terminal Sire

- rota-terminal system
- requires 3 breeds and 3 breeding pastures
- minimum herd size is $\sim 100$ cows
- two-breed rotational crossbreeding system ( $1 / 2$ of herd, youngest females) of maternal breeds $A$ and $B$ to produce replacement females for entire herd
- mate other $1 / 2$ of cow herd to a terminal sire of a different breed excelling in growth
- market steers and non-replacement heifers
- 90\% retained heterosis
- expected $21 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds


Pasture A - straightbred females


## Market all calves

## Two-Breed Terminal Sire

- requires 2 breeds and 1 breeding pasture
- no minimum herd size
- mate straightbred females of one breed to terminal sires of another breed
- keep no replacement females
- market all calves
- no benefits of maternal heterosis with straightbred cows
- expected $8.5 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds


## Breed

A×B


Pasture A - purchased replacement heifers


## Market all calves

## Terminal Cross with Purchased $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ Females

- requires 3 breeds and 1 breeding pasture
- no minimum herd size
- purchase replacement females
- mate crossbred females to terminal sires of a third breed
- market all calves
- $100 \%$ retained heterosis in calf and cow
- expected $24 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds



## Rotate Bull Every 4 Years: $\mathbf{A \times B}$ Rotation

- requires 2 breeds and 1 breeding pasture
- no minimum herd size
- mate crossbred females to bulls of Breed A for 4 years followed by bulls of Breed B for 4 years, then rotate back to Breed $A$ sires to start cycle again
- market steers and non-replacement heifers
- 50 to $67 \%$ retained heterosis
- expected 12 to $16 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breeds



## Rotate Bull Every 4 Years: $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{C}$ Rotation

- requires 3 breeds and 1 breeding pasture
- no minimum herd size
- mate crossbred females to bulls of Breed $A$ for 4 years followed by bulls of Breed B for 4 years followed by bulls of Breed C for 4 years, then rotate back to Breed A sires to start cycle again
- market steers and non-replacement heifers
- 67 to $83 \%$ retained heterosis
- expected 16 to $20 \%$ increase in weaning weight per cow exposed above the average of the parent breed


## Performance Data Collection

| Cattle <br> Age/Event | Data to Collect |
| :--- | :--- |
| Birth | Birth date, dam ID, sire ID, calf ID, <br> birth weight, calf vigor, calving ease <br> score, dam udder score |
| Weaning | Weaning date, weaning weight, <br> dam body condition score, <br> disposition score |
| Yearling | Yearling data collection date, <br> yearling weight, hip height, scrotal <br> circumference, pelvic area, <br> ultrasound body composition scans <br> (intramuscular fat, rump fat, rib <br> fat), disposition score |
| Mature | Monitor weight and body condition <br> score, standing heat dates, breeding <br> dates, pregnancy status, calving <br> dates, disposition |

- Records may be written and/or electronic
- Keep organized, accurate, and up-to-date records (software can help manage records)
- Follow breed association record collection and reporting guidelines for registered cattle
- Use data collected in performance calculations, management decision making, and marketing


## Performance Data Calculations

Average daily gain $=$ ADG $=$ (starting weight - ending weight $) /$ number of days

205-day adjusted weaning weight =205-day adj $\mathrm{WW}=$
((weaning wt - birth wt)/ age in days at weaning) $\times 205+$ birth $w t+$ age-of-dam adj.

| Age-of-dam at birth of calf, years | BIF Standard Weaning Weight Adjustment Factor |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| 2 | +60 | +54 |
| 3 | +40 | +36 |
| 4 | +20 | +18 |
| 5 to 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 and older | +20 | +18 |

Acceptable weaning age window for 205-day adj. WW calculation = 160 to 240 days Consult individual breed associations for breed-specific weaning age windows

365-day adjusted yearling weight =365-day adj. YW =
((final wt - weaning wt)/days between weights) $\times$ 160+ 205-day adj. weaning wt

Most probable producing ability $=$ MPPA $=$
$100+($ number of calves $\times 0.4) /(1+($ number of calves -1$) \times 0.4) \times($ average WW ratio 100)

Performance ratio = (individual performance/group average performance) $\times 100$
Ratio $=100$ = average performance
Ratio < $100=$ less than average performance
Ratio > 100 = greater than average performance
Rank cattle within a contemporary group

## Contemporary group

Common: gender, management system and group, calf age group, age of dam group, and performance data collected on the same dates

## Expected Progeny Differences (EPDs)

- genetic selection tool used to rank cattle
- predict expected performance for specific traits of the calves sired by a particular bull (or out of a particular dam) compared to expected performance of calves sired by another bull (dam) or group of bulls (dams)
- based on performance records of an individual, its relatives, and its progeny
- accuracy value indicates reliability of EPD (values closer to 1 are more reliable)

Expected progeny differences can be compared between animals or to a breed average. For illustration, calves sired by Bull A (yearling weight EPD $=82$ ) are expected to be on average 18 pounds lighter at yearling age than calves sired by Bull $B$ (yearling weight $E P D=100$ ) when mated to similar females. This is determined by calculating the difference between the two EPD values: $82-100=-18$. Similarly, calves sired by Bull A can be expected to be on average seven pounds heavier at yearling age than calves sired by all other bulls in that same breed when mated to similar females (breed average yearling weight EPD = 75): 82-75=7.

## Expected Progeny Difference Comparisons

|  | EPD values |  |  | EPD comparisons |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bull A | Bull B | Breed <br> Average | Bull A <br> vs. Bull B | Bull A <br> vs. <br> breed <br> average | Bull B vs. <br> breed <br> average |
|  | 7 | 1 | 5 | +6 | +2 | -4 |
| Birth weight, pounds | 1.2 | 4.2 | 2.2 | -3.0 | -1.0 | +2.0 |
| Weaning weight, pounds | 35 | 49 | 40 | -14 | -5 | +9 |
| Yearling weight, pounds | 82 | 100 | 75 | -18 | +7 | +25 |
| Milk, pounds | 22 | 15 | 20 | +7 | +2 | -5 |
| Scrotal circumference, cm | .50 | -.05 | .33 | +.55 | +.17 | -.38 |
| Calving ease maternal, \% | 0 | 8 | 6 | -8 | -6 | +2 |
| Intramuscular fat, \% | .25 | .05 | .12 | +.20 | +.13 | -.07 |
| Ribeye area, inches ${ }^{2}$ | -.01 | .63 | .23 | -.64 | -.24 | +.40 |
| Fat thickness, inches | .021 | .005 | .005 | +.016 | +.016 | 0 |

## Production Scenario and Associated Sire Selection Considerations

## Scenario: Growth and Carcass Sire <br> Sire Selection Considerations ${ }^{1}$

- Herd size: 250 cows
- Breeding mature cows only
- Will not retain heifers as replacements
- Sires used to complement the cows in terminal cross
- Focus on uniform calf crop
- Emphasis on rapid growth and carcass traits
- Hired labor on hand
- High level of management
- Marketing after stocker phase or retaining ownership through finishing depending on market conditions
- Utilizes value-based marketing and high level of information transfer to buyers
- Superior yearling weight EPD (rapid growth)
- Heavy muscling, natural thickness
- High terminal selection indices
- Moderately low calving ease EPD (or moderately high birth weight EPD in cases where calving ease EPD is not available) is acceptable (only breeding to mature cows, labor available)
- Sensible frame size to maintain acceptable carcass weights
- Milk not important (no daughters retained)
- Consider carcass EPDs
- Complement the cow herd and match the market
- Structurally sound and healthy


## Production Scenario and Associated Sire Selection Considerations

| Scenario: Maternal "All-purpose" Sire | Sire Selection Considerations ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Herd size: 100 cows | - Optimal calving ease, milk, growth, mature size, |
| - Seedstock producer | and carcass traits (balanced trait selection) |
| - Will retain heifers as replacements | - Close attention to all traits, EPDs, selection |
| - Desires "all-purpose" sire | marketing) |
| - Hired labor on hand | - Large scrotal size and EPD (negative correlation |
| - Marketing registered bulls as long |  |
| yearlings and selected females after |  |
| breeding | with daughters' time to first estrus) |
|  | - Optimal milk EPD (avoid extremes) |
|  | - Disposition |
|  | - Adaptability |
|  | - Muscularity |
|  | - Structurally sound and healthy |

${ }^{1}$ EPD $=$ expected progeny difference

## Production Scenario and Associated Sire Selection Considerations

## Scenario: Calving Ease Sire or "Heifer Bull"

- Herd size: 25 cows
- Breeding many first-calf heifers
- Will retain heifers as replacements
- No hired labor
- Producer works full-time off farm
- Limited cattle handling facilities
- Marketing steers at weaning on commodity markets


## Sire Selection Considerations ${ }^{1}$

- Most calving difficulty and associated losses occur in first-calf heifers
- Desirable calving ease EPD (or low birth weight EPD in cases where calving ease EPDs are unavailable)
- Good calving ease and maternal selection indices
- Large scrotal size and EPD (negative correlation with daughters' time to first estrus)
- Optimal milk EPD (avoid extremes)
- Relatively high weaning weight EPD (curve bender bull with both calving ease and growth advantages)
- Reasonable muscling
- Manageable disposition
- Structurally sound and healthy
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## Traits Controlled or Largely Influenced by One Gene Pair

| Trait | Type of Gene Action |
| :--- | :--- |
| Black, red color | Black (B) dominant to red (b) |
| Color in Shorthorns | Red (R) has no dominance <br> over white (r) |
| Color dilution | Dilution (D) dominant to <br> nondilution (d) |
| Pigmentation, albino | Normal pigmentation (A) <br> dominant to albino (a) |
| Polled, horned condition | Polled (P) dominant to <br> horned (p) in British breeds |
| Snorter dwarf, normal size | Normal size (D) dominant to <br> dwarf (d) |
| Hypotrichosis (short <br> hair/hairlessness), normal | Normal (H) dominant to <br> hypotrichosis (h) |
| Hydrocephalus, normal | Normal (H) dominant to <br> hydrocephalus (h) |
| Osteopetrosis (marble <br> bone disease), normal | Normal (O) dominant to <br> osteopetrosis (o) |
| Syndactyly (mulefoot), <br> normal | Normal (S) dominant to <br> mulefoot (s) |
| Arthrogryposis (palate- <br> pastern syndrome), <br> normal | Normal (A) dominant to <br> palate-pastern (a) |
| Double muscling, normal | Normal (D) dominant to <br> double muscling (d) |

## Allele = alternate form of a gene; Coat color example:

2 black alleles = black (homozygous dominant)
1 black and 1 red allele = black (heterozygous)
2 red alleles $=$ red (homozygous recessive)

## Beef Cattle Conformation

- Visual appraisal important to evaluate potential longevity and functionality of cattle
- Evaluate
- Feet, legs, and overall skeletal structure
- Impacts foraging and breeding ability
- Back feet should step into front footprints when walking
- Should see same distance between pasterns as between hocks
- Front and rear feet should face forward without toeing in or out
- Should have correct angle of front and rear legs into shoulders or hips
- Avoid straight shoulders
- Toes should be same width and length
- Avoid screwclaw (1 toe thinner and grows over other toe; highly heritable)
- Udder and teats
- Suspension, size, mastitis
- Teeth
- Missing, cracked, overly worn
- Check if unusual loss of body condition
- Eyes
- Pinkeye, cancer eye, injury, vision impair
- Muscling
- Average or above (greater value)


## Beef Cattle Conformation

(s)

## Temperament Scores

Cattle with aggressive temperaments

- gain weight at lower rates
- produce carcasses with less marbling
- are more likely to injure handlers or animals
- are less profitable

1 = Docile: Mild disposition. Gentle and easily handled. Stands and moves slowly during processing. Undisturbed, settled, somewhat dull. Does not pull on headgate when in chute. Exits chute calmly.
2 = Restless: Quieter than average, but may be stubborn during processing. May try to back out of chute or pull back on headgate. Some flicking of tail. Exits chute promptly.
3 = Nervous: Typical temperament is manageable, but nervous and impatient. A moderate amount of struggling, movement, and tail flicking. Repeated pushing and pulling on headgate. Exits chute briskly.
4 = Flighty (Wild): Jumpy and out of control, quivers, and struggles violently. May bellow and froth at the mouth. Continuous tail flicking. Defecates and urinates during processing. Frantically runs fence line and may jump when penned individually. Exhibits long flight distance and exits chute wildly.

5 = Aggressive: May be similar to Score 4, but with added aggressive behavior, fearfulness, extreme agitation, and continuous movement which may include jumping and bellowing while in chute. Exits chute frantically and may exhibit attack behavior when handled alone.
6 = Very Aggressive: Extremely aggressive temperament. Thrashes about or attacks wildly when confined in small, tight places. Pronounced attack behavior.

## Hair Shedding Scores

Cattle that shed their winter coats later wean lighter calves. The recommended time to score cattle for hair shedding is in late spring.

Hair shedding scoring scale:
1 = slick, short summer coat; completely shed
2 = coat is mostly shed
3 = coat is halfway shed
4 = coat exhibits initial shedding
5 = full winter coat, no signs of shedding

## Frame Score

- Calculated from hip height measurement and animal age within gender
- Recommended site for hip height measurement is a point directly over the hooks
- Most cattle maintain the same frame score throughout life
- Frame scores may change for cattle that mature earlier or later than average for their breed

Bull frame score $=-11.548+0.4878$ (hip height) -0.0289 (days of age) +0.00001947 (days of age) $^{2}+0.0000334$ (hip height)(days of age)

| Age in <br> months | Bull frame score and hip height in inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 33.5 | 35.5 | 37.5 | 39.5 | 41.6 | 43.6 | 45.6 | 47.7 | 49.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 34.8 | 36.8 | 38.8 | 40.8 | 42.9 | 44.9 | 46.9 | 48.9 | 51.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 36.0 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 42.1 | 44.1 | 46.1 | 48.1 | 50.1 | 52.2 |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 37.2 | 39.2 | 41.2 | 43.2 | 45.2 | 47.2 | 49.3 | 51.3 | 53.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 38.2 | 40.2 | 42.3 | 44.3 | 46.3 | 48.3 | 50.3 | 52.3 | 54.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 39.2 | 41.2 | 43.3 | 45.3 | 47.3 | 49.3 | 51.3 | 53.3 | 55.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 40.2 | 42.2 | 44.2 | 46.2 | 48.2 | 50.2 | 52.2 | 54.2 | 56.2 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 41.0 | 43.0 | 45.0 | 47.0 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 57.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 41.8 | 43.8 | 45.8 | 47.8 | 49.8 | 51.8 | 53.8 | 55.8 | 57.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 42.5 | 44.5 | 46.5 | 48.5 | 50.4 | 52.4 | 54.4 | 56.4 | 58.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 43.1 | 45.1 | 47.1 | 49.1 | 51.1 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 57.0 | 59.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 43.6 | 45.6 | 47.6 | 49.6 | 51.6 | 53.6 | 55.6 | 57.5 | 59.5 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 44.1 | 46.1 | 48.1 | 50.1 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 56.0 | 58.0 | 60.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 44.5 | 46.5 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 52.4 | 54.4 | 56.4 | 58.4 | 60.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 44.9 | 46.8 | 48.8 | 50.8 | 52.7 | 54.1 | 56.7 | 58.7 | 60.6 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 45.1 | 47.1 | 49.1 | 51.0 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 56.9 | 58.9 | 60.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 45.3 | 47.3 | 49.2 | 51.2 | 53.2 | 55.1 | 57.1 | 59.1 | 61.0 |  |

Heifer frame score $=-11.7086+0.4723$ (hip height) 0.0239 (days of age) +0.0000146 (days of age) ${ }^{2}+$ 0.0000759 (hip height)(days of age)

| Age in <br> months | Heifer frame score and hip height in inches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 33.0 | 35.1 | 37.2 | 39.3 | 41.3 | 43.4 | 45.5 | 47.5 | 49.6 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 34.1 | 36.2 | 38.2 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 44.4 | 46.5 | 48.5 | 50.6 |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 35.1 | 37.1 | 39.2 | 41.2 | 43.3 | 45.3 | 47.4 | 49.4 | 51.5 |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 36.0 | 38.0 | 40.1 | 42.1 | 44.1 | 46.2 | 48.2 | 50.2 | 52.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 36.8 | 38.9 | 40.9 | 42.9 | 44.9 | 47.0 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 53.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 37.6 | 39.6 | 41.6 | 43.7 | 45.7 | 47.7 | 49.7 | 51.7 | 53.8 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 38.3 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 44.3 | 46.4 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 52.4 | 54.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 39.0 | 41.0 | 43.0 | 45.0 | 47.0 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 53.0 | 55.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 39.6 | 41.6 | 43.6 | 45.5 | 47.5 | 49.5 | 51.5 | 53.5 | 55.5 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 40.1 | 42.1 | 44.1 | 46.1 | 48.0 | 50.0 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 56.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 40.6 | 42.6 | 44.5 | 46.5 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 52.4 | 54.4 | 56.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 41.1 | 43.0 | 44.9 | 46.9 | 48.9 | 50.8 | 52.8 | 54.8 | 56.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 41.4 | 43.3 | 45.3 | 47.2 | 49.2 | 51.1 | 53.1 | 55.1 | 57.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 41.7 | 43.6 | 45.6 | 47.5 | 49.5 | 51.4 | 53.4 | 55.3 | 57.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 41.9 | 43.9 | 45.8 | 47.7 | 49.7 | 51.6 | 53.6 | 55.5 | 57.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 42.1 | 44.1 | 46.0 | 47.9 | 49.8 | 51.8 | 53.7 | 55.6 | 57.6 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 42.3 | 44.2 | 46.1 | 48.0 | 50.0 | 51.9 | 53.8 | 55.7 | 57.7 |  |
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## Frame Size

- measure of skeletal structure
- depends on hip height and age
- indicates growth
- related to slaughter weights at which cattle attain a given amount of fat thickness
- impacts the time it takes a calf to finish or reach maturity
- Larger frame: reach maturity later
- Larger frame: weigh more at maturity
- feeder calf price discounts for small-frame cattle vs. medium- or large-frame cattle
- largely influenced by genetics


## USDA Feeder Cattle Grades for Frame Size

- determined by calf length and height
- distance from fore to rear flank
- distance from chest and hip to ground
- "Large" steers finish over 1250 lb
- "Medium" steers finish between 1100 and 1250 lb
- "Small" steers finish at less than 1100 lb
- heifers finish 100 lb lighter than steers



## Muscling (muscle thickness)

- muscle to bone ratio at given fatness
- rough indicator of yield grade at maturity
- heavily-muscled calf
- wide stance between rear hooves
- center quarter wider than top of hip or base width
- rectangular when viewed from rear
- light-muscled calf
- narrow distance between rear hooves
- center quarter is flat
- triangular when viewed from rear
- price discounts for light muscling
- largely influenced by genetics


## USDA Feeder Cattle Grades for Muscling

- \#1: at least moderately heavy muscled
- \#2: average amount of muscle
- \#3: thin, light-muscled
- \#4: extremely light muscled




## Feeder Calf Grades: Thrifty Classification

For a calf to be assigned any of the 12 combinations of frame and muscle grades, they must be "thrifty." A thrifty animal does not exhibit signs of mismanagement, disease, parasitism, or lack of feed. If a calf is deemed unthrifty, it is assigned the "Inferior" grade but could qualify for frame and muscle grades at a later date if the problem is corrected. Doublemuscled cattle are also graded as inferior because they do not produce a carcass with enough marbling to grade Choice.

## Factors Affecting Feeder Calf Value

| Trait | Expected Effect on Price |
| :--- | :---: |
| Frame size | discounts for small frame |
| Muscling | discounts for light muscling |
| Weight | price per pound decreases as calf <br> weight increases |
| Gut fill | discounts for excess fill |
| Body condition | discounts for very thin and fat |
| Gender | steers > bulls > heifers |
| Horn status | discounts for horns |
| Health | discounts for sick or lame |
| Breed type | varies |
| Color | varies; spotted/striped calves typically <br> least valuable |
| Group size and <br> uniformity | premiums for truckload lots of <br> uniform calves |

## Market (Cull) Cow Price Classes

| Price Class | Percent Lean Yield | Body Condition Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Light (small, light muscled, and/or thin) | 75 to $90 \%$ | 1 to 3 |
| Lean | 85 to $90 \%$ | 2 to 4 |
| Boner | 80 to $85 \%$ | 5 |
| Breaker | 75 to $80 \%$ | 6 to 7 |
| Premium White | 70 to $75 \%$ | 7 to 9 |

Cows in these market classes are further differentiated in price by estimated dressing percentage as low, average, or high dressing (percentage) animals.

General cow price per pound rankings:
Premium White > Breaker > Boner > Lean > Light
High Dress > Average Dress > Low Dress

## Beef Cattle Marketing Channels

| Channel | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auction market, "sale barn" | - competitive bidding <br> - convenient <br> - open to all sellers and buyers <br> - prompt cash payment <br> - all types of livestock can be marketed <br> - cattle prices are determined and known to all <br> - regulated and uniform weighing and selling conditions (fairness) <br> - requires no market knowledge by producer <br> - no minimum number of cattle | - seller has little control over prices <br> - encourages multi-handling, speculative trading <br> - high overhead cost <br> - possible excessive stress and shrinkage of livestock <br> - lack of volume and uniformity of animals at many markets <br> - hard to get reputation for selling quality cattle <br> - grade and price information difficult to interpret <br> - distance is a limitation <br> - prices are uncertain <br> - commingling of livestock (disease spread risk) <br> - number of buyers may be small, reducing bidding competitiveness |
| Private treaty | - seller controls marketing process <br> - seller can point out positive aspects of livestock | - requires excellent marketing knowledge by producer <br> - cattle may be overvalued or undervalued |


|  | - producer can establish a reputation (buyers see total program) <br> - encourages marketing innovation <br> - animals are farm fresh and unstressed <br> - minimal disease spread <br> - producer can condition animals to buyer specifications <br> - costs less than other marketing methods | - less market news available <br> - breeder must be an effective salesperson <br> - more haggling <br> - wide variation in selling conditions <br> - unregulated, unsupervised <br> - producer assumes risk of payment collection <br> - may be little or no buyer competition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graded or pooled sale | - large, economical lots of livestock together <br> - cost savings for buyers passed along to sellers <br> - large numbers of livestock attract more buying competition <br> - may facilitate reputation sales | - grading, sorting, weighing, and penning before sale are time-consuming and expensive <br> - many marketing facilities are not designed for efficient processing for this system <br> - individual producers can lose identity <br> - hard to get producers to agree on terms of sale |
| Board sale | - potentially increases competition <br> - direct buyer to seller transportation reduces stress, shrinkage, and death loss <br> - reduces buyer cost <br> - reduces marketing cost <br> - flexible delivery <br> - consignor identities preserved | - requires prior producer commitment <br> - reduces marketing flexibility <br> - requires partial or full truckload lots <br> - accurate and dependable descriptions of livestock required (buying sight unseen) |


| Channel | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Video/ <br> Satellite/ <br> Internet, <br> "electronic <br> marketing" | - largest number of potential buyers of all methods <br> - provides entry to small markets <br> - reduced buyer cost possibly passed to seller <br> - direct buyer to seller transportation <br> - delivery schedules very flexible | - generally higher marketing cost than tele-auction <br> - on-farm truckload of uniform cattle needed <br> - buyer hesitation with sight unseen cattle <br> - possible technical difficulties |
| Consignment sale | - several potential customers come together <br> - consignors can visit with prospective customers <br> - sale costs divided among consignors <br> - could increase private treaty sales <br> - helps establish value of private treaty cattle <br> - opportunity to expand market area <br> - sale arranged by professionals | - cattle compared to other breeders' cattle <br> - sale management may not be professional <br> - cattle must be well displayed to be competitive <br> - consignor may not select the right cattle or plan far enough in advance |
| Production sale | - buyers see total program <br> - breeder controls sale arrangements <br> - cattle not competing with those of other breeders | - need at least 40 to 50 lots to have a good sale and reduce per lot sale costs <br> - encouraged to sell inferior cattle <br> - may not attract enough buyers <br> - an unsuccessful sale impacts an entire season or year of production |


| Open house sale | - buyers see total program <br> - breeder controls sale arrangements <br> - cattle not competing with those of other breeders <br> - can set minimum prices and sell only cattle that receive bids at or above minimum prices <br> - can retain ownership of some cattle for sale at a later date while selling other cattle if desired prices are offered | - marketing lower end cattle may be difficult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

To promote value in market cows and bulls, producers should

- manage their cow herds to minimize quality shortcomings and defects
- monitor the health and condition of market cows and bulls, and
- market cows and bulls in a timely manner.

Adapted from National Cattlemen's Beef Association. 1999. National Market Cow and Bull Beef Quality Audit. Centennial, CO.

# Mississippi Livestock Markets 

Billingsley Auction Sales, Inc.
Sale Day: Thursday, 11:30 AM
Senatobia, MS (Tate Co.)
662-562-8229
Double L Cattle Auction
Sale Day: Saturday, 12:00 Noon
Thaxton, MS (Pontotoc Co.)
662-489-4343
East MS Farmers Livestock Co.
Sale Day: Tuesday, 12:00 PM
Philadelphia, MS (Neshoba Co.)
601-656-6732
Farmers Livestock Marketing
Sale Day: Wednesday, 1:00 PM
Carthage, MS (Leake Co.)
601-267-7884
Glenwild Stockyard
Sale Day: Monday, 1:00 PM
Grenada, MS (Grenada Co.)
662-226-1900
Gowan Stockyards
Sale Day: Wednesday, 1:00 PM
Kosciusko, MS (Attala Co.)
662-289-9727

Lincoln Co. L/S Commission Co.
Sale Day: Tuesday, 1:00 PM Brookhaven, MS (Lincoln Co.) 601-833-2654

Lipscomb Brothers Livestock Market
Sale Day: Wednesday, 7:00 PM
Como, MS (Panola Co.)
662-526-5362
Livestock Producers Assn. \#1
Sale Day: Tuesday, 12:15 PM
Tylertown, MS (Walthall Co.)
601-876-3465
Lucedale Livestock Producers
Sale Day: Wednesday, 11:00 AM
Lucedale, MS (George Co.)
601-947-3352
Macon Stockyards, Inc.
Sale Day: Monday, 12:30 PM
Macon, MS (Noxubee Co.)
662-726-5153
Meridian Stockyards
Sale Day: Monday, 1:00 PM
Meridian, MS (Lauderdale Co.)
601-482-7275
Peoples Livestock AuctionSale Day: Monday, 1:00 PMHouston, MS (Chickasaw Co.)662-456-3018
Pontotoc Stockyard, Inc.Sale Day: Saturday, 11:00 AMPontotoc, MS (Pontotoc Co.)662-489-4385
Rutland Livestock, LLC
Sale Day: Tuesday, 1:00 PM
Mize, MS (Smith Co.)
601-733-0112
Southeast Mississippi Livestock
Sale Day: Monday, 12:30 PM
Hattiesburg, MS (Forrest Co.)
601-268-2587
Stockyard, Inc.
Sale Day: Wednesday, 12:30 PM
Tupelo, MS (Lee Co.)662-842-0522
Tadlock StockyardSale Day: Monday, 12:00 PMForest, MS (Scott Co.)601-469-3642

Walnut Sales Co.
Sale Day: Saturday, 1:00 PM
Walnut, MS (Tippah Co.)
662-223-4351
West Point Livestock Auction, Inc.
Sale Day: Monday, 12:30 PM
West Point, MS (Clay Co.)
662-494-6635
Winona Stockyard
Sale Day: Tuesday, 12:00 PM
Winona, MS (Montgomery Co.)
662-283-1652

## Price Risk Management

Forward contract: contractual arrangement between a cattle buyer and seller to exchange cattle for a prearranged price at a future date Futures market hedge: a means of managing price risk by taking a position in the futures market opposite that held in the cash market Feeder cattle option: legally binding contract which gives the option buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a feeder cattle futures contract under specific conditions in exchange for the payment of a premium Call (put) option: right to buy (sell) a futures contract at a specific price during the option life

## Enterprise Budget

Estimate of costs and returns associated with a production enterprise Enterprise examples: cow-calf, calf preconditioning, stockering, cattle finishing

## Partial Budget

The best way to assess potential profitability of a proposed management change is to develop a partial budget comparing the two practices for the specific operation. Partial budgeting consists of totaling additional returns and reduced costs of adopting the management change and then subtracting out the reduced returns and additional costs associated with the management change. Proposed management changes may include technology adoption, enterprise expansion, enterprise diversification, production practice changes, capital improvements, or marketing plan changes. A breakeven analysis is a specialized partial budget to evaluate cattle purchase and sale decisions

Effect on net returns = (additional returns + reduced costs) - (additional costs + reduced returns)

Example partial budget for changing from traditional weaning to early weaning

| Additional returns | Amount | Additional costs | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increased calf sales from increased cow conception rate next year | A | Increased labor costs | I |
| Increased calf weaning weights next year | B |  |  |
| Increased sales of replacement heifers | C | Increased calf feed costs | J |
| Increased quality grade premiums (retained calf ownership, grid marketing) | D |  |  |
| Reduced costs | Amount | Reduced returns | Amount |
| Decreased cow feed costs | E | Decreased market cow sales | K |
| Decreased replacement female costs | F | Decreased carcass weights and values (retained calf ownership) | L |
| Decreased feedlot feed costs (retained calf ownership) | G |  |  |
| Total additional returns and reduced costs | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C} \\ +\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}+ \\ \mathrm{F}+\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total additional costs and reduced returns | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{J}+\mathrm{K} \\ & +\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |
| Net returns from changing from traditional weaning to early weaning |  |  | H-M |

## Beef Cattle Enterprise Financial Statements

| Financial Statement | Purpose | Key Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance sheet | Statement of financial <br> londition of business at a <br> specific time | Assets - Liabilities = Net Worth <br> (Equity); <br> Current, intermediate, and long-term <br> assets and liabilities |
| Cash flow statement | Used to evaluate cash <br> inflows and outflows to <br> determine when, how <br> much, and for how long <br> cash deficits or surpluses <br> will exist | Cash inflows: cash operating and <br> capital receipts <br> Cash outflows: operating and capital <br> outlays, loan payments |
| Income statement <br> (profit and loss <br> statement) | Summary of income and <br> expenses that occurred <br> during a specific <br> accounting period | Income: cash and noncash <br> Expenses: cash and noncash |

Annual Payments (\$ of Principal and Interest) to Amortize a \$1,000 Loan

| Interest <br> rate, | Length of loan, years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1,010.00$ | 507.51 | 340.02 | 256.28 | 206.04 | 148.63 | 105.58 | 72.12 | 55.42 | 38.75 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $1,020.00$ | 515.05 | 346.75 | 262.62 | 212.16 | 154.51 | 111.33 | 77.83 | 61.16 | 44.65 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $1,030.00$ | 522.61 | 353.53 | 269.03 | 218.35 | 160.51 | 117.23 | 83.77 | 67.22 | 51.02 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $1,040.00$ | 530.20 | 360.35 | 275.49 | 224.63 | 166.61 | 123.29 | 89.94 | 73.58 | 57.83 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $1,050.00$ | 537.80 | 367.21 | 282.01 | 230.97 | 172.82 | 129.50 | 96.34 | 80.24 | 65.05 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $1,060.00$ | 545.44 | 374.11 | 288.59 | 237.97 | 179.14 | 135.87 | 102.96 | 87.18 | 72.65 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $1,070.00$ | 553.09 | 381.05 | 295.23 | 243.89 | 185.55 | 142.38 | 109.79 | 94.39 | 80.59 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $1,080.00$ | 560.77 | 388.03 | 301.92 | 250.46 | 192.07 | 149.03 | 116.83 | 101.85 | 88.83 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $1,090.00$ | 568.47 | 395.05 | 308.67 | 257.09 | 198.69 | 155.82 | 124.06 | 109.55 | 97.34 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $1,100.00$ | 576.19 | 402.11 | 315.47 | 263.80 | 205.41 | 162.75 | 131.47 | 117.46 | 106.08 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $1,150.00$ | 615.12 | 437.98 | 350.27 | 298.32 | 240.36 | 199.25 | 171.02 | 159.76 | 152.30 |

Beef Cattle Enterprise Financial Measures

| Measure | Calculation | Desirable <br> Value | Cautionary <br> Value | Undesirable <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset <br> turnover ratio | $\frac{\text { Gross farm revenue }}{\text { Average farm assets }}$ | $\geq 40 \%$ | 20 to $39 \%$ | $<20 \%$ |
| Current ratio | $\frac{\text { Current farm assets }}{\text { Current farm debt }}$ | $\geq 2$ | 1 to 1.9 | $<1$ |
| Debt to asset <br> ratio | Total farm debt <br> Total farm assets | $<40 \%$ | 40 to $70 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Interest <br> expense ratio | Interest expense <br> Gross farm revenue | $<10 \%$ | 10 to 20\% | $>20 \%$ |
| Net farm <br> income | Gross cash farm income <br> - total cash farm expense <br> - depreciation | $>0$ | 0 | $<0$ |


| Operating <br> expense ratio | Gross farm expense <br> - farm interest expense <br> - depreciation expense | $<60 \%$ | 60 to $80 \%$ | $>80 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating <br> profit margin | Net farm revenue income <br> + farm interest expense <br> -value of operator labor \& mgmt. | $>5 \%$ | 0 to 5\% | $<0 \%$ |
| Gross revenue | $<0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Rate of return <br> on farm <br> assets | Net farm income <br> - varm interest expense of operator labor \& mgmt. | $>5 \%$ | 0 to 5\% | $<0 \%$ |
| Rate of return <br> on farm <br> equity | Net farm income <br> -value of operator labor \& mgmt. | $>10 \%$ | 5 to $10 \%$ | $<5 \%$ |

Adapted from D. M. Gimenez et al. Alabama Beef Cattle Pocket Guide. 2008. ANR-1323. Alabama Cooperative Extension System. Auburn, AL.

## Veterinary Services and Advice

A veterinarian plays a critical role in preventing, diagnosing, and treating disease. Local
veterinarians can develop herd health programs
to fit specific ranch needs. Establish a valid veterinarian-client-patient relationship.

- veterinarian responsible for herd health care
- follow veterinarian's treatment and drug withdrawal instructions
- veterinarian familiar with animals on farm
- veterinarian available for follow-up visits

Veterinarians can assist with

- vaccination program development and implementation
- parasite control program development and implementation
- calving difficulty
- injured or ill animal care
- Breeding Soundness Evaluations
- pregnancy diagnosis
- disease monitoring program certifications
- necropsies


## Importance of Cattle Health

Cattle are susceptible to health problems

- infectious diseases, metabolic disorders, toxins, parasites, dystocia, injury
- control programs help maintain healthy herds

Health problems cause economic losses

- increased medication costs
- reduced performance
- lower product value
- death losses


## Health Terms

Extra-label use: giving a drug or other substance in a way that is not printed on the label
Metaphylaxis: administration of an antimicrobial product to an animal at high risk of developing a bacterial disease before clinical signs are present Necropsy: a post-mortem examination performed on cattle; also referred to as posting Pathogen: an infectious microorganism such as a bacterium, fungi, or virus that causes disease in its animal host
Persistently infected (PI): an animal that persistently harbors a pathogen for long periods of time, and may shed the pathogen in urine, feces, milk, or respiratory secretions. Example: BVD-PI = cattle persistently-infected with Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus.
Withdrawal period: interval between the time of last administration of a drug or vaccine and the time when the animal can be harvested for food or the milk can be safely consumed

## Biological Risk Management (Biosecurity)

Biosecurity is the overall process of awareness education, evaluation, and management of risk of infectious diseases entering or spreading through an animal facility.

- Designed to improve disease control and minimize risk
- Easy and inexpensive to implement
- Operation specific

Plan development steps

- Evaluate facility/operation
- Identify challenges
- Tailor management plan
- Prioritize control measures

General disease prevention steps

- Limit herd contact with other animals
- Maintain effective fences
- Establish biosecurity protocols for delivery vehicles and personnel
- Lock gates
- Isolate ill animals immediately
- Quarantine newly introduced animals
- Determine isolation time with veterinarian
- Test for key diseases before placing with rest of herd


## Vaccines

- Available for many diseases
- Not all diseases are a routine threat
- Some vaccines not sufficiently effective to justify their use
- Every operation has unique vaccination requirements based on individual herd goals
- Properly store and administer vaccines
- Consult a veterinarian for appropriate vaccine selection and use instructions


## Vaccine Label Claims

"Aid in disease control": shown to alleviate disease severity, reduce disease duration, or delay disease onset
"Aid in disease prevention": shown to prevent disease in vaccinated and challenged animals by a clinically significant amount
"Prevention of disease": shown to be highly effective in preventing clinical disease in vaccinated and challenged animals; estimate of efficacy must be at least $80 \%$
"Prevention of infection": able to prevent all colonization or replication of the challenge organism in vaccinated and challenged animals "Other": having beneficial effects other than direct disease control, such as control of disease through reduction of pathogen shedding

## Advantages and Disadvantages of Different Vaccine Types

## Advantages <br> Disadvantages

Killed Vaccines (KV) and Toxoids

- Available for many diseases
- No risk of the vaccine organism spreading between animals
- Minimal risk of causing abortion
- No on-farm mixing required
- More likely to cause allergic reactions and post-vaccination lumps
- Two initial doses required
- Slower onset of immunity
- Immunity is usually not as strong or long-lasting when compared to MLV products
- Usually more expensive than MLV products


## Modified-Live Vaccines (MLV)

- One initial dose may be sufficient, but boosters are sometimes required
- Stimulate more rapid, stronger, and
- Risk of causing abortion or transient infertility, therefore should generally be administered 6 to 8 weeks prior to
longer-lasting immunity than KV products
- Less likely to cause allergic reactions and postvaccination lumps
- Usually less expensive than KV products


## Chemically Altered Vaccines

- Many of the advantages of MLV products
- Safety is similar to killed vaccines
- Minimal risk of causing abortion
breeding season
- Must be mixed on-farm and used within about 30 minutes
- Two initial doses required
- Slower onset of immunity than MLV product
- Immunity is usually not as strong or long-lasting when compared to MLV products
- Often more costly than MLV products
- Must be mixed on-farm and used within about 30 minutes


## Cattle Diseases

| Disease | Cause | Signs | Management $^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anaplasmosis <br> (Yellow bag, Yellow <br> fever) | Blood parasite (Anaplasma <br> species); blood transmission <br> (needles, biting insects) | Anemia, abortion, <br> weight loss, bull <br> infertility, death; <br> signs more severe in <br> older cattle | Vaccination, insect <br> control, <br> chlortetracycline <br> feeding, <br> oxytetracycline <br> injections |
| Blackleg (Clostridial <br> disease) | Bacterial infection: Clostridium <br> species; contaminated feed <br> ingestion | Depression, swelling <br> and lameness of <br> affected limb(s), <br> perception of air <br> under skin, death; <br> affects cattle 6 <br> months to 2 years old | Vaccination, proper <br> carcass disposal of <br> animals dead from <br> blackleg |
| Bovine leukosis | Viral infection: bovine leucosis <br> virus (BLV); blood-borne | Malignant tumors <br> (lymphosarcomas), <br> eye protrusion, | Change needles and <br> palpation sleeves <br> between animals, |


|  |  | lymph node <br> enlargement, weight <br> loss, hind limb <br> paralysis, infertility | avoid feeding milk or <br> colostrum from <br> infected cows |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bovine respiratory <br> disease (BRD) | Viral infection: <br> IBR (Infectious Bovine <br> Rhinotracheitis, Rednose), PI3 <br> (Parainfluenza-3), BVD (Bovine <br> Virus Diarrhea), BRSV (Bovine <br> Respiratory Syncytial Virus); <br> Bacterial infection: <br> Mannheimia haemolytica, <br> Pasteurella multocida, <br> Histophilus somnus | Nasal/eye discharge, <br> coughing, fever, <br> depressed appetite, <br> breathing difficulty <br> and noise, rapid <br> breathing, <br> depression, droopy <br> ears | Minimize stress, <br> adequate nutrition, <br> internal parasite <br> control, vaccination <br> (preconditioning), <br> minimize exposure to <br> diseased and <br> unfamiliar cattle |
| Brucellosis (Bangs) | Bacterial infection: Brucella <br> abortus; consuming or licking <br> contaminated forage, calves, <br> or fetuses | Late-term abortions, <br> retained placentas, <br> weak calves | Vaccination (heifers), <br> herd testing <br> (certification) |

Cattle Diseases (Cont.)

| Disease | Cause | Signs | Management $^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calf scours | Infectious agents: bacteria, <br> viruses, protozoan parasites, <br> yeasts, molds; nutritional <br> shortcomings, inadequate <br> newborn environment | Diarrhea, <br> dehydration, acidosis | Proper nutrition <br> during gestation, <br> good calving <br> management |
| Campylobacteriosis <br> (Vibrio) | Bacterial infection: <br> Campylobacter fetus; sexual <br> transmission from bull prepuce | Infertility, <br> endometritis, rare <br> late term abortions | Vaccination, use <br> virgin bulls, test older <br> herd sires, use <br> artificial insemination |
| Johne's disease | Bacterial infection: <br> Mycobacterium avium <br> subspecies paratuberculosis; <br> spread through feces | Profuse, persistent <br> diarrhea; chronic <br> weight loss despite <br> normal appetite; <br> typically seen in <br> cattle $>2$ years old | Herd testing; <br> biosecurity; culling; <br> reduce fecal <br> contamination of <br> udders, water, feed |


| Leptospirosis | Bacterial infection: Leptospira <br> interrogans; contaminated <br> feed and water | Infertility, stillbirths, <br> late-term abortions | Vaccination; clean <br> water source; reduce <br> contact with rodents, <br> dogs, wildlife |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pinkeye (Infectious <br> Bovine <br> Keratoconjunctivitis, <br> IBK) | Bacterial infection: Moraxella <br> bovis; spread by face flies, <br> direct contact | Excessive tearing, <br> light avoidance, <br> squinting, eye <br> ulceration, <br> depressed appetite, <br> weight loss | Control flies, remove <br> eye irritants (pasture <br> clipping, hazard <br> removal), vaccination |
| Trichomoniasis <br> (Trich) | Protozoan infection: <br> Tritrichomonas foetus; sexual <br> transmission from bull prepuce | Repeat breeders, <br> embryonic death, <br> early-term abortion | Use virgin or tested <br> bulls, use AI, cull or <br> rest infected cows |
| Tuberculosis (TB) | Bacterial infection: <br> Mycobacterium bovis; spread <br> via coughing, sneezing, milk, <br> feces, inhalation or ingestion | Lung and lymph node <br> lesions, weight loss, <br> coughing, difficult <br> breathing, death | Surveillance, herd <br> testing (certification) |

${ }^{1}$ Consult a veterinarian for disease diagnosis and treatment advice.

## Internal Parasites

Major internal parasites of cattle

- brown stomach worm (Ostertagia)
- coccidia (intestinal protozoa)
- liver fluke (Fasciola hepatica)

Effects of internal parasites on cattle

- disease (clinical or subclinical)
- lower growth, milking, or reproductive performance
- reduced appetite and intake
- tissue damage, protein loss, tissue fluid loss
- anemia (iron deficiency)
- impaired immune function


## External Parasites

Major external parasites of cattle

- flies (horn, stable, face, horse, deer)
- lice
- grubs (warbles)
- ticks

Effects of external parasites on cattle

- disease spread
- reduced performance (growth, milk, reproduction)
- hide damage
- anemia (iron deficiency)


## Identifying Sick or Injured Cattle

## Proper and timely ID

- minimize unnecessary treatment
- prevent current and future production losses
Signs of illness
- elevated body temperature
- depressed appetite
- drooping head and ears
- lagging behind herd
- difficult breathing
- coughing
- eye/nasal discharge
- bloody or mucous tinged diarrhea

Signs of injuries

- lameness
- reluctance to move
- inability to stand or walk
- appetite changes
- tissue swelling
- lacerations (cuts)
- bruises
- behavioral changes


## Beef Quality Assurance (BQA)

## Marketing Code of Ethics

I will only participate in marketing cattle that:
$\checkmark$ Do not pose a known public health threat
$\checkmark$ Have cleared proper withdrawal times
$\checkmark$ Do not have a terminal condition (including advanced lymphosarcoma, septicemia, etc.)
$\checkmark$ Are not disabled
$\checkmark$ Are not severely emaciated
$\checkmark$ Do not have uterine/ vaginal prolapses with visible fetal membrane
$\checkmark$ Do not have advanced eye lesions
$\checkmark$ Do not have advance Lumpy Jaw
Furthermore, I will:
$\checkmark$ Do everything possible to humanely gather, handle, and transport cattle in accordance with accepted animal husbandry practices
Finally, I will:
$\checkmark$ Humanely euthanize cattle when necessary to prevent suffering and to protect public health.

## Mississippi BQA Program

- Purpose: to identify areas in beef production where defects in quality occur and provide guidelines for improvement
- Certification available msucares.com/livestock/beef/bqa


## Cow Evaluation Checklist

## Use to make culling or treatment decisions

- Pregnancy-Perform yearly; cull open cows.
- Eyes-Bovine Ocular Neoplasia or "cancer eye" is a common cause of cow carcass condemnation. It can rapidly become severe (resulting in blindness) and spread to other body parts (leading to carcass condemnation).
- Mouth-Must have adequate teeth to harvest forage for body condition maintenance and milk production to support calf growth.
- Feet and legs-Lame cows have difficulty grazing and walking to feed bunks or water. As a result, they lose body condition, wean poor calves, and do not rebreed.
- Udder-A good udder is needed to produce sufficient milk to raise a good calf. Look for "blind quarters" (quarters that are not producing milk) and "bottle teats" (teats that are large and difficult to nurse).
- Body condition-Thin cows have trouble rebreeding and bruise more easily.
- Disposition-Cows with bad dispositions often produce excitable calves that do not gain as well and may produce undesirable "dark cutting" meat. They can also make cattle handling difficult and dangerous.


## Needle Selection Guide

| Needle <br> Dimension | Route of Administration |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subcutaneous (SubQ) |  |  | Intramuscular (IM) |  |  |
|  | Cattle Weight, lb |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <300 | 300 to 700 | >700 | <300 | 300 to 700 | >700 |
| Gauge ${ }^{1}$ | 18 | 16 to 18 | 16 | 18 | 16 to 18 | 16 to 18 |
| Length, inches | $1 / 2$ to $5 / 8$ | 1/2 to 5/8 | 1/2 to 5/8 | $3 / 4$ to 1 | $3 / 4$ to 1 | $3 / 4$ to 1 |

${ }^{1}$ Gauge indicates needle diameter. Needle size decreases as gauge increases.
Adapted from D. M. Gimenez et al. Alabama Beef Cattle Pocket Guide. 2008. ANR-1323. Alabama Cooperative Extension System. Auburn, AL.

- Select the smallest practical needle size that fits cattle size without bending
- Do not use a contaminated, bent, burred, or dull needle
- Do not share needles among cattle with known blood-borne infectious disease


## Acceptable Injection Sites for Cattle



Subcutaneous
(under the skin)

- Intramuscular
(in the muscle)
- Properly restrain cattle before injecting
- Select appropriate needle size
- Check for proper dosage before injecting
- Keep all injections in front of shoulder
- Never inject in buttock or top of rump
- Inject subcutaneous when possible
- Use tenting technique for subcutaneous injections
- Never inject more than 10 mL (cc) per site
- Keep injection sites at least 5 inches apart
- Avoid injecting in wet or manure-covered areas

Dosage by Animal Body Weight ${ }^{1}$

|  | Dosage rate, $\mathrm{mL} / 100 \mathrm{lb}$ of body weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.9 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.4 | 4 | 4.5 | 5 | 5.7 | 6 |
| Animal | Body weight per 1 mL dose, lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| weight, | 110 | 100 | 90.9 | 75 | 66.7 | 55 | 50 | 43.5 | 33.3 | 29.4 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 17.5 | 16.7 |
| lb | Dose volume, mL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 | 2.7 | 3 | 3.3 | 4 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6 | 6.9 | 9 | 10.2 | 12 | 13.5 | 15 | 17.1 | 18 |
| 350 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 7 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 14 | 15.8 | 17.5 | 20 | 21 |
| 400 | 3.6 | 4 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 6 | 7.3 | 8 | 9.2 | 12 | 13.6 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 22.8 | 24 |
| 450 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 9 | 10.4 | 13.5 | 15.3 | 18 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 25.7 | 27 |
| 500 | 4.6 | 5 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 10 | 11.5 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 22.5 | 25 | 28.5 | 30 |
| 550 | 5 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 10 | 11 | 12.7 | 16.5 | 18.7 | 22 | 24.8 | 27.5 | 31.4 | 33 |
| 600 | 5.5 | 6 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 9 | 10.9 | 12 | 13.8 | 18 | 20.4 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 34.2 | 36 |
| 650 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 13 | 15 | 19.5 | 22.1 | 26 | 29.3 | 32.5 | 37.1 | 39 |
| 700 | 6.4 | 7 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 14 | 16.1 | 21 | 23.8 | 28 | 31.5 | 35 | 39.9 | 42 |
| 750 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 13.6 | 15 | 17.3 | 22.5 | 25.5 | 30 | 33.8 | 37.5 | 42.8 | 45 |
| 800 | 7.3 | 8 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 12 | 14.6 | 16 | 18.4 | 24 | 27.2 | 32 | 36 | 40 | 45.6 | 48 |


| 850 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 17 | 19.6 | 25.5 | 28.9 | 34 | 38.3 | 42.5 | 48.5 | 51 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 900 | 8.2 | 9 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 18 | 20.7 | 27 | 30.6 | 36 | 40.5 | 45 | 51.3 | 54 |
| 950 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 19 | 21.9 | 28.5 | 32.3 | 38 | 42.8 | 47.5 | 54.2 | 57 |
| 1,000 | 9.1 | 10 | 11 | 13.3 | 15 | 18.2 | 20 | 23 | 30 | 34 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 57 | 60 |
| 1,100 | 10 | 11 | 12.1 | 14.7 | 16.5 | 20 | 22 | 25.3 | 33 | 37.4 | 44 | 49.5 | 55 | 62.7 | 66 |
| 1,200 | 10.9 | 12 | 13.2 | 16.0 | 18 | 21.8 | 24 | 27.6 | 36 | 40.8 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 68.4 | 72 |
| 1,300 | 11.8 | 13 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 19.5 | 23.6 | 26 | 29.9 | 39 | 44.2 | 52 | 58.5 | 65 | 74.1 | 78 |
| 1,400 | 12.7 | 14 | 15.4 | 18.7 | 21 | 25.5 | 28 | 32.2 | 42 | 47.6 | 56 | 63 | 70 | 79.8 | 84 |
| 1,500 | 13.6 | 15 | 16.5 | 20.0 | 22.5 | 27.3 | 30 | 34.5 | 45 | 51 | 60 | 67.5 | 75 | 85.5 | 90 |
| 1,600 | 14.6 | 16 | 17.6 | 21.3 | 24 | 29.1 | 32 | 36.8 | 48 | 54.4 | 64 | 72 | 80 | 91.2 | 96 |
| 1,700 | 15.5 | 17 | 18.7 | 22.7 | 25.5 | 30.9 | 34 | 39.1 | 51 | 57.8 | 68 | 76.5 | 85 | 96.9 | 102 |
| 1,800 | 16.4 | 18 | 19.8 | 24.0 | 27 | 32.7 | 36 | 41.4 | 54 | 61.2 | 72 | 81 | 90 | 102.6 | 108 |
| 1,900 | 17.3 | 19 | 20.9 | 25.3 | 28.5 | 34.6 | 38 | 43.7 | 57 | 64.6 | 76 | 85.5 | 95 | 108.3 | 114 |
| 2,000 | 18.2 | 20 | 22 | 26.7 | 30 | 36.4 | 40 | 46 | 60 | 68 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 114 | 120 |

${ }^{1}$ Read product label for dosing instructions; $1 \mathrm{~mL}=1 \mathrm{cc}$; Dose volumes rounded to the nearest 0.1 mL ; Do not inject more than 10 mL per injection site.

## Diagnostic Labs

CVM - Diagnostic Laboratory Services

- full-service, all species laboratory
- provides diagnostic laboratory support to Mississippi State University College of Veterinary Medicine Animal Health Center
- serves as teaching laboratory and research and development laboratory
P.O. Box 6100, 240 Wise Center Drive Mississippi State, MS 39762
Phone: (662) 325-1104
Fax: (662) 325-4548
www.cvm.msstate.edu


## MS Veterinary Research and Diagnostic Lab

- full-service, all species laboratory
- serves as the central reference laboratory
- provides regulatory tests to satisfy state and federal regulatory requirements in regard to animal health and export regulations

3137 Highway 468 West
Pearl, MS 39208
Phone: (601) 420-4700
Fax: (601) 420-4719

## Livestock Carcass Disposal

Mississippi Board of Animal Health guidelines for disposal of livestock carcasses are as follows:

1. Carcass(es) must be buried at a depth sufficient to prevent offensive odors, fly breeding, and unearthing by other animals, and shall be covered under at least 2 feet of compacted earth. After settling, more dirt shall be placed over surface to prevent a ponding effect.
2. Carcass(es) shall be buried on the owner's property, or on another's property with specific approval of the owner, or in permitted landfills. The carcass(es) shall be buried at least 150 feet from adjoining landowners' property, at least 300 feet from an inhabited dwelling, or on land not in cultivation.
3. Alternative disposal options must be approved by the State Veterinarian and/or DEQ on a case-by-case basis.
4. In case of the disposal of large numbers of animal carcasses due to catastrophe, contact the Board of Animal Health for approval of the disposal site. A trench or pit shall be constructed in such a manner not to allow rainwater to drain and must be approved by the State Veterinarian.
www.mbah.state.ms.us or 1-888-722-3106

## Shade

- Reduces thermal heat load on cattle
- Provide at least
- $18 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per head for 400 -pound calves
- $25 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per head for 800 -pound calves
- 30 to $40 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per head for mature cows
- Avoid cattle crowding under limited shade
- Minimum 10 feet high
- Ensure adequate ventilation
- Use at least $80 \%$ shade cloth
- Location affects pasture utilization
- Can develop mud problems
- Natural, artificial (permanent or portable)


## Heat Stress

- Increases as temperature or humidity increase
- Increases as wind speed decreases
- Cattle more likely to get sick and die
- Feed intake declines
- Consider breed heat stress tolerance
- Consider region of origin and adaptability
- Avoid breeding during summer
- Provide adequate water (intake increases)
- Avoid handling cattle in extreme conditions
- Handle cattle earlier in the day
- Limit time cattle spend in handling facilities
- Use shades and sprinklers
- Avoid hauling cattle in extreme conditions
- Avoid unnecessary stops
- Stop only during cooler parts of the day
- Select shaded areas for stops
- Make stop durations as short as possible
- Reduce trailer stocking densities
- Handle cattle gently and patiently


## Cold Stress

- Contributors to cold stress
- Cold temperature, wind, wet hair coat
- Increases cattle energy requirements
- \% increase in TDN requirement per $\mathrm{F}^{\circ}$ below lower critical temperature
- $1 \%$ with dry winter hair coat
- $2 \%$ with wet or summer hair coat


## Mud

- Impacts feeding behavior
- Suction on hooves, difficult to move
- 4 to 8 inches of mud
- Feed intake reduced 4 to $8 \%$
- Average daily gain reduced $14 \%$
- Belly deep mud
- Feed intake reduced $30 \%$
- Creates disease and health risk
- Foot rot, scours, naval ill
- Cattle born into or trapped in mudholes


## Animal Welfare

- Ranchers are responsible for the basic requirements of animals they raise
- access to ample feed and clean water
- timely and appropriate veterinary care to prevent and treat disease
- practice appropriate and efficient movement, restraint, and transport of livestock
- Animal care and stewardship improves
- perception
- production


## Managing Cattle Comfort

- Adequate space
- comfort, socialization, environmental management
- Pasture, pen, and facilities
- mud/dust reduction, extreme weather protection
- safe design and sufficient maintenance/cleaning
- Timely marketing
- Stress reduction
- Sufficient nutrition
- Euthanasia considering animal welfare


## Cattle Handling Techniques

To reduce stress during cattle handling

- assess cattle flow
$\bullet$
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- stay alert and calm
- 
- move cattle into chute easily
- prevent backing in working chute
- prevent turning in working chute - properly restrain cattle when working them


## Flight Zone

- distance cattle can be from humans and still feel comfortable
- use to quietly move cattle


Adapted from NCBA. The Cattle Industry's Guidelines for the Care and Handling of Cattle.

## Point of Balance

- point on shoulder
- use to encourage cattle to go backward and forward


Adapted from NCBA. The Cattle Industry's Guidelines for the Care and Handling of Cattle.

## Shrink

- liveweight loss from feed and water deprivation and transportation
- weight recovery takes 5 to 30 days
- affected by transit time, transit distance, environmental conditions, cattle handling, cattle management, gut fill, frame size, gender, age, body condition
- $\sim 0.75 \%$ of cattle body weight will be lost per day with feed and water deprivation
- cattle shrink ${ }^{\sim} 1 \%$ per hour for the first 3 to 4 hours and then $\sim 0.25 \%$ per hour for the next 8 to 10 hours without feed and water
- transport increases weight loss several-fold
- manage with preconditioning, low stress cattle handling, efficient shipping, rest during and after transit, electrolyte solutions, water

Effect of Cattle Handling on Shrink

| Handling Conditions | Shrink, $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 8-hour dry lot stand | 3.3 |
| 16-hour dry lot stand | 6.2 |
| 24-hour dry lot stand | 6.6 |
| 8 hours in moving truck | 5.5 |
| 16 hours in moving truck | 7.9 |
| 24 hours in moving truck | 8.9 |
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## Cattle Handling Facilities

Factors to consider in facilities construction

- intended uses
- location
- access
- efficiency (animals worked in a given period)
- drainage
- fence placement
- utilities
- handler and animal safety
- neighbors
- cost


## Loading Chute Dimensions for Cattle Receiving and Shipping

| Loading Chute Aspect | Dimensions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width | 26 to 30 inches |
| Length (minimum) | 12 feet |
| Rise | 3.5 inches per foot |
| Ramp height |  |
| Stock trailer | 15 inches |
| Pickup truck | 28 inches |
| Stock truck | 40 inches |
| Tractor-trailer | 48 inches |
| Double-deck trailer | 100 inches |

Adapted from Iowa State University. 1987. Midwest Service Plan. Beef
Housing and Equipment Handbook. MWP S-6. Iowa State Univ. Ames, IA.

Size and Space Requirements for Cattle Handling Facilities

| Component | Size/Space Item | Dimensions by Cattle Weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Up to 600 lb | 600 to 1,200 lb | Over 1,200 lb |
| Holding pen | Space per head, sq ft | 14 | 17 | 20 |
|  | Pen fence height, in | 60 | 60 | 60 |
|  | Post spacing, ft | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Post depth in ground, in | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Crowding pen | Space per head, sq ft | 6 | 10 | 12 |
|  | Post spacing, ft | 4 to 6 | 4 to 6 | 4 to 6 |
|  | Solid wall height, in | 45 | 50 | 50 to 60 |
| Working chute, straight sides | Width, in | 18 | 22 | 28 |
|  | Length, minimum ft | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Working chute, sloped sides | Width at 4 ft height, in | 20 | 24 | 28 |
|  | Width inside at bottom, in | 15 | 16 | 18 |
|  | Minimum length, ft | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Working chute fence | Post spacing, ft | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Post depth in ground, in | 36 to 48 | 36 to 48 | 36 to 48 |


|  | Solid wall height, in | 54 to 60 | 54 to 60 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Top rail height for gentle cattle, in | 54 to 60 | 60 | 60 |
|  | Top rail height for aggressive cattle, in | 60 to 72 | 60 to 72 | 60 to 72 |
| Holding/squeeze chute | Height, in | 45 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Straight sides width, in | 18 | 22 | 28 |
|  | V-shaped sides width at bottom, in | 6 to 8 | 8 to 12 | 14 to 16 |
|  | Length including head gate, ft | 5 | 5 to 8 | 5 to 8 |
| Loading chute | Width, in | 26 | 26 | 26 to 30 |
|  | Minimum length, ft | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Maximum rise, in/ft | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Spacing of 1-in x 2-in cleats, in | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Trailer ramp height | 15 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Pickup truck ramp height | 28 | 28 | 28 |
|  | Large truck ramp height | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | Tractor-trailer ramp height | 48 | 48 | 48 |
|  | Double-deck trailer ramp height | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Adapted from J. R. Bicudo et al. 2002.Cattle Handling Facilities: Planning, Components, \& Layouts. Univ. KY, Coop. Ext. Serv., Lexington, KY.

## Cattle Transportation

 Before traveling with cattle- obtain necessary paperwork
- carefully plan the route
- make sure cattle are standing

During the trip

- make gentle turns
- gently accelerate and brake
- avoid heavy traffic
- check cattle periodically
- minimize stops


## Cattle Loading and Unloading

Use low-stress handling techniques

- allow cattle to flow onto trailer

Use proper facilities
Sort into loading groups

- size, sex, horns, source
- load heavy cattle towards front

Load at edge of operation
Make sure cattle are fit to load

- physically sound, adequate health
- adhere to product withdrawal times
- no late gestation females


## Feeder Cattle Loading



$48 \mathrm{ft} \mathbf{- 5 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{lb}$ Gross - Feeder Cattle Lighter Than 700 lbs


48 ft - 50,000 lb Gross - Feeder Cattle Lighter Than 700 lbs


## Fat Cattle Loading

$48 \mathrm{ft}-50,000 \mathrm{lb}$ Gross - Fat Cattle


Adapted from NCBA. Stock Trailer Transportation of Cattle.

Maximum Recommended Number of Cattle for Various Trailer Dimensions ${ }^{1}$

| Trailer | Size, ft | Cattle weight under, lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Load weight, lb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | Width | 400 | 500 | 600 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | Max ${ }^{2}$ |
| 14 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | <6,500 |
| 16 | 6 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | <7,400 |
| 18 | 6 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | <8,400 |
| 20 | 6 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | <9,300 |
| 22 | 6 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | <10,200 |
| 24 | 6 | 28 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | <11,100 |
| 26 | 6 | 30 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | <12,000 |
| 28 | 6 | 32 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | <13,000 |
| 30 | 6 | 35 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | <13,900 |
| 32 | 6 | 37 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | <14,800 |


| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 39 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | $<15,700$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 27 | 22 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | $<10,800$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 30 | 24 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | $<11,900$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 32 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | $<13,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 35 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | $<14,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 38 | 30 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | $<15,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 40 | 32 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | $<16,200$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 43 | 34 | 29 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | $<17,300$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 46 | 37 | 31 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | $<18,400$ |

${ }^{1}$ Reduce trailer stocking density by 5 percent for cattle with horns, and reduce the number of head loaded during hot conditions.
${ }^{2}$ The maximum weight of cattle for each trailer size with these calculations. Do not exceed the Gross Vehicle Weight Rating for the truck and trailer.
Adapted from NCBA. Stock Trailer Transportation of Cattle.

Comparison of Common Fences

| Type | Strands | Wire Gauge | Height, inches | Stay Spacing, inches | Cost <br> Index ${ }^{1}$ | Fence <br> Life ${ }^{2}$, years | Upkeep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barbed wire, 2-point | 3 | $121 / 2$ |  | 4 | 132 | 33 | high |
|  | 4 | $121 / 2$ |  | 4 | 143 | 33 | high |
|  | 5 | $121 / 2$ |  | 4 | 154 | 33 | high |
|  | 3 | 14 |  | 4 | 121 | 18 | high |
| Barbed wire, 4-point | 3 | $121 / 2$ |  | 5 | 132 | 33 | high |
|  | 4 | $121 / 2$ |  | 5 | 143 | 33 | high |
|  | 5 | $121 / 2$ |  | 5 | 154 | 33 | high |
| Woven wire, light weight | top, bottom | 11 | 26 | 6 | 154 | 19 | high |
|  | filler | $141 / 2$ | 32 | 6 | 165 | 19 | high |
| Woven-wire, medium weight | top, bottom | 10 | 26 | 6 | 176 | 30 | medium |
|  | filler | $121 / 2$ | 32 | 6 | 187 | 30 | medium |
|  | filler | $121 / 2$ | 39 | 6 | 198 | 30 | medium |
|  | filler | $121 / 2$ | 47 | 6 | 220 | 30 | medium |


| Woven-wire, heavy weight | top, bottom | 9 | 26 | 6 | 209 | 40 | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | filler | 11 | 32 | 6 | 231 | 40 | low |
|  | filler | 11 | 39 | 6 | 253 | 40 | low |
|  | filler | 11 | 47 | 6 | 275 | 40 | low |
| High tensile wire, permanent | 3 | $121 / 2$ |  |  | 44 | 30 | medium |
|  | 4 | 12 1/2 |  |  | 55 | 30 | medium |
|  | 5 | $121 / 2$ |  |  | 66 | 30 | medium |
|  | 8 | 12 1/2 |  |  | 110 | 30 | medium |
| High tensile wire, temporary | 2 | $121 / 2$ |  |  | 20 to 35 | 30 | medium |
|  | 1 | 12 1/2 |  |  | 15 to 25 | 30 | medium |
| Polywire |  |  |  |  | 10 to 15 | 7 to 10 | medium |
| Aluminum wire |  | 9 |  |  | 30 to 40 | 30 | medium |
|  |  | 13 |  |  | 25 to 35 | 30 | medium |

${ }^{1}$ Labor costs are included, but costs of electric controllers are not included. One post per 16 feet.
${ }^{2}$ Fence life based on combination of post and wire life expectancy in a humid climate.
Adapted from Buschermohle et al., EP-10-95, University of Tennessee Extension, Knoxville, TN.

## Life Expectancy in Years of Wood Posts

| Wood Type | Untreated | Pressure <br> Treated | Soak <br> Treated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osage orange | 25 to 35 | --- | --- |
| Red cedar | 15 to 25 | 20 to 25 | 20 to 25 |
| Black locust | 15 to 25 | --- | --- |
| White oak | 5 to 10 | 20 to 30 | 15 to 30 |
| Hickory | 2 to 6 | 15 to 20 | 10 to 15 |
| Red oak | 2 to 6 | 20 to 30 | 20 to 30 |
| Yellow poplar | 2 to 6 | 20 to 25 | 15 to 25 |
| Sweet gum | 3 to 6 | 20 to 30 | 20 to 30 |
| Southern pine | 3 to 7 | 25 to 30 | 15 to 20 |

Adapted from Buschermohle et al., EP-10-95, University of Tennessee Extension, Knoxville, TN.

## Post Spacing for Cattle Fences

| Fence Type | Post Spacing ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, feet |
| :--- | :---: |
| Woven wire | 12 to 14 |
| Barbed wire | 12 to 14 |
| Electric $^{2}$ | 20 to 75 |
| High tensile $^{2}$ | 16 to 60 |
| Board | 8 |
| Corrals | 6 |

${ }^{1}$ Driven posts are 1.7 times as strong as tamped posts.
${ }^{2}$ Post spacing depends upon terrain. Use battens (stays or droppers).
Adapted from Buschermohle et al., EP-10-95, University of Tennessee Extension, Knoxville, TN.

Fence Post Characteristics

| Post Type | Strength | Expected Life | Initial Cost | Fire <br> Resistance | Maintenance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel-T, concrete | fair | 25 to 30 years | medium | good | low |
| Steel rod, $3 / \mathbf{s}^{\prime \prime}$ diameter | poor | 15 to 20 years | low | good | medium |
| Heavy-duty fiberglass-T | fair (flexible) | 25 to 30 years | high | poor | low |
| Light-duty fiberglass-T | poor (flexible) | 15 to 20 years | low | poor | medium |
| Pressure-treated wood | good | 30 to 35 years | medium | poor | very low |
| Untreated wood | good | 7 to 15 years | low | poor | high |

## Wire Spacing for Cattle Fences

| Cattle Type | Distance from Ground for Wire Number, Inches |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wire 1 | Wire 2 | Wire 3 | Wire 4 | Wire 5 |
|  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Cow and calves | 17 | 38 |  |  |  |
| Hard-to-hold cattle | 17 | 27 | 38 |  |  |
| Boundary fence | 5 | 10 | 17 | 27 | 38 |

Adapted from Buschermohle et al., EP-10-95, University of Tennessee Extension, Knoxville, TN.

## Hurricane Preparedness Checklist

- Gulf Coast hurricane season: June 1 to November 30
- ensure that cattle are uniquely and permanently identified
- keep good records and photos of cattle
- maintain appropriate insurance
- keep cattle current on vaccinations
- make sure trailers are in good repair
- keep fences and facilities in good repair
- gather cattle feed and health supplies
- put emergency supplies in a secure location
- cover sharp edges of equipment with hay bales or other "padding"
- secure loose items to minimize airborne hazards (fill troughs with water)
- protect feed/hay supplies from water damage
- place liquid fuel and other chemicals in secure locations
- evacuate cattle when possible
- turn cattle loose in pastures with high ground and adequate drinking water
- do not compromise human safety by checking on livestock during a storm
- beware of hazards after a storm
- inventory/inspect/treat cattle after a storm
- ensure safe water and feed supplies


## Beef Carcass Primal (Wholesale) Cuts



## Live Weight

- Weight of the animal just prior to harvest


## Hot Carcass Weight

- Weight of the carcass after removal of the hide, head, feet, and internal organs


## Dressing Percentage

| Animal | Factor | Typical <br> Dressing <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Market (cull) cow | Small amount of <br> muscle/fat | 47 to 50 |
| Grass-fed/ short- <br> fed steer | Small amount of <br> fat | 58 to 62 |
| Typical YG3 <br> feedlot steer | Mostly fat | 62 to 64 |
| Overly fat/ <br> double-muscled <br> steer | Great amount <br> of muscle/fat | 63 to 67 |
| Bulls | Great amount <br> of muscle | 65 to 69 |

Dressing \% = hot carcass wt/live wt $\times 100$

- measure of beef carcass yield


## Beef Carcass Yield Grade

- classifies carcasses for differences in cutability or yield of boneless, closely trimmed retail cuts (BCTRC) from round, loin, rib, and chuck
- numbered 1 (greatest cutability) to 5 (least cutability) and rounded to nearest tenth

Yield Grade $=2.50+(2.5 \times$ adjusted fat thickness, inches) $+(0.2 \times$ percentage kidney, pelvic and heart fat) $+(0.0038 \times$ hot carcass weight, pounds) - (0.32 x area of ribeye, square inches)

## Relative Yield of BCTRC

| USDA Yield Grade | Percentage of BCTRC |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1.0 to 1.9 | 54.6 to 52.6 |
| 2.0 to 2.9 | 52.3 to 50.3 |
| 3.0 to 3.9 | 50.0 to 48.0 |
| 4.0 to 4.9 | 47.7 to 45.7 |
| 5.0 to 5.9 | 45.4 to 43.4 |

Factors Affecting Beef Carcass Yield Grade

| Trait | Change in <br> Trait | Resulting Yield <br> Grade Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fat thickness | Increase | Increase |
| Percentage kidney, <br> pelvic, and heart fat | Increase | Increase |
| Carcass weight | Increase | Increase |
| Ribeye area | Increase | Decrease |

## Beef Carcass Quality Grade

- determination of the eating quality of meat from a beef carcass
- determined by evaluating carcass maturity and marbling
- maturity
- chronological age of animal
- determined by evaluation of exposed bony cartilage and lean texture of carcass, not by birth records or actual age
o connective tissue increases as animal ages
- marbling
- little flecks of fat within muscle
- intramuscular fat
- determined by trained grader or instrument
- improves eating quality by improving flavor, juiciness, and somewhat tenderness


## USDA Maturity Scores by Cattle Age

| Maturity <br> Score | Approximate Cattle Age |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 9 to 30 months (21⁄2 years) |
| B | 30 to 42 months (21⁄2 to $31 / 2$ years) |
| C | 42 to 72 months (31⁄2 to 6 years) |
| D | 72 to 96 months (6 to 8 years) |
| E | older than 96 months (> 8 years) |

## Effects of Maturity and Marbling on Beef Carcass Quality Grade ${ }^{1}$

| Degrees of Marbling | Maturity $^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}^{3}$ | B | C | D | E |
| Very abundant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abundant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderately abundant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slightly abundant | Prime |  |  | Commercial |  |
| Moderate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modest | Choice |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  | Utility |  |
| Slight | Select |  |  |  |  |
| Traces |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practically devoid | Standard |  |  | Cutter |  |
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## Standard Measurements

## Length (linear measure)

$1 \mathrm{ft}=0.3048 \mathrm{~m}=30.48 \mathrm{~cm}=304.8 \mathrm{~mm}$
$1 \mathrm{ft}=12$ in
$1 \mathrm{in}=0.0254 \mathrm{~m}=2.54 \mathrm{~cm}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
1 yard $=3 \mathrm{ft}=0.9144 \mathrm{~m}=91.44 \mathrm{~cm}=915.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
$1 \mathrm{~m}=39.37 \mathrm{in}=3.2808 \mathrm{ft}=1.0936$ yards
$1 \mathrm{~cm}=0.3937$ in
$1 \mathrm{~mm}=0.03937 \mathrm{in}$
$1 \mathrm{~km}=3280.84 \mathrm{ft}=1093.61$ yards $=0.62137$ mile
1 mile $=1.609344 \mathrm{~km}=5,280 \mathrm{ft}=1,760$ yards
1 mile $=8$ furlongs $=320$ rods
1 furlong $=0.125$ mile
$1 \mathrm{rod}=16.5 \mathrm{ft}$
1 hand (equine) $=4 \mathrm{in}=10.16 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Surface (area)

$1 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}=144 \mathrm{sq}$ in
1 sq yard $=9 \mathrm{sqft}$
1 sq rod $=30.25 \mathrm{sq}$ yards $=272.25 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
1 acre $=160 \mathrm{sq}$ rods $=1$ rod wide \& 0.5 mile long
1 acre $=43,560 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}=0.4047$ hectares
1 hectare $=107,639 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}=2.4711$ acres
1 sq acre $=208.71 \mathrm{ft}$ wide \& 208.71 ft long
$1 / 2 \mathrm{sq}$ acre $=147.58 \mathrm{ft}$ wide \& 147.58 ft long
$1 / 4 \mathrm{sq}$ acre $=104.355 \mathrm{ft}$ wide \& 104.355 ft long
1 circular acre $=235.504 \mathrm{ft}$ in diameter

## U. S. Government Land Measures

1 township $=36$ sections
1 section $=640$ acres $=1$ sq mile
$1 / 4$ section $=160$ acres $=1 / 2$ mile long \& wide
$1 / 8$ section $=80$ acres $=1 / 2$ mile long \& $1 / 4$ mile wide
$1 / 16$ section $=40$ acres $=1 / 4$ mile long \& wide

## Surveyors' Measures

1 link = 7.92 in
1 rod $=25$ links
1 chain $=4$ rods $=66 \mathrm{ft}$
1 acre $=10$ sq chains
1 mile $=80$ chains

## Cubic Measure (volume)

1 cubic $\mathrm{ft}=1,728$ cubic in
1 cubic yard $=27$ cubic ft
1 board $\mathrm{ft}=1$ in $\times 12$ in $\times 12$ in
1 cord (wood) = 128 cubic ft
1 bushel grain or shelled corn $=1.25$ cubic ft
1 cubic ft grain or shelled corn $=0.8$ bushels
1 bushel ear corn $=2.5$ cubic ft
1 cubic ft ear corn $=0.4$ bushels
1 cubic yard concrete $=81 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ for a 4 -in floor
1 cubic yard concrete $=54 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ for a 6 -in floor

## Dry Measure

1 quart $=2$ pints
1 bushel = 32 quarts

## Liquid Measure

1 cup $=8$ fluid oz $=16$ tablespoons $=0.2366 \mathrm{~L}$
1 pint $=2$ cups $=16$ fluid $o z=0.4732 \mathrm{~L}$
1 quart $=2$ pints $=32$ fluid $\mathrm{oz}=0.9464 \mathrm{~L}$
1 gallon $=4$ quarts $=128$ fluid $\mathrm{oz}=3.7854 \mathrm{~L}$
1 gallon $=0.1337$ cubic $\mathrm{ft}=231$ cubic in
1 cubic $\mathrm{ft}=7.48$ gallons
1 barrel = 32 ½ gallons
1 U.S. gallon = 0.8327 imperial gallons (British)
1 imperial gallon (British) $=1.201$ U.S. gallons
1 gallon water $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=8.33 \mathrm{lb}$
1 ft of water $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=0.4335 \mathrm{lb}$ per sq in
1 cubic $\mathrm{ft}=62.427 \mathrm{lb}$ of water $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
1 teaspoon $=0.17$ fluid oz $=1 / 6 \mathrm{oz}$
1 tablespoon $=1 / 2 \mathrm{oz}=3$ teaspoons
1 fluid $\mathrm{oz}=2$ tablespoons
Acre in of water $=27,154$ gallons $=3,360$ cubic ft

## Weight

1 gram $=15.43$ grains $=1,000 \mathrm{mg}$
$1 \mathrm{oz}=28.35$ grams $=437.5$ grains
$1 \mathrm{lb}=16 \mathrm{oz}=454$ grams $=7,000$ grains
$1 \mathrm{~kg}=1,000$ grams $=2.205 \mathrm{lb}$
$1 \mathrm{cwt}=100 \mathrm{lb}$
1 ton $=2,000 \mathrm{lb}$
1 ton (long) $=2,240 \mathrm{lb}=1.016$ metric tons

## Yield or Rate

1 ton (U.S.)/acre $=2.2417$ tonne (metric)/hectare 1 tonne (metric)/hectare $=0.4461$ ton (U.S.)/acre $1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{acre}=1.1209 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$

## Calculations

Diameter of a circle $=$ circumference $\times 0.31831$
Circumference of a circle $=$ diameter $\times 3.1416$
Area of a circle $=$ diameter $\times$ diameter $\times 0.7854$
Surface of a ball $=$ diameter $x$ diameter $\times 3.1416$
Doubling the diameter of a pipe increases its
capacity 4 times
Degrees Fahrenheit $=(1.8 x$ degrees C$)+32$
Degrees Centigrade $=($ degrees $F-32) \times 0.56$

> Metrix Prefixes
> mega $=1,000,000$
> kilo $=1,000$
> hecto $=100$
> deca $=10$
> basic metric unit $=1$
> deci $=0.1=1 / 10$
> centi $=0.01=1 / 100$
> milli $=0.001=1 / 1,000$
> micro $=0.000001=1 / 1,000,000$

Adapted from D. Hofstrand. 2007. Agricultural Measurements and Conversions. File C6-84. Iowa State University Extension. Ames, IA.

## Information Resources

Mississippi State University Extension Service
Animal and Dairy Sciences Department
Box 9815, Mississippi State, MS 39762
662-325-3516, 662-325-2802

MSUcares Beef Cattle Website msucares.com/livestock/beef

Mississippi Beef Cattle Improvement Association msucares.com/livestock/beef/mbcia

MS State Univ. Dept. of Animal and Dairy Sciences www.msstate.edu/dept/ads

Mississippi State Univ. College of Veterinary Medicine 240 Wise Center Drive, P. O. Box 6100
Mississippi State, MS 39762
662-325-3432
www.cvm.msstate.edu

Mississippi Cattlemen's Association
680 Monroe Street, Suite A
Jackson, MS 39202
601-354-8951
www.mscattlemen.org

Mississippi Beef Council
Same address as Mississippi Cattlemen's Association
601-353-4520
www.msbeef.org

```
Mississippi Farm Bureau Federation
6 3 1 1 \text { Ridgewood Road}
Jackson, MS 39211
601-957-3200, 800-227-8244
www.msfb.com
```

Mississippi Department of Agriculture and Commerce
121 North Jefferson Street
Jackson, MS 39201
601-359-1100
www.mdac.state.ms.us

Mississippi Board of Animal Health
P. O. Box 3889

Jackson, MS 39207
601-359-1170, 888-646-8731
Animal Disaster Hotline: 888-722-3106
www.mbah.state.ms.us

Mississippi Market Bulletin
P.O. Box 1118

Jackson, MS 39215
601-359-1123
www.msmarketbulletin.org
Mississippi Coliseum and Fair Grounds
1207 Mississippi Street
Jackson, MS 39202
601-961-4000
Mississippi Beef Cattle Seedstock Directory
msucares.com/livestock/beef/seedstock.html

Mississippi Commodity Feed Directory msucares.com/livestock/beef/feedsources.html<br>Mississippi Hay Directory msucares.com/livestock/beef/mshay.html<br>Mississippi State Chemical Laboratory 1145 Hand Lab, 310 President's Cr, P.O. Box CR<br>Mississippi State, MS 39762-5622<br>662-325-3428<br>www.mscl.msstate.edu

Mississippi Agricultural Statistics Service www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/Mississippi

USDA Memphis Weekly Feed Report www.ams.usda.gov/mnreports/Ir_gr210.txt

USDA Southeast Weekly Hay Report www.ams.usda.gov/mnreports/MG_GR310.txt

Beef Improvement Federation
www.beefimprovement.org

National Beef Cattle Evaluation Consortium www.nbcec.org

Ultrasound Guidelines Council
www.ultrasoundbeef.com
Cattle Learning Center www.cattlelearningcenter.org

Reference to commercial products or trade names within information provided by the Mississippi State University Extension Service does not constitute an endorsement by the Mississippi State University Extension Service and does not imply discrimination against other similar products.

Copyright 2012 by Mississippi State University. All rights reserved. This publication may be copied and distributed without alteration for nonprofit educational purposes provided that credit is given to the Mississippi State University Extension Service.

By Dr. Jane A. Parish, Associate Extension Professor, and Dr. Brandi M. Bourg, Assistant Extension/Research Professor, Animal and Dairy Sciences.

Discrimination based upon race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status is a violation of federal and state law and MSU policy and will not be tolerated. Discrimination based upon sexual orientation or group affiliation is a violation of MSU policy and will not be tolerated.

## Publication 2714

Extension Service of Mississippi State University, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture. Published in furtherance of Acts of Congress, May 8 and June 30, 1914. GARY B. JACKSON, Director (1500-01-12)

238

## Established ${ }^{190^{\circ}}$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}=$ North; C = Central; S = South
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}=$ broadcast; $\mathrm{D}=$ drilled
    Adapted from Ball et. Al. 2007. Southern Forages. $4^{\text {th }}$ ed. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Varies by bird and litter management practice
    Adapted from Ball et al. 1999. Forage Crop Pocket Guide. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

[^2]:    Adapted from Ball et. Al. 2007. Southern Forages. $4^{\text {th }}$ ed. Intl. Plant Nutr. Inst., Norcross, GA.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1} 20 \mathrm{lb}$ daily peak milk production
    ${ }^{2}$ TDN = total digestible nutrients; DMI = dry matter intake; $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein
    Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ TDN = total digestible nutrients; $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake; $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition

[^5]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TDN}=$ total digestible nutrients; $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake; $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ TDN = total digestible nutrients; $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake; $\mathrm{CP}=$ crude protein Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ For bulls that are at least 12 months of age and weigh more than 50 percent of their mature weight
    ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ Body weight = shrunk body weight; $\mathrm{DMI}=$ dry matter intake;
    TDN = total digestible nutrients; CP = crude protein
    Adapted from NRC, 2000. NRC Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, $7^{\text {th }}$ revised edition.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1} E P D=$ expected progeny difference

[^9]:    Adapted from Beef Improvement Federation. 2010. Guidelines for Uniform Beef Improvement Programs. $9^{\text {th }}$ ed. Raleigh, NC.

[^10]:    Adapted from D. M. Gimenez et al. Alabama Beef Cattle Pocket Guide. 2008. ANR-1323. Alabama Cooperative Extension System. Auburn, AL.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumes that firmness of lean is completely developed with the degree of marbling and that the carcass is not a "dark cutter."
    ${ }^{2}$ Maturity increases from left to right (A through E).
    ${ }^{3}$ The A maturity portion is the only portion applicable to bullock carcasses.

